



PROCEEDINGS FILE 52-247

U. S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION:

Re: Consolidated Edison of New York
Application for Operating License
for Indian Point Nuclear Unit No.2

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

Docket No. 50-247

Petition Of MARY HAYS WEIK For Leave To Intervene

In The Above Proceedings

I

The petitioner, MARY HAYS WEIK, a citizen and tax-payer of the United States and of the City and State of New York, requests the privilege to appear in her own right as a party at the Public Hearing scheduled to be held on December 17, 1970 at Peekskill, New York by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, to consider Consolidated Edison's application for a permit to operate a second nuclear reactor at Indian Point in Buchanan, New York, just outside the City of Peekskill.

II

The petitioner affirms her personal interest in the proceedings as a citizen and resident of the Metropolitan New York area affected by the hazards and pollution of the enlarged Indian Point plant; as follows:

- 1) The security of herself and her family, who would live directly within the Indian Point plant's expanded hazard area - increased now by Nuclear Reactor 2 to many times its original size - is of the closest natural concern to her as an individual citizen.
- 2) The safety and purity of an important part of New York and Westchester County's public water supply - so vital to her and her family as it is to every one of the area's citizens - would be periled by the adjacency of large atomic plants.

III

A Commission decision to license this added nuclear reactor would directly affect the petitioner's interests; in that:

- 1) An accident or malfunctioning of plant facilities after the granting of such an additional license could subject her and her family to the chaos of area-wide disaster.
- 2) The added pollution radius created by such a decision might leave no drinking-water supplies immune to contamination in her area.

8110310528 701207
PDR ADDCK 05000247
G PDR

IV

The petitioner contends, after careful study of all the facts concerned:

- That, although extensive plans have been made by the applicant company and the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission for contingencies that might result from possible reactor accidents, tornadoes, or earthquakes, it is not reasonable to expect that the split-

second time; with which such emergencies occur would allow sufficient time for prior warning or preparation.

- That it would be a thoughtless and unforgivable Government action to inflict another enormous source of radioactive pollution on an area already abnormally high, by U.S. Vital Statistics records, in deaths from leukemia and other forms of Cancer, and in Birth Defects of infants born in this area.

- That, in view of the bad performance record of the present Indian Point I Reactor, and of current scientific development of new and safer systems of non-atomic power, continued experimentation with atomic power at Indian Point is^{an} outdated, inefficient, dangerous, and wasteful expenditure of public funds; since the financial obligation must inevitably fall on the citizens themselves, in the form of added electrical service costs and of escalating taxes to cover federal and state promotion, subsidies, and special protection for this unneeded and highly hazardous type of power.

FOR THE REASONS CITED ABOVE, the petitioner contends that operation of this second and larger nuclear reactor at the Indian Point plant would threaten the welfare and security of every citizen of America's largest metropolitan area, New York and its tristate suburbs with a population of more than 15 million. She urges therefore that the Operating license now at issue be denied; with the added recommendation that all nuclear operations at this most unfavorable site be declared ended, as being impractical, wasteful, and damaging to citizen health - as has been so clearly proved by nearly 8 years' disastrous experience with the present Indian Point I Reactor.

STATE OF NEW YORK)
County of New York) ss.

I the undersigned state that I am the petitioner, MARY HAYS WEIK, and I affirm under oath that the statements in the Petition above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on Dec 7th, 1970 in New York City.

Mary H. Weik

Petitioner: MARY HAYS WEIK
Box 148, 150 Christopher St.
New York, N. Y. 10014

STATE OF NEW YORK
County of New York

On Dec 7, 1970 before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared MARY HAYS WEIK, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the present instrument, and acknowledged that she executed the same. WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Max Goldstein
Notary Public
New York County, N.Y.
My commission expires _____

MAX GOLDSTEIN
NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New York, Qualified in New York County
No. 31659
Commission Expires March 30, 1972
NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New York, No. 31659-9250
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires March 30, 1972

