

Raiph E. Beedle Executive Vice President Nuclear Generation

February 28, 1992 IPN-92-013 JPN-92-009

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Mail Station P1-137 Washington, DC 20555

Subject:

Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant

Docket No. 50-286

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

Docket No. 50-333

Fitness-for-Duty Program Performance Report for July through December 1991

Dear Sir:

This letter transmits the Indian Point 3 (IP3) and James A. FitzPatrick (JAF) Fitness-for-Duty Program performance reports, in accordance with 10 CFR 26.71(d), for the period July 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991. The IP3 report is provided as Attachment I to this letter and the JAF report is provided as Attachment II.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. P. Kokolakis or Mr. J. A. Gray, Jr. of my staff.

Very truly yours,

Ralph E. Beedle

Executive Vice President

Nuclear Generation

att: As stated

cc: See next page

050083

X

9203060242 920228 PDR ADDCK 05000286 R PDR cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

> Resident Inspector's Office Indian Point Unit 3 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 337 Buchanan, NY 10511

Mr. Nicola F. Conicella, Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 14 B2 Washington, DC 20555

Office of the Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 136 Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. Brian C. McCabe Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 14 B2 Washington, DC 20555

Attachment I to IPN-92-013/JPN-92-009

New York Power Authority Indian Point Three Nuclear Power Plant

Fitness-for-Duty Program Performance Report for the Period July through December 1991

Introduction

10 CFR 26.71(d) requires that the nuclear power plant licensees periodically collect, compile and submit fitness-for-duty performance data. Specifically, this performance data must include:

- 1. Random testing rate;
- 2. Drugs tested for and cutoff levels, including results of tests using lower cutoff levels and tests for other drugs;
- 3. Workforce populations tested;
- 4. Numbers of tests and results by population and type of test (i.e., pre-badging, random, for-cause, etc.);
- 5. Substances identified;
- 6. Summary of management actions; and
- 7. A list of events reported.

Fitness-for-duty performance data for the Authority's Indian Point Three Nuclear Power Plant (IP3) for the period from July through December 1991 is provided below and in the two attached tables. The random testing rate, a summary of management actions, and a list of events reported (items 1, 6, and 7) are given below. Data for the remaining items (2, 3, 4, and 5) are given in the attached tables.

An additional section not required by 10 CFR 26 summarizes events related to the IP3 Fitness-for-Duty Program and lessons learned.

Random Testing Rate

The random testing rate for this period was 46 percent. The total random testing rate for 1991 was 100.4 percent.

Summary of Management Actions

The Authority used the definition of "Management Actions" in 10 CFR 26.27 ("Management actions and sanctions to be imposed") to determine the content of this section.

Pre-Employment Contractor Testing

Pre-employment fitness-for-duty testing of contractors resulted in seven positive results. The seven contractors who tested positive were denied access.

Attachment I to IPN-92-013/JPN-92-009

Employee Random Testing

Employee random testing did not result in any confirmed positive test results.

Contractor Personnel Random Testing

Contractor personnel random testing did not result in any confirmed positive test results.

Events Reported

The Indian Point Three Nuclear Power Plant did not experience any 10 CFR 26.73 reportable fitness-for-duty events during the reporting period.

Lessons Learned and Program Events

Initial NRC Inspection

The initial NRC inspection of the Fitness for Duty Program at IP3 took place in December.

FITNESS FOR DUTY PROGRAM

New York Power Authority

Performance Data Personnel Subject to 10CFR 26

COMPANY					6	MONTHS ENDING	3	
Indian Point Unit	3 Nuclear	Power Pl	ant (Doc	cet No. 50) - 286)			
					(9	14) 736 - 8	191	
Joe Dube CONTACT NAME						HONE (INCLUDE A	REA CODE)	
CUTOFFS: SCREEN/C	ONFIRMATIO	N (ng/ml)	X APPEND	DIX A TO 100	FR 26			
MARIJUANA	/	AMPHETAM	INES	/			/	
COCAINE	/	PHENCYCLI	DINE	/		***************************************	_ /	
OPIATES	/	ALCOHOL (9	% BAC)				/	
TESTING RESULTS		LICENSEE	EMPLOYEES		CONTR	-TERM RACTOR ONNEL	CONTR	T-TERM RACTOR ONNEL
AVERAGE NUMBER WITH UNESCORTED ACCESS	59	8			N	/A	39	92
CATEGORIES	# TESTED	# POSITIVE	# REFERRED TO EAP	# ACCESS RESTORED	# TESTED	# POSITIVE	# TESTED	# POSITIVE
PRE-EMPLOYMENT	9	0			N/A	N/A	275	7
PRE-BADGING	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PERIODIC	0	N/A			N/A	N/A	0	N/A
FOR CAUSE	0	N/A			N/A	N/A	0	N/A
POST ACCIDENT	0	N/A			N/A	N/A	0	N/A
RANDOM	255	0	M. B. S. F.		N/A	N/A	200	0
FOLLOW-UP	0	N/A			N/A	N/A	0	N/A
OTHER	0	N/A			N/A	N/A	0	N/A
TOTAL	264	0			N/A	N/A	475	7

