

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

12/31/81

10 COLUMBUS CIRCLE NEW YORK, N. Y. 10019

(212) 397-6200

TRUSTEES

JOHN S. DYSON
CHAIRMAN
GEORGE L. INGALLS
VICE CHAIRMAN
RICHARD M. FLYNN
ROBERT I. MILLONZI
FREDERICK R. CLARK



GEORGE T. BERRY
PRESIDENT & CHIEF
OPERATING OFFICER
JOHN W. BOSTON
EXECUTIVE VICE
PRESIDENT—PROCEDURES
& PERFORMANCE
JOSEPH R. SCHMIEDER
EXECUTIVE VICE
PRESIDENT & CHIEF
ENGINEER
LEROY W. SINCLAIR
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT
& CHIEF FINANCIAL
OFFICER
THOMAS R. FREY
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT
& GENERAL COUNSEL



Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Mr. Thomas M. Novak
Assistant Director for Operating Reactors
Division of Licensing

Subject: Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-286
Proposed Schedule of Regulatory Requirements

Dear Sir:

This letter is provided as a result of our October 5, 1981 meeting where you suggested that the Authority propose a reasonable schedule for completing all outstanding regulatory requirements during routine refueling outages.

Attachment I contains the proposed IP-3 schedule and includes non-regulatory tasks (lettered items, sheet 4) for information only to provide an overall picture of the plant work effort. All regulatory tasks (numbered items, sheets 1, 2 & 3) for which a new schedule is being proposed have been identified by a hexagon symbol (●) for convenience. Justification for these new schedules is provided by reference to general notes (sheet 5).

It should be noted that this schedule is subject to change should any unforeseen circumstances (unplanned outages, slippage of refueling schedules, equipment unavailability, engineering design difficulties, installation problems) arise since the preparation of the schedule.

The Authority intends to maintain this schedule as a living document in an attempt to minimize the impact of additional tasks on normal plant operation.

A001
3
1/1

8201040006 811231
PDR ADDCK 05000286
P PDR

A similar schedule for the James A. FitzPatrick plant is also being prepared and will be transmitted to you shortly. At that time the Authority would be happy to schedule a small meeting to discuss the proposed schedules informally.

Should you or your staff have any questions regarding this schedule please contact Mr. J. Lamberski of my staff.

Very truly yours,

C. M. Wilberding

for
J. P. Bayne
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Generation

cc: Resident Inspector
Indian Point Unit 3
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 38
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Ron Barton
United Engineers & Constructors, Inc.
30 S. 17th Street
Philadelphia, Pa. 19101

Notes:

1. Items listed by number are either: a) existing commitments/requirements, or b). proposed commitments based on the October 5, 1981 meeting with the NRC. They are shown on the Bar Chart with estimated start dates where applicable, which could be the start of engineering design or actual installation, and finish dates which is the completion date for that respective commitment/requirement.
2. Items listed by letters are those non-regulatory items being scheduled by the Authority for the respective refueling outages and are to be used as an aid for scheduling purposes only.
3. Rescheduled due to slippage of the refueling outage start date during which this work was originally planned to be performed.
4. The completion date for this work is based on earliest anticipated delivery of qualified material, equipment and/or components and is contingent upon such delivery.
5. Rescheduled to minimize radiation exposure to workers (ALARA) in those areas where other work has already been planned, or to coincide with optimum plant operating conditions as required to perform work.
6. Rescheduled due to availability of manpower. This may be due to:
 - a) Lack of engineering/technical personnel to perform analysis and design type work; or
 - b) Lack of craft labor to perform installation as limited by the maximum number of craft labor on site which can be effectively managed and supervised by PASNY. (Max. desired manpower level is 500 people).
7. Rescheduled due to work area conflicts. (i.e., more than one job to be performed in the same general work area and which cannot be efficiently performed at the same time or within the constraints of one refueling outage.)
8. Rescheduled due to conflicts with engineering analysis being performed for other commitments.
9. Rescheduled due to lack of a comprehensive review by the NRC of a conceptual design package.
10. Schedule for completion under control of an outside organization such as an owner's group which deals independently with the NRC.