

Distribution:
 Central File: ←
 RP Reading
 EP Reading
 EP File
 DMuller, EP, L
 MJOestmann, EP-1, L
 T.McCreless, EP,L

Docket No. 50-286

ENVIRON, FILE (NEPA)

NOV 10 1972

Note to: George W. Knighton, Chief, Environmental Projects Branch 1,L
 STATUS REPORT - CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPNAY - INDIAN POINT UNIT NO. 3

Status:

Con Ed submitted an ER on 6/14/71, SER #1 on 12/8/71 and SER #2 on 9/11/72. On 8/22/72 Con Ed wrote the the SER #3 on entrainment of plankton, environmental studies, and chemical discharges would be sent to the AEC on 10/2/72 and SER #4 on impingement and entrainment of fish eggs and larvae on 11/1/72. From my conversation with D. Remshaw, licensing administrator of Con Ed, on 10/20/72, it appears that SER #3 and #4 will not be available until mid-November or the latter half of November.

In discussion with the AEC Technical REview staff, who have made a cursory review of the SER #2, it appears that the information provided was put together in a haphazard manner. The accident analysis review indicated that a number of deficiencies exist regarding meteorological data, probabilities considered for each accident, and assumptions used to estimate does consequences. Apparently Con Ed did not follow the Annex to Appendix D in preparing the section on accidents. The Accident Analysis Branch is checking into the matter of preliminary accessment of accidents prepared last spring by the Licensing Manager. Since pertinent information is needed from Con Ed, I told the AA Branch to send me a list of questions which can be forwarded to Con Ed to obtain the necessary information. Similarly, the Radiological Assessment Branch has reviewed the SER #2 and found a number of deficiencies. Information on radiological dose assumptions needed from Con Ed also will be requested. The Cost-Benefit Analysis section is also being reviewed. Although no detailed assessment of Con Ed's submittal has been made, I believe a request should be sent to Con Ed to supply adequate information before any schedule on the preparation of the DES should be established. Input to a request will be sent by the different technical reviews by the week of November 6.

Schedule:

8111130024 721110
 ADOCK 05000286

Until all information is in hand, it is difficult to establish a firm schedule on starting the DES preparation.

OFFICE ▶	EP-1	EP-1			
SURNAME ▶	MJOestmann	GWKnighton			
DATE ▶	11/7/72	11/ /72			

Problems:

The ORNL team on IP-2 is presently involved in working on the Newbold Island DES until at least through the end of November. Many team members will be involved with IP-2 hearings which may go on through the end of January. Conflicts with IP-2 hearings and Shoreham hearings and Newbold Island DES will impact scheduling effort to prepare the IP-3 DES.

M. J. Oestmann, Project Manager
Indian Point Unit No. 3
Environmental Projects Branch No. 1
Directorate of Licensing

OFFICE ▶	EP-1	EP-1				
SURNAME ▶	MJOestmann	GWKnighton				
DATE ▶	11/7/72	11/ /72				