

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : Controller

DATE: JUL 21 1966


FROM : A. Giambusso / *15/ R Smeek, Acting*  
Assistant Director for Project Management  
Division of Reactor Development & Technology

SUBJECT: AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO LICENSE APPLICATION FILED BY CONSOLIDATED EDISON CO.  
OF NEW YORK, INC. (INDIAN POINT 2 REACTOR), DOCKET NO. 50-247

RDT:PM

As requested in Mr. Boyd's memorandum dated June 22, 1966, we have reviewed the subject application to assess the reasonableness of the stated fuel requirements. The fuel requirements stated in Amendment No. 3 are reasonable for a reactor of the type and power level proposed. This subject was discussed in my memorandum to Charles A. Lovejoy dated July 1, 1966. Since the information supplied in Amendment No. 3 supersedes the data previously reviewed, the comments in my earlier memorandum should be disregarded.

cc: C. A. Lovejoy, OC  
R. S. Boyd, DRL  
R. L. Doan, DRL



J.S. ATOM. ENERGY COMM.  
RECEIVED  
MAIL SECTION

1966 JUL 21 1 3 20

8111230159 660721  
ADOCK 05000247



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

## MEMO ROUTE SLIP

Form AEC-93 (Rev. May 14, 1947)

See me about this.

For conference.

For action.

Note and return.

For signature.

For information.

TO (Name and unit)

INITIALS

REMARKS

50-247

DATE

TO (Name and unit)

INITIALS

REMARKS

Do Not Remove

DATE

TO (Name and unit)

INITIALS

REMARKS

DATE

FROM (Name and unit)

REMARKS

PHONE NO.

DATE

*Stable*

JUL 2 1966

Controller

A. Gianbusso

*f/ R. Smeek Acting*  
Assistant Director for Project Management

Division of Reactor Development & Technology

AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO LICENSE APPLICATION FILED BY CONSOLIDATED EDISON CO.  
OF NEW YORK, INC. (INDIAN POINT 2 REACTOR), DOCKET NO. 50-247

RDT:PM

As requested in Mr. Boyd's memorandum dated June 22, 1966, we have reviewed the subject application to assess the reasonableness of the stated fuel requirements. The fuel requirements stated in Amendment No. 3 are reasonable for a reactor of the type and power level proposed. This subject was discussed in my memorandum to Charles A. Lovejoy dated July 1, 1966. Since the information supplied in Amendment No. 3 supersedes the data previously reviewed, the comments in my earlier memorandum should be disregarded.

cc: C. A. Lovejoy, OC

R. S. Boyd, DRL

R. L. Doan, DRL