



UNITED STATES  
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

*Supple. File*

August 25, 1970

K. Kniel  
PWR Branch #1, DRL  
THRU: D. Thompson, Chief  
Operational Safety Branch, DRL

DRAFT MATERIAL FOR ACRS REPORT FOR CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY,  
INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR UNIT NO. 2, DOCKET NO. 50-247

- Ref: (a) Draft Questions for Consolidated Edison, Indian Point No. 2  
from F. R. Allenspach to K. Kniel, dated April 8, 1969.  
(b) Draft Questions for Consolidated Edison, Indian Point No. 2  
from F. R. Allenspach to K. Kniel, dated January 28, 1970.  
(c) Draft Material for ACRS Report for Consolidated Edison,  
Indian Point No. 2 from F. R. Allenspach to K. Kniel,  
dated April 22, 1970.

We have reviewed the additional material submitted by Consolidated Edison since the preparation of reference (c). Attached for your use is our evaluation of the information submitted to date and a suggested method for updating the subject ACRS Report dated July 2, 1970.

Reference to page numbers in the attached material refer to the subject ACRS report dated July 2, 1970.

*F. R. Allenspach*

F. R. Allenspach  
Operational Safety Branch, DRL

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc: D. J. Skovholt, DRL  
D. Muller, DRL  
P. Collins, DRL

memo

8111140239 700825  
ADOCK 05000247  
CP

Draft Material

for

Consolidated Edison ACRS Report

Indian Point Nuclear Unit No. 2

1. Responsibilities and Staffing

The second paragraph on page 83 should be changed to read:

[The applicant's organization...: the operations group (with a separate Assistant Superintendent for each unit);....]

2. The Nuclear Facilities Safety Committee, (as described in the Report to the ACRS, dated July 2, 1970 and the FFDSAR) has been extensively reorganized as described in Supplement No. 12 to I.P. #2's FFDSAR.

We conclude that the Nuclear Facilities Safety Committee as described in Supplement 12 is satisfactory to conduct its review and audit of facility operations. Therefore page 85 under Safety Review and Audit should be changed to read:

As a means for the continuing review and evaluation of plant operational safety the applicant proposed to expand the responsibilities of the Nuclear Facilities Safety Committee currently functioning for Unit No. 1 to include Unit No. 2. The Committee shall have a membership of at least 12 persons and have the following responsibilities: to audit and report the adequacy of all procedures used in the operation, maintenance and environmental monitoring of each nuclear plant; review and report upon the adequacy of all proposed changes in plant facilities or procedures pertaining to the operation, maintenance and environmental monitoring having safety significance; review and report upon all proposed change

to the Technical Specifications; conduct unannounced spot inspections of plant and monitoring operations; review and report upon any activity, the occurrence or lack of which may affect the safe operation of the nuclear plants; and convene at the request of the Nuclear Power Generation Manager or a Nuclear Plant General Superintendent to review and act upon any matter they may deem necessary.

3. The first paragraph on page 86 should be changed to read:

(We conclude that the applicant's organization is acceptably staffed and technically qualified to perform their normal operational duties, subject to satisfactory completion of licensing examinations of personnel requiring licenses.)

4. Two new paragraphs should be added to section 10.4 to read:

(The applicant startup test organization will consist of, in addition to his normal shift complement, technical support from Consolidated Edison, and Westinghouse Electric Corporation through WEDCO. All tests and test procedures will be under control of the General Superintendent of the plant. Westinghouse Electric will provide technical direction for these tests including direct on shift technical support. Detailed analysis of tests results will be performed by Westinghouse Electric Corporation. Consolidated Edison will review all final test results and give final approval as to the acceptability of plant components, systems and operating characteristics of the facility.

We conclude that the pre-operational testing and start-up organization are satisfactory, subject to the receipt of satisfactory personnel resumes not yet received for several WEDCO personnel.)