December 31, 1991

RANDOM TESTING PROGRAM RESULTS

INDIVIDUALS TESTED	;					19	98	9											19	99	Ю							•.			:	19	91	L										19	992	2										19	93	3	•			
# POSITIVE	# TESTED		_	/	_	7		_	_	/	/	/	1	_	3	/	14	4	/	1	_	5		/ 92	/	/		/	0		39	<i>-</i>	/	(45	55	7		_	_	/	/	/		/	/	/	/	7		_	_	_	/	7		_	/	_	/	7
% POSITIVE																. 6	8					•	63	3						0					0																											
GRAPH OF	5 —		1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$			1	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{1}{1}$	1	$\frac{1}{1}$	1						F	$\frac{1}{1}$	1							E		E		E				-				-		L		E						E	E	\vdash	F			F	F	H				F
% POSITIVE	4 —		\pm	\pm	\pm		1	1	†	\pm	1	\pm	\pm					E	ł	$\frac{1}{2}$	-		_		L	L		L	L	L	\vdash		E		L		L		E	L	L	ł	L		L	\vdash				$oxed{+}$	\vdash		F	-	F		F		L			F
	. 3 —		\pm	t	\pm	1	1	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	+	1	\pm	_				ł	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{1}$						L	L		\vdash							-		\vdash	-	-	+	-	-	-	H	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash		F	F	\vdash	-	F	F	F			F		F
	2 —		\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	1	\pm	1	\exists				L		$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{1}{2}$							H	\vdash	\vdash	L				F	F	-	F				F	F	F	F	F	F			F	F	F	F		H	F	F		F	F	-	F
	1 -		\pm	Ŧ	$oxed{\mathbb{F}}$	\pm	$oxed{I}$		-	$\frac{1}{1}$		\exists		\exists						$\frac{1}{4}$					E			F	L	E						L		L				ŀ	Ē	L	F			E	ŀ		E	E	E		F	F	E			F	F	F
		\Box	1	1	1	1		1	I	- 1	- [-	- 1	- 1						1	П	i			Ι-		+	+	T	1		I	I -	1	I _	[Γ	Г	Τ	Τ	Г	Т	Т	Т	Т	1	Т	Г	1	Π	Γ	П	Ţ-	T	Т	Т	Г	Г	Г			T

CONFIRMED POSITIVE TESTS FOR SPECIFIC SUBSTANCES

MARIJUANA		5 (1)	14 (1)	3	3		
COCAINE		12 (1)	20 (1)	1	4		
OPIATES		1	1	0	0		
AMPHETAMINES		0	0	0	0		
PHENCYCLIDINE		0	0	0	0		
ALCOHOL		1	1 (2)	0	0		
·							
					·		

(1) One individual tested positive for each of the identified substances.

(2) For cause test result.

Attachment II to IPN-92-013/JPN-92-009

New York Power Authority James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

Fitness-for-Duty Program Performance Report for the Period July through December 1991

Introduction

10 CFR 26.71(d) requires that the nuclear power plant licensees periodically collect, compile and submit fitness-for-duty performance data. Specifically, this performance data must include:

- 1. Random testing rate;
- 2. Drugs tested for and cutoff levels, including results of tests using lower cutoff levels and tests for other drugs;
- 3. Workforce populations tested;
- 4. Numbers of tests and results by population and type of test (i.e., pre-badging, random, for-cause, etc.);
- 5. Substances identified:
- 6. Summary of management actions; and
- 7. A list of events reported.

Fitness-for-duty performance data for the Authority's James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAF) for the period from July through December 1991 is provided below and in the two attached tables. The random testing rate, a summary of management actions, and a list of events reported (items 1, 6, and 7) are given below. Data for the remaining items (2, 3, 4, and 5) are given in the attached tables.

An additional section not required by 10 CFR 26 summarizes events related to the JAF Fitness-for-Duty Program and lessons learned.

Random Testing Rate

The random testing rate for this period was 52 percent. The total random testing rate for 1991 was 100.4 percent.

Summary of Management Actions

The Authority used the definition of "Management Actions" in 10 CFR 26.27 ("Management actions and sanctions to be imposed") to determine the content of this section.

Pre-Employment Confirmed Positives

Seven contractors and one New York Power Authority temporary seeking unescorted access tested positive. Seven confirmed positive for drugs, one confirmed positive for alcohol. Access was denied in all cases.

Attachment II to IPN-92-013/JPN-92-009

Employee Random Testing

Three New York Power Authority employees selected for random testing were confirmed positive for drugs during this reporting period. Each employee was denied access and referred to the Employee Assistance Program. After initial treatment and continuing out patient assistance, all three individuals have returned to work on an accelerated follow up testing schedule.

Contractor Personnel Random Testing

Three contractor employees selected for random testing were confirmed positive, two for drugs, one for alcohol. Access was denied in each incident.

