**Indian Point 3** Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 215 Buchanan, New York 10511 914 739.8200



November 6, 1984 IPN-84-53

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention:	Mr. Steven A. Varga, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. l Division of Licensing
Subject:	Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant Docket No. 50-286
	Indian Point 3 Midcycle Steam Generator Inspection

### Dear Sir:

Attached please find information the NRC staff requested at the November 2, 1984 meeting held in Bethesda, Maryland concerning the Indian Point 3 midcycle steam generator inspection. In addition, a revised Figure 2, submitted to the NRC in Attachment II of Application for Amendment to the Operating License, dated November 1, 1984 (IPN-84-52), is also provided herein.

Should you or your staff have any questions regarding this matter please contact Mr. P. Kokolakis.

Very truly yours,

dions

John C. Brons Resident Manager

8411120258 841106 PDR ADDCK 05000284

cc:

Q .

Resident Inspector's Office Indian Point Unit 3 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 66 Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Don Neighbors Project Manager Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Licensing 7920 Norfolk Ave. Bethesda, MD 20014

PDR 1

A001 '|,

# Indian Point 3

New York Power Authority

# CURRENT STEAM GENERATOR ECT STATUS\* (CRITICAL SIZE RANGES - PRESENT PLUGGING LIMITS)

Program	Extent		Number of Degraded T	UBES
DESCRIPTION	COMPLETE	< 50%	≥50%	TOTAL
31 OUTLET	99%	186.	48	234
32 OUTLET	 75%	198	27	225
33 OUTLET	75%	173	19	192
34 OUTLET	100%	. 232	20	252
			•	

Progr	AM	Extent	Nu	MBER OF DEGRADED	Tubes
Descr	IPTION	COMPLETE	<u>&lt; 40%</u> -	≥40%	TOTAL
31 IN	LET	99%	48	11	59
52 IN	LET	Ω%		_	-
33 IN 34 IN	LET LET	19% 100%	5 9	2 11	7 20

\*ECT INSPECTION AND DATA ANALYSIS IS PRESENTLY INCOMPLETE, RESULTS PRESENTED HEREIN ARE PRELIMINARY.

### INDIAN POINT 3 New York Power Authority

## CURRENT STEAM GENERATOR 'ECT STATUS\* (CRITICAL SIZE RANGES - PROPOSED PLUGGING LIMITS)

Program	EXTENT	Number of	F Degraded $\geq 63\%$	Tubes
Description	Complete	< 63%		Total
31 Outlet	99%	226	8	234
32 Outlet	• 75%	221		225
33 Cutlet	7 <i>5%</i>	188	4	192
34 Qutlet	100%	246	6	252 -

Program Description	Extent Complete	-	Number	of Degraded $\geq 40\%$	Tubes Total
31 Inlet	99%		48	11	59
32 INLET	0%		- 1	× –	<del>-</del> .
33 INLET 34 INLET	19% 100%	,	5	2 11	7 20

\* ECT INSPECTION AND DATA ANALYSIS IS PRESENTLY INCOMPLETE; RESULTS PRESENTED HEREIN ARE PRELIMINARY.

'Indian Point 3 1982/1984 INDICATION GROWTH DISTRIBUTION (SAMPLE PROGRAM)



### NEW YORK POWER AUTHORITY Indian Point 3

#### RESPONSE TO NRC QUESTIONS FROM MEETING OF NOVEMBER 2, 1984

<u>Question</u>: Provide the 1982 ECT results for the approximately 70 tubes with cold leg indications exceeding 50% wall degradation as determined by the ongoing mid-cycle inspection.

<u>Response</u>: A tube by tube comparison of the 1982 data with the 1984 mid-cycle test results is attached for this worst case sample of tubes. In order to make this comparison valid, the 1982 data for these tubes were reanalyzed using the same equipment being used for the entire mid-cycle (1984) inspection. This data reanalysis is exactly as that described to the NRC on 11/2/84 for the initial 600 tube cold leg sample.

As would be expected by looking at only the tubes with pluggable defects, certain tubes exhibit substantial change from the reanalyzed 1982 data for these tubes. The major reason for this change is the low voltage signals which have been a characteristic of the tube pitting problem at Indian Point 3. These small defects approach the limits of detectability of the current state of the art in eddy current testing. Indicative of this point is the fact that 38 tubes which appeared to have defects in 1982 have been determined to have no degradation at all using equipment with improved sensitivity. It must also be noted that several tubes which had been determined to be degraded less than 50% in 1982 are now determined by reanalysis to have had very small volume defects in excess of 50%. Both facts are considered to be expected results of technique improvements.

