OCT 0 7 1981

Docket No. 50-286 Mr. George T. Berry, President and Chief Operating Officer Power Authority of the State of New York 10 Columbus Circle New York, New York 10019 Dear Mr. Berry:

DISTRIBUTION Docket Gray File NRC PDR I. PDR TERA NSIC ORB#1 Rdq DEisenhut OELD IE-3 ACRS-10 SVarga CParrish JThoma EConner WGambill

SUBJECT: Status of NUREG-0737 Items II.F.1.1 and II.F.1.2

NUREG-0737 Items II.F.1.1 and IIIF.1.2 require the installation of high range noble gas effluent monitors and provisions for effluent monitoring of radioiodines at accident conditions, respectively, by Januaryll, 1982. Since a postimplementation review is planned, we are presently reviewing only deviations to the stated NRC positions.

Changes to your plant Technical Specifications are necessary to fully implement NUREG-0737 Items II.F.1.1 and II.F.1.2. Sample Standard Technical Specification pages are provided as Enclosure 1 for your assistance. Your application for such proposed changes may be submitted any time prior to January 1, 1982.

Based on our review of your submittals, we understand that deviations from our stated positions are requested. Enclosure 2 provides some general information and comments that should assist you in your implementation of the subject requirements. Exxon Nuclear Company has been contracted to review these deviations. The NRC technical lead reviewer, Mr. Phil Stoddart of our Effluent Treatment Systems Branch, has or soon will contact your staff through your assigned project manager to discuss the requested deviations. We request your cooperation to resolve the deviations expeditiously.

Your submittal further indicates that you anticipate no problems meeting the implementation date of January 1, 1982 for Items II.F.I.1 and II.F.1.2. You should be aware that Commission approval is required to postpone the implementation date for any NUREG-0737 item at any facility. Therefore, any equipment delivery or installation problems should be brought to our attention as early as possible.

	811022 PDR AD P	0019 811007 DCK 0500028 PI	7 36)R				
OFFICE							
SURNAME DATE				•••••	•••••••		·····
NRC FORM 318	(10-80) NRCM 0240		OFFICIAL	RECORD C	OPY	L	USGPO: 1981-335-960

If you have questions on any portions of this letter, please contact John Thoma, your assigned NRC project manager.

-2-

Sincerely,

Steven A. Varga, Chief **Operating Reactors Branch #1** Division of Licensing

ß

Enclosures:

Sample Standard TS pages
 General Information and Comments

cc w/enclosures: See next page

OFFICE	ORB#1:DL	ORB#3:DL	ORBETTEL			
	JT-lioma ds	Econner	svarge			
DATE 🌢	10/. ? /81	10/.7/.81	10/9/81			
NRC FORM 318 (10-80) NRCM 0240			OFFICIAL	RECORD C	OPY	 USGPO: 1981-335-960

Mr. George T. Berry Power Authority of the State of New York

cc: White Plains Public Library 100 Martine Avenue White Plains, New York 10601

Mr. Charles M. Pratt
Assistant General Counsel
Power Authority of the
State of New York
10 Columbus Circle
New York, New York 10019

Ms. Ellyn Weiss Sheldon, Harmon and Weiss 1725 I Street, N.W., Suite 506 Washington, D. C. 20006

Dr. Lawrence D. Quarles Apartment 51 Kendal at Longwood Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348

Mr. George M. Wilverding Manager - Nuclear Licensing Power Authority of the State of New York 10 Columbus Circle New York, New York 10019

Theodore A. Rebelowski Resident Inspector Indian Point Huclear Generating U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 38 Buchanan, New York 10511

Joan Holt, Project Director New York Public Interest Research Group, Inc. 5 Beekman Street New York, New York 10038 Mr. J. P. Bayne, Senior Vice Pres.
Power Authority of the State of New York
10 Columbus Circle
New York, New York 10019

Mr. John C. Brons, Resident Manager Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant P. O. Box 215 Buchanan, New York 10511

Ezra I. Bialik Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Bureau New York State Department of Law 2 World Trade Center New York, New York 10047

INSTRUMENTATION

Enclosure 1

3/4.3.3 MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.1 The radiation monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-6 shall be OPERABLE with their alarm/trip setpoints within the specified limits.

APPLICABILITY: As shown in Table 3.3-6.

ACTION:

- a. With a radiation monitoring channel alarm/trip setpoint exceeding the value shown in Table 3.3-6, adjust the setpoint to within the limit within 4 hours or declare the channel inoperable.
- b. With one or more radiation monitoring channels inoperable, take the ACTION shown in Table 3.3-6.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 3.0.4 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.1 Each radiation monitoring instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations for the MODES and at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-3.

TABLE 3.3-6

MINIMUM CHANNELS APPLICABLE ALARM/TRIP MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENT MODES **OPERABLE** SETPOINT ACTION RANGE **AREA MONITORS** 1. a. Fuel Storage Pool Area $(10^{-1} - 10^4)$ mR/hr i. Criticality Monitor (1) * < 15 mR/hr25 ii. Ventilation System $(< 2 \times background)$ (1 - 10⁵) cpm Isolation $(1)^{-1}$ ** 27 $(1 - 10^5)$ cpm b. Containment - Purge & (< 2 x background) (1) 6 28 Exhaust Isolation $(10^{-1} - 10^{4})$ mR/hr c. Control Room Isolation (1)A11 MODES (< 2 x background) 29 1-10⁸ rad/hr d. Containment Area 1, 2, 3 & 4 () rad/hr 2 30 **PROCESS MONITORS** 2. a. Fuel Storage Pool Area -Ventilation System Isolation $(\le 2 \times background)$ (1 - 10⁵) cpm ($\le 2 \times background$) (1 - 10⁵) cpm ** ** i. Gaseous Activity 27 (1)ii. Particulate Activity (1)27 b. Containment i. Gaseous Activity a)Purge & Exhaust 6 1, 2, 3 & 4 (≤ 2 x background) (1 - 10⁵) cpm N/A (1 - 10⁵) cpm Isolation (1) 28 b)RCS Leakage Detection(1) 26 ii. Particulate Activity a)Purge & Exhaust (< 2 x background) N/A und) (1 - 10<mark>5</mark>) cpm (1 - 10⁵) cpm Isolation (1)· 6 28 b)RCS Leakage Detection(1) 1, 2, 3 & 4 26

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

* With fuel in the storage pool or building

** With irradiated fuel in the storage pool

TABLE 3.3-6 (Continued)

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

INSTRUMENT	MINIMUM CHANNELS OPERABLE	APPLICABLE MODES	ALARM/TRIP SETPOINT	MEASUREMENT RANGE	ACTION
PROCESS MONITORS (Continued)				
c. Noble Gas Effluent	Monitors				
i. Radwaste Buildi Exhaust System	ng 1	1, 2, 3 & 4	() rad/hr	1-10 ² uCi/cc	30
ii. Auxiliary Build Exhaust System	ing 1	1, 2, 3 & 4	() rad/hr	1-10 ³ uCi/cc	30
iii. Steam Safety Va Discharge	lve 1/valve	1, 2, 3 & 4	() rad/hr	1-10 ³ úCi/cc	30
iv. Atmospheric Ste Dump Valve Discharge	am 1/valve	1, 2, 3 & 4	() rad/hr	1-10 ³ uCi/cc	30
v. Shield Building Exhaust System	1	1, 2, 3 & 4	() rad/hr	1-10 ⁴ uCi/cc	30
vi. Containment Pur Exhaust System	ge & ` 1	1, 2, 3 & 4	() rad/hr	1-10 ⁵ uCi/cc	30
vii. Condenser Exhau System	st 1	1, 2, 3 & 4	.() rad/hr	1-10 ⁵ uCi/cc	30

STS

TABLE 3.3-6 (Continued)

ACTION STATEMENTS

- ACTION 25 With the number of OPERABLE channels less than required by the Minimum Channels OPERABLE requirement, perform area surveys of the monitored area with portable monitoring instrumentation at least once per 24 hours.
- ACTION 26 With the number of OPERABLE channels less than required by the Minimum Channels OPERABLE requirement, comply with the ACTION requirements of Specification (3.4.6.1).
- ACTION 27 With the number of OPERABLE channels less than required by the Minimum Channels OPERABLE requirement, comply with the ACTION requirements of Specification (3.9.12).
- ACTION 28 With the number of OPERABLE channels less than required by the Minimum Channels OPERