

Rec'd 1/12/10

ADM/ROB

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: January 12, 2010
Received: January 11, 2010
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 80a7b6ea
Comments Due: January 22, 2010
Late comments are accepted
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2010-0003
Open Government Directive

Comment On: NRC-2010-0003-0001
Implementation of Open Government Directive

Document: NRC-2010-0003-DRAFT-0001
Comment on FR Doc # 2010-228

Submitter Information

Name: Kenneth Pitser
Address:
 16603 Spruell St
 Huntersville, NC, 28078
Submitter's Representative: self
Organization: self
Government Agency Type: Federal
Government Agency: NRC

1/11/10
 75 FR 1418
 (1)

General Comment

The NRC is without a doubt one of the most transparent organizations in the country. Relative to operating commercial nuclear power plants, the NRC already provides public access to the most comprehensive performance metrics for each licensee possible. I would not recommend a dramatic change in NRC transparency relative to power reactors or DOE facilities due to the sensitive nature of information that deeper transparency could reveal. The NRC web site and commission briefings are extremely forthcoming and reveal discussions on seemingly every detail of oversight associated with the commission.

If there were an area for improvement, I would state that there seem to be no metrics established for non-part 50 special nuclear material holders that the public can easily view. There seem to be a high number of medical, radiographic and geologic instrumentation incidents that recur. I believe the NRC could improve oversight structure and publish metrics for this area of regulatory service.

SUNSI Review Complete
 Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
 Add = S. Crockett (SFC)
 J. Schaeffer (JBS)