On average, the tubes with greater than 50% wall degradation (attached list) have exhibited a change from the reanalyzed 1982 data of 16%. This is considered acceptable since for the past nine month operating period, the entire population of degraded tubes was expected to change by 15%. As reported to the NRC on 11/2/84 the average change of all previously degraded tubes was only 1.5% for the nine month operating period.

Finally, it is important to recall that pitting represents an operational problem rather than a problem of safety significance. Pitted tubes have been demonstrated to fail by leakage. Lab testing as reported in previous meetings and operational experience has borne this out. As noted during our meeting, Indian Point 3 has the Standard Technical Specification limits of .3 gpm for primary to secondary leakage. The plant successfully operated during the last nine months with no indications of leakage using a plugging criteria developed from growth rates of overall tube population.

This information is considered sufficient to conclude that a cold leg plugging limit of 63% is allowable because:

- 1. Pitted tubes exhibit leakage and do not constitute a safety problem.
- 2. The worst case tubes exhibited change from 1982 approximately equal to that expected for all degraded tubes.
- 3. The average growth rate of degraded tubes on the cold leg was an order of magnitude less than that expected for the nine month period.
- 4. The remainder of Cycle 4 is not expected to result in change which exceeds the 10% allowance for ECT uncertainty and the 2% average allowance for corrosion. Of course, an ECT inspection program will be conducted in accordance with plant Technical Specifications at the conclusion of Cycle 4.

Page 3 of 6

IDIAN POINT 3 COLD LEG DEFECTS 250% COMPARISON WITH BZ DATA

SG 31 COLDLEG:

Tube	Re evaluated 8 2 Data	84 Data	Signal Koltage
30-13	35	59	1.3 volts
6-21	NDD	56	.66
11-22	51	50	.78
17-22	54	60	.76
12-24	67	65	.33
17-24	27	60	.56
27-30	39	56	.26
28-30	52	51	.66
7-32	55	88	1.18
8-34	37	54	.39
10-34	31	57	.53
7-36	45	74	.53
10-36	<20	58	1.06
8-37	53	64	.69
3-38	39	59	•24
13-41	NDD	55	.43
25-41	56	74	.7/
29-41	52	86	.62
27-42	55	62	.62
37-42	82	78	.42
18-44	42	62	.34
31-44	48	61	.87
7-46	48	57	1.58

31 COLD LEG (cont)

••

....

	Regualmated		<u> </u>
Tuke	BZ Data	84 Jata	Signal Voltage
5-49	44	51	.79 0
28-49	42	62	.62
31-49	47	54	.25
17-50	DS	60	.71
29-51	45	52	1.19
10-53	39	56	.38
25-53	21	54	.78
32-53	40	54	.65
36-53	<20	62	.45
18-54	42	52	.77
23-54	53	61	.27
30-56	55	69	, 53
27-57	<20	57	.34
28-57	48	60	.33
27-59	55	60	.80
30-59	67	59	.67
30-60		59	
10-65	39	54	.83
6-73	48	54	.58
10-73	47	57	.67
9-74	40	51	.43
13-74	40	52	.41
9-76	45	62	. 26
6-77	620	52	. 27
10-80	47	59	.34

Page 5 of 6

SG 34 COLD LEG:

- ---- -

-----

----

. . . . . . . .

Tube	Reevaluated 82 Data	84 Data	Signel Voltage
7-4	NDD	NDD(.GIOR)	0.0
4-19	55	61	.24
5-21	. 58	62	.36
23-27	46	50	1.25
4-28	48	50	.47
21-31	21	74	.78
26 - 33	36	55	.29
13-36	74	76	1.07
21-38	39	60	.65
26-40	NA	53	.46
31 - 40	NA	50	1.74
11-45	40	51	1.13
6-49	NDD	65	.43
20-50	47	54	. 87
11-52	47	59	.63
17-60	52	53	1.00
6-67	NDD	67	.79
12-68	31	58	.77
3-70	45	53	•58
10-91	41	42	.73

Page 6 of 6 NOTES : NDD= No detectable degradation DS= Distorted signal R = Restriction NA = Data not available \* For comparison purposes, note that the ASME calibration standard defects produce signal voltages of 4-6 volts.

NEW YORK POWER AUTHORITY Indian Point 3 Page 1 of 7





DATE

Page 2 of 7.

5

Page 3 of 7



· --- /



SG CL- VS OPERATING MONTHS

Page 4 of 7

--- !



Page 5 of 7



ruge o or y



Page 7 of 7