

#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSES NOS. DPR-5 AND DPR-26 CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC. INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNITS NOS. 1 AND 2 DOCKET NOS. 50-003 AND 50-247

### Introduction

By application dated September 29, 1983, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the licensee) requested amendments to Appendix A of Operating License Nos. DPR-5 and DPR-26 for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units Numbers 1 and 2. (Indian Point Units 1 and 2). The amendment requests involve a change to facility organization and reporting requirements. Specifically, the proposed revisions to Figures 3.1 and 3.2 of the Indian Point Unit 1 Technical Specifications and Figures 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 of the Indian Point Unit 2 Technical Specifications would permit consolidating the fire protection responsibilities of the "Fire Protection and Safety Administrator" with those of the "Fire and Property Protection Engineer". Additionally, the revision to Technical Specification 6.9.1.7 would delete the requirement to send monthly operating reports to the office of Management Information and Program Control and would change the number of copies to be sent to the office of Inspection and Enforcement.

#### Discussion and Evaluation

8501280120 850

Consolidation of these positions will place the responsibility for all fire protection requirements under a single general manager (Technical Support). This organizational change should reduce the potential for omission, overlap or duplication of effort by assuring that all fire protection related activities at the plant site are administered by a central organization having the appropriate and necessary expertise.

The revision to Technical Specification 6.9.1.7 is consistent with Revision 4 to NRC Regulatory Guide 10.1 (Oct 81) which requires monthly operating reports to be submitted only to the office of Inspection and Enforcement.

# Environmental Consideration

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

## Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: January 10, 1985

Principal Contributors: R. Pederson

B. Hillman

- 2 -