

MFFFPEm Resource

From: Norato, Michael
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 10:01 AM
To: MFFFHearingFile Resource
Subject: FW: See attached article related to MFFF

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Norato, Michael
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 10:01 AM
To: Dorman, Dan; Bailey, Marissa; Tiktinsky, David
Subject: RE: See attached article related to MFFF

The answer is "it depends." The alternate feedstock material suitable for MOX feed is a function of what's in it, rather than where it came from. My understanding is that most of the AFS material is already at SRS in KAMS. It was brought in from Rocky Flats, Los Alamos, and other facilities. This sounds like it may be a different source of material. The situation is that the "envelope" for AFS feed acceptable for the MFFF is defined in the LA and ISA Summary, and any proposed deviation from that would require review and approval by the NRC.

From: Dorman, Dan
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 9:49 AM
To: Bailey, Marissa; Norato, Michael; Tiktinsky, David
Subject: See attached article related to MFFF

<http://www.aikenstandard.com/Local/1211PuP>

Is PuP within the scope of the anticipated feed stocks or does this raise the specter of alternate feed materials?

Hearing Identifier: MixedOxideFuelFabricationFacility_Public
Email Number: 88

Mail Envelope Properties (7DCCA810A55FEC4B8822CD43063A49420F07AE1C4E)

Subject: FW: See attached article related to MFFF
Sent Date: 12/11/2009 10:01:20 AM
Received Date: 12/11/2009 10:01:41 AM
From: Norato, Michael

Created By: Michael.Norato@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"MFFFHearingFile Resource" <MFFFHearingFile.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1080	12/11/2009 10:01:41 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: Follow up