## BEFORE THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF )

NEW YORK ) Docket No. 50-247

(Indian Point, Unit No. 2)

STATEMENT WITH RESPECT TO
APPLICANT'S PROPOSED FINDINGS
OF FACT (PART II) SUBMITTED
BY THE HUDSON RIVER FISHERMAN'S ASSOCIATION
AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND

Pursuant to stipulation with the applicant, HRFA and EDF have not taken a substantive position with respect to the applicants motion for a 50% testing license. However, we do wish to stress a point not apparent in the applicants Proposed Findings of Fact (Part II). The Supplemental Notice of Hearing (in compliance with paragraph D. 2 of Appendix D) provides (page 5):

Any license issued pursuant to the foregoing will be without prejudice to subsequent licensing action which may be taken by the Commission with regard to the environmental aspects of the facility and will be conditioned to that effect.

In its Proposed Findings applicant includes many factual allegations which will be subject to vigorous disagreement in the full environmental hearing. The initial decision for this limited license application should state that the findings contained therein do not create any presumption or in any way effect similar or related issues which arise in the full environmental hearing.

HRFA and EDF believe that a proviso to this effect should be included in the initial decision but also suggest that in its initial decision the Board qualify each proposed finding so that it does not read as absolutely as applicant or other parties to the proceeding have urged. We believe that whether the Board's decision is favorable or not to the applicant these qualifications should be included since the above-quoted language from the Supplemental Notice is intended to protect the applicant as well as other parties and to leave to the final environmental hearing the ultimate resolution of all of the matters contained in the Proposed Findings (Part II).

Respectfully submitted,

Angus Macbeth

Counsel for Hudson River Fisherman's

Association

Anthony Z. Roi/sman

Counsel for Environmental Defense

Fund

March 6, 1972

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the Statement With Respect To Applicant's Proposed Finding of Fact (Part II) Submitted by the Hudson River Fisherman's Association and the Environmental Defense Fund were mailed, postage prepaid, this 6th day of March 1972 to the following:

Samuel W. Jensch, Esq. Chairman Atomic Safety & Licensing Board U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545

J. D. Bond, Esq.
Alternate Chairman
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
18700 Woodway Drive
Derwood, Maryland 20752

Dr. John C. Geyer, Chairman Department of Geography and Environmental Engineering The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Mr. R. B. Briggs, Director Molten-Salt Reactor Program Oak Ridge National Laboratory P. O. Box Y Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dr. Walter H. Jordan
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P. O. Box X
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Arvin E. Upton, Esq. LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae 1821 & efferson Place, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Mr. Stanley Robinson, Jr. Chief, Public Proceedings Branch Office of the Secretary U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545

Dr. John H. Buck
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Atomic Safety & Licensing
Appeals Board
Washington, D. C. 20545
A. A. Wells, Esq.
Chairman
Atomic Safety & Licensing
Appeals Board
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

J. Bruce MacDonald, Esq.
N. Y. State Dept. of Commerce
112 State Street
Albany, New York 12207

Honorable Louis J. Lefkowitz Attorney General of New York 80 Centre Street New York, New York

Angus Macbeth, Esq.
Natural Resources Defense Council
36 W. 44th Street
New York, New York 10036

Myron Karman, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dr. Lawrence Quarles
Dean
School of Engineering and
Applied Science
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

Anthony Z. Roisman