

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Fort Wayne Medical Oncology and Hematology, Inc. 7910 West Jefferson Blvd., Suite 107 Fort Wayne, IN 46804		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351	
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2010-001			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-37869	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 13-32722-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION January 6, 2010	

LICENSEE:
The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:


- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	S. J. Mulay		1/6/10

gcb

**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**

1. LICENSEE Fort Wayne Medical Oncology and Hematology, Inc.		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532	
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2010-001	3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-37869	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 13-32722-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION January 06, 2010
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87130	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 2201	2. PRIORITY G5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Patrick Byrne, DABR, RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 260-436-0800 Ext 7930 (Licensee)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection	Next Inspection Date: January 2015		
<input type="checkbox"/> Field Office			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site Inspection			

PROGRAM SCOPE

This active facility performs about 150 diagnostic PET/CT imaging studies monthly utilizing F-18 as FDG as authorized in 10 CFR 35.200. The facility is staffed by one full-time technologist and unit doses are received from an area nuclear pharmacy.

Performance Observations

Interviews conducted with available staff revealed an adequate level of understanding of emergency and material handling procedures and techniques. Area surveys and dose calibrator constancy checks were demonstrated with no regulatory issues identified. Compliance audits are performed quarterly by the RSO/ consultant physicist which appears to adequately oversee program activities.

Personal dosimetry records were reviewed for 2008 and revealed whole-body readings of 766 mRem and extremity readings of 1890 mRem. 2009 records indicated whole-body and extremity measurements of 822 mRem and 1980 mRem respectively.

Licensed material was observed well secured and/or under surveillance in the hot-lab area and was not readily accessible to members of the general public. Independent measurements taken in restricted and unrestricted areas of the hot-lab and imaging areas did not indicate readings above expected. Side-by-side survey instrument readings did not indicate reading variances greater than 10 percent.