

Rec'd 1/12/10

ADM/ROB

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> January 12, 2010
<b>Received:</b> January 11, 2010
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 80a7b760
<b>Comments Due:</b> January 22, 2010
Late comments are accepted
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2010-0003  
Open Government Directive

**Comment On:** NRC-2010-0003-0001  
Implementation of Open Government Directive

**Document:** NRC-2010-0003-DRAFT-0002  
Comment on FR Doc # 2010-228

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Mike Mulligan  
**Address:**  
 5 Wood Lawn Lane  
 PO Box 161  
 Hinsdale, NH, 03451  
**Government Agency Type:** Federal  
**Government Agency:** NRC

1/11/10  
 75 FR 1418  
 (2)

## General Comment

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/open/documents/open-government-directive>

NRC-2010-0003; in writing to Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rulemaking and Directives Branch, Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop TWB-05-B01M, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001;

White House Open Government Directive

1) The NRC could increase transparency and public participation if the NRC opened a public participation blog or message board. The members of the community could ask questions to the NRC about a particular plant or event or concern...an on going respectful discussion across the message board. The NRC would be required to respond...while with the licensee it would be voluntary. The NRC official would be required to answer it within a few days...mostly immediately. It would be aimed to answer a question at the local plant level not a Washington public relation response. So you would have a message board or other social content site for each plant. Maybe a NRC official and a member of the interested community person to moderate the blog...remove rude and unprofessional comments. I suggest very limited anonymity.

2) That inspection reports and other types of reports be developed and written up contemporaneously...be written up on the internet on a day by day bases. Then once the report is finalized be added to the regular documents. Again, the public should be able to participate with the make up of all the reports and ask questions. Having a event or inspection report showing up on their internet site three to 6 months later is so

*SUNSI Review Complete  
 Template = ADM-013*

*E-KIDS = ADM-03  
 add = S. Crockett (SFC)  
 J. Schaffer (JBS)*

20th century and doesn't lend to public participation.

How about a pilot project at Vermont Yankee?

I request no anonymity and confidentiality of any kind.