William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N Y 10003
Telephone (212) 460-3819

RECEIVED
AUG 16 1971
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
Regulatory Mail Section

61

August 16, 1971

Dr. Peter A. Morris
Director
Division of Reactor Licensing
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Regulatory File Cy.

Re: Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
AEC Docket No. 50-247

Dear Dr. Morris

The attachment supplements the document entitled, "Additional Testimony of Applicant Concerning Emergency Core Cooling System Performance", dated July 13, 1971. The attachment responds to questions raised orally by the Division of Reactor Licensing and which were discussed with the staff at a meeting on August 13, 1971.

Items 1 and 2 provide additional details on the reflooding analysis and an analysis of the 0.5 square foot cold leg break. Items 3 and 4 provide clarifying information which demonstrates that the analysis in the above-mentioned document is in accordance with Appendix A, Part 3, of the Commission's interim policy statement. There is also included in the attachment a replacement for Figure 27 to correct a typographical error in identifying the broken hot leg curve.

Sincerely yours

William J. Cahill, Jr.

William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

Attachment:
"Additional Information on Emergency Core Cooling Analysis", 8/16/71

DOCKETED
USAEC
AUG 16 1971
REGULATORY MAIL SECTION
DOCKET CLERK

8110300208 710816
PDR ADOCK 05000247
A PDR

3668

LB

FROM:
Consolidated Edison Co of NY
New York, New York 1000[illegible]
William J. Cahill, Jr.

DATE OF DOCUMENT: 8-16-71

DATE RECEIVED: 8-16-71

NO.:

LTR. X MEMO: [illegible] REPORT: OTHER:

TO: Dr. Morris

ORIG.: 1 CC: OTHER:

ACTION NECESSARY ☐ NO ACTION NECESSARY ☐ CONCURRENCE ☐ COMMENT ☐ DATE ANSWERED: BY:

CLASSIF: U POST OFFICE REG. NO:

FILE CODE: 50-247

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)

Ltr re meeting w/DRL on 8-13-71 .. furnishing addl info...trans the following:

ENCLOSURES:

Additional Information on Emergency Core Cooling Analysis, dtd 8-16-71.

Replacement for Fig. 27 to correct a typographical error.

(60 cys ea encl rec'd)

REMARKS:

Holding (16) cys for ACRS

1 Cy Local PDR(Montrose, N.Y.)

REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY	DATE
Muller W/3 cys for ACTION	8-17-71		
DISTRIBUTION:			
Reg Files			
AEC PDR		N. Lauben	
Compliance (2)		B. Colmar	
OGC, Rm P 506A(Karman)			
K. Kniel			
Novak			
Ross		DO NOT REMOVE	
V. Stello			
Dr. Hanauer		ACKNOWLEDGED	
Dr. Mann			
E. G. Case			
Morris/Schroeder			
Rosen		0000	fod

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

MAIL CONTROL FORM FORM AEC-326S (8-60)

☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-424-062

RECEIVED
AUG 16 1971
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
Regulatory
Mail Section

8/16/71

Regulatory File Cy.
Received w/Ltr Dated 8-16-71

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON EMERGENCY CORE COOLING ANALYSIS

This information should be added to the discussion entitled, "Results" appearing on Page 18 of the document entitled, "Additional Testimony of Applicant Concerning Emergency Core Cooling System Performance", dated July 13, 1971.

1. Details of the Reflooding Analysis

Figure 1 is a plot of both the core flooding rate and the hot spot film heat transfer coefficient as a function of time used in the double-ended cold leg break Loss of Coolant Analysis. The time that the accumulators empty is also indicated on the graph.

2. 0.5 Square Foot Cold Leg Break

An analysis has been performed for the 0.5 ft^2 cold leg break using the interim criteria. The peak clad temperature was 2185°F. This is greater than the peak clad temperature calculated for the 3.0 ft^2 cold leg break, which is not surprising because a decrease in break size increased the amount of the accumulator water than can be injected before the end of blowdown. In fact, for these smaller breaks the clad temperature rise is stabilized before the end of blowdown, but on the other hand, these smaller breaks define the lower limit of the break size for which accumulator water loss need be considered because of the limit on the maximum amount of water that can be passed through the break and the much lower steam velocities in the system.

Figures 2 through 8 provide details of the 0.5 ft^2 cold leg break transient analysis.

3. Hot Leg Break Loss of Coolant Analysis

The hot leg break analysis was previously performed and presented in the Indian Point Unit No. 2 FSAR, Supplement 12, July 1970, and indicated the following:

a. There is no flow reversal during hot leg break blowdown; the flow just decays smoothly, providing good heat transfer in the core.

b. In a cold leg break analysis, the accumulator and low head injection flow for the broken loop is assumed to spill to the containment. This assumption is not valid for a hot leg break; thus, there is more accumulator water mass and more low head injection flow available for cooling.

c. During the reflood phase of the accident there is no problem with steam binding since the steam generated in the core is vented directly to the containment via the broken hot leg. In addition, there is more accumulator water available, heat transfer during reflood for the hot leg break will be better than for the cold leg break. The peak clad temperature will be less than 2000°F.

4. 0.6 DE Cold Leg Break

Sensitivity to break mode for various break sizes has been studied in the past. Results showed that for the double-ended break, the temperature for the guillotine

type was approximately 100°F greater than for the split type. For lesser break areas, as seen in results for the 0.8 double-ended break, the split type had a higher temperature than the guillotine. If the 0.6 double-ended area break (which is 4.9 ft^2) were analyzed, the split type would yield a higher peak clad temperature. Instead of analyzing the 0.6 double-ended area break, the 4.5 ft^2 area split type break was used and results were reported. The following table indicates the break mode for each break size.

Break Size	Mode
Double-ended	Guillotine
0.8 Double-ended	Split
4.5 ft^2	Split
3.0 ft^2	Split
0.5 ft^2	Split

K+E 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER 46 1510
18 X 25 CM. MADE IN U.S.A.
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

FIGURE 1

DOUBLE ENDED COLD LEG BREAK REFLOODING PARAMETERS



K+E 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER 46 1510
18 X 25 CM. MADE IN U.S.A.
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

FIGURE 2

0.5 FT^2 BREAK



K+E 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER 46 1510
10 X 25 CM. MADE IN U.S.A.
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

FIGURE 3

0.5 FT^2 BREAK



K+E 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER 46 1510
18 X 25 CM. MADE IN U.S.A.
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

FIGURE 4

0.5 FT^2 BREAK



K+E 10 X 10 TO ½ INCH 46 1320
7 X 10 INCHES MADE IN U.S.A.
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

FIGURE 5

0.5 FT^2 COLD LEG BREAK



K+E 10 X 10 TO ½ INCH 46 1320
7 X 10 INCHES MADE IN U.S.A.
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

FIGURE 6

0.5 FT^2 COLD LEG BREAK



K+E 10 X 10 TO ½ INCH 46 1320
7 X 10 INCHES MADE IN U.S.A.
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

FIGURE 7

0.5 ft^2 COLD LEG BREAK



NO. 318. 20 DIVISIONS PER INCH BOTH WAYS. 150 BY 200 DIVISIONS.
codex GRAPH PAPER ® IN STOCK DIRECT FROM CODEX BOOK CO. INC. NORWOOD, MASS. 02062
PRINTED IN U.S.A.



CODEX GRAPH PAPER ®
IN STOCK DIRECT FROM CODEX BOOK CO., INC. NORWOOD, MASS. 02062
PRINTED IN U.S.A.

FIGURE 27
.8 DE Break