

League of Saltwater Sportsmen, Inc.

GENERAL OFFICE:

~~P.O. Box 65, Flushing, N.Y. 11356~~

"A NON-PROFIT NON-SALARIED CONSERVATION FEDERATION  
FIGHTING FOR NEW YORK'S COASTAL FISHERMEN"  
"AGAINST: POLLUTION OF OUR AIR; OUR LAND; OUR WATERS"

January 20, 1972

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

1972 JAN 25 PM 2 15

Mr. Samuel W. Jensch, Chairman  
Atomic Safety Licensing Board  
Atomic Energy Commission  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Jensch:

I am in receipt of yours dated January 14, 1972, regarding my objection to what I apparently was misinformed was a postponement of that Hearing on Docket No. 50-247, which you now tell was actually held, and I can only ask you forgive me for that error. However; I am enclosing herewith a copy of the statement I would have featured at that Hearing, and I would ask you make it a part of that "Matter of Consolidated Edison Co. of N.Y., Docket No. 50-247, and I feel the reasons I gave for our definite opposition to to that matter are based on very solid grounds.

Frankly, Mr. Jensch, while we are fully aware of the ever-rising demand for more, and more electric power, we are still of the honest and definite opinion that in the face of the situations such ~~angahzations~~ as our and others object to, with little or nothing done about it; we must insist on enumerating what has transpired at Indian Point over the years: "Tremendous and recurring "fish kills;" accidents; incidents; breakdowns; shutdowns; "Big Allis" plants out of commission for many months; lack of vast amounts of current; lack of examination or inspection of machinery; material; and finally a "fibe" that in our opinion paints a considerable lack of "something," that creates a most unenviable record that could extend to such plants as Shoreham; Indian Point 1 and 2 and 3; Davids Island, New Rochelle; none of which should ever be built near or within A CITY, and other in the future, over all of which we are deeply concerned, that our objections we feel are based on good grounds; seem not to be given the attention we feel they deserve, if and when your office issues a permit for same. And I would ask at this point: Do you consider our objections valid or not?

While not considered experts in the nuclear field, one need not be an expert to detect good or bad points in the nuclear field, for we have statements of some of our foremost scientists that we know are not false: Lillienthal; Einstein; Dr. Ergon; then such as the Nat. Committee to Stop Environmental pollution; "Ernegy Crisis", etc. who are far from ignorent; and with all due respect to your Department, we might ask "A STOP; LOOK ; LISTEN feature become a part of the picture before we dive over the top of the abyss before we know what lies in the bottom.

I will eagerly await your reply.

Sincerely,

Harvey E. Hauptner

Director: Conservation Research

110 Bay Street  
City Island, N.Y. 10464

8111040168 721027  
PDR ADOCK 05000247  
G PDR

