



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 36 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-5
AND AMENDMENT NO. 123 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-26
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-03 AND 50-247

INTRODUCTION

By letter dated November 26, 1986, Consolidated Edison Company requested a number of changes to the Administrative Controls for Indian Point Station, Units 1 and 2. Specifically, the changes would affect Figures 3.1 and 3.2 of the Indian Point 1 Technical Specifications; and Figures 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 and Section 6.5.1.2 of the Indian Point 2 Technical Specifications.

DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

The proposed changes are as follows:

1. Figure 3.1 (IP-1)
Figure 6.2.1 (IP-2)
 - a. As a result of organizational restructuring, the offsite corporate support group, previously called the Technical Support Organization, is now called the Independent Safety Review and Audit Organization. The titles of Figures 3.1 and 6.2.1 would be changed accordingly. This is a title change only and is acceptable.
 - b. The existing Technical Specifications show a Vice President, Nuclear Engineering, Quality Assurance and Reliability in the offsite organization. This position has now been abolished and replaced by a new position of Director, Power Generation Services who retains responsibilities for Quality Assurance and Reliability. The Nuclear Engineering function has been moved onsite under the Vice President, Nuclear Power. The licensee states that this organizational split offsite and subsequent consolidation of the Nuclear Engineering function onsite permits the licensee to more effectively use its personnel. Additional engineering and technical resources of the licensee, not shown on the figures, are available through the Executive Vice President of Nuclear Operations. The resulting organization provides for the same level of technical support to the

8709100433 870902
PDR ADDCK 05000003
PDR

station as was provided previously. However, the engineering function has been integrated with the onsite activities where it can be immediately responsive to the needs of the Vice President, Nuclear Power. The resulting organization has not diminished the support available to the station and it is consistent with Section 13.1.1 of the Standard Review Plan. It is, therefore, acceptable.

2. Figure 3.2 (IP-1)
Figure 6.2.2 (IP-2)

- a. A number of changes have been made to the onsite organization, including the following title changes:

<u>Old Title</u>	<u>New Title</u>
Chief Operations Engineer	Operations Manager
Maintenance Engineer	Maintenance Manager
Chief Technical Engineer	Chief Plant Engineer
Radwaste General Supervisor	Radwaste Manager
Test and Performance Engineer	Test and Performance Manager
Nuclear Training Director	Nuclear Training Manager
Emergency Planning Director	Emergency Planning Manager

These are title changes only and do not involve a change of function. They are, therefore, acceptable.

- b. Within the Nuclear Power Generation Department, the position of Operations Superintendent has been abolished. The Operations Manager will now directly manage the activities of the Senior Watch Supervisors, the Support Facilities Supervisors and the rotating shifts. The Operations Manager has personnel on his staff to assist in the day-to-day management of the plant operations activities. The position of Operations Superintendent is not required by the staff and abolition of this position is acceptable.
- c. The positions of Generation Support Manager and Projects and Planning Manager have been added to the Nuclear Power Generation Department. The Generation Support Manager and his staff provide additional administrative support to the department. The functions of the Projects and Planning Manager and his staff include those of the Major Projects Manager who previously was assigned to the Technical Support Department. The addition of the Generation Support Manager and the Projects and Planning Manager to the Nuclear Generation Department are intended to reduce the administrative burdens of both the Operations, Maintenance, and Instrumentation and Controls groups within Nuclear Power Generation, and the Chief Plant Engineer.
- d. The position of Nuclear Environmental Monitoring Engineer has been deleted from the Environmental Health and Safety Department and his function has been transferred to the Emergency Planning Manager.

- e. The Nuclear Engineering Department, previously located offsite, has been merged with the Technical Support Department onsite. This results in the addition of the Safety Assessment Manager and the Nuclear Analysis Manager to the staff of the General Manager Technical Support.
- f. The position of Security Administrator on the staff of the General Manager Administrative Services has been replaced by the Fire Protection, Safety and Security Manager. Responsibility for fire protection has been transferred from the Chief Technical Engineer in the previous organization to this new position.

Overall, the changes the licensee proposes to the onsite organization would result in a restructuring of functional responsibilities and a number of title changes. However, it would not delete any functions performed by the existing organization. In addition, the functions previously performed by the offsite Nuclear Engineering Department would now be performed onsite. The resulting organization is consistent with the guidance of Section 13.1.2 of the Standard Review Plan and is acceptable.

3. Section 6.5.1.2 (IP-2)

The titles of the members of the Station Nuclear Safety Committee would be changed to be consistent with the title changes mentioned above. Specifically, the Chief Technical Engineer would now be the Chief Plant Engineer, and the Maintenance Engineer would be the Maintenance Manager. These are title changes only and are acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves only changes in administrative procedure and requirements. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Section 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

CONCLUSION

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner; and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

PRINCIPAL CONTRIBUTOR:

L. Crocker

Dated: September 2, 1987