For Cause Testing Confirmed Positive

After reliable information was received on two short term contractors, For Cause Testing was done. Both tests were confirmed positive for marijuana. Access was denied.

Events Reported

One 10 CFR 26.73 reportable Fitness-For-Duty event occurred on October 3, 1991 when a supervisor was confirmed positive for cocaine. The NRC was notified within 24 hours as required.

Access was denied and the individual referred to the EAP. The employee requested a split sample, stored at NYPA James A. FitzPatrick be tested. The split sample was confirmed positive for cocaine by another NIDA certified laboratory.

Lessons Learned and Program Events

Prior to specimen collection all individuals are now required to empty pockets, pulling pockets inside out. The tops of boots and socks are also checked. Lockers are provided for belongings. This procedure was adopted to minimize the possibility testing could be compromised.

WACP 10.1.26 was revised to limit the right of appeal of a confirmed positive pre-access to within seven (7) working days.

FITNESS FOR DUTY PROGRAM

Performance Data Personnel Subject to 10CFR 26

New York Power Auth	ority				De	ecember 31	l, 1991	
COMPANY				7	_ 6	MONTHS ENDING		
James A. FitzPatric	k Nuclear	Power P	lant (Doc	ket No. 5	<u>0</u> -333)		•	
LOCATION						•		
Carol A. Soucy						315) 349-6		
CONTACT NAME					. Pl	IONE (INCLUDE A	REA CODE)	
CUTOFFS: SCREEN/CC	NFIRMATION	N (ng/ml)	X APPEND	IX A TO 10C	FR 26			
MARIJUANA	/	AMPHETAMI	INES	/	<u> </u>		_ /	
COCAINE	/	PHENCYCLII	DINE		.		_ /	
OBIATEO		ALCOULOL (0	, (DAO)	•	•		,	
OPIATES	/ .	ALCOHOL (%	6 BAC)				_ /	•
		•						
						TERM ACTOR		T-TERM ACTOR
TESTING RESULTS		LICENSEE E	EMPLOYEES	,		ONNEL		NNEL
AVERAGE NUMBER	:				·			
WITH UNESCORTED	j	(_{8.}					'	
ACCESS	9	89					5.	34
CATEGORIES	# TESTED	# POSITIVE	REFERRED TO EAP	# ACCESS RESTORED	# TESTED	# POSITIVE	# TESTED	# POSITIVE
PRE-EMPLOYMENT	231	1	- 13 E		0	0	812	7
PRE-BADGING	9	0			0	N/A	4	0
PERIODIC	0	N/A	No.		0	N/A	0	N/A
FOR CAUSE	0 ·	0			0	N/A	2	2
POST ACCIDENT	0 .	0			0	N/A	0	N/A
RANDOM	539	3	3	3	46	0	214	3
FOLLOW-UP	29	0			2	. 0	9	0
OTHER	·3	N/A			1	0	2	0
TOTAL	811	4	3	3	49	0	1043	12

RANDOM TESTING PROGRAM RESULTS

INDIVIDUALS TESTED	;	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993
# POSITIVE			3 2	1 6		
	# TESTED		636 547	644 799		
% POSITIVE			0.47 0.36	0.16 0.75		
GRAPH OF	5 —					
% POSITIVE	4 —					
	3 —					
	2 —					
	1 -				-	

CONFIRMED POSITIVE TESTS FOR SPECIFIC SUBSTANCES

				7	2	3	11		-		
-				4	0	2	5				
				0	0	0	0				
ES	-			0	0	0	0				
ΝE		·		0	0	0	0				
	÷			. 3	1	2	1				
(2)	One p	re-employm	ent confir	ned positi	ve test wa	s positive	for (2) s	ubstances	cocaine a	nd marijua	ına.
	1)	1) There There	1) There is one contherefore, the	1) There is one contractor to Therefore, the average number of the distinction between 1	o SS O NE O 3 1) There is one contractor testing poor Therefore, the average number of all The distinction between long and sh	o 0 SS 0 0 NE 0 0 1) There is one contractor testing pool which contractor the average number of all contractor the distinction between long and short term contractor term contractor term contractor the distinction between long and short term contractor term contract	O O O SS O O O O NE O O O O NE O O O O 3 1 2 1) There is one contractor testing pool which contains the Therefore, the average number of all contractors with u The distinction between long and short term contractors	4 0 2 5 0 0 0 0 SS 0 0 0 0 NE 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 1 1) There is one contractor testing pool which contains the names of Therefore, the average number of all contractors with unescorted The distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction between long and short term contractors is made of the distinction betw	4 0 2 5 0 0 0 0 SS 0 0 0 0 NE 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 1 1) There is one contractor testing pool which contains the names of both long Therefore, the average number of all contractors with unescorted access for The distinction between long and short term contractors is made during the	4 0 2 5 0 0 0 0 SS 0 0 0 0 NE 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 1 1) There is one contractor testing pool which contains the names of both long and short Therefore, the average number of all contractors with unescorted access for this report The distinction between long and short term contractors is made during the testing process.	4 0 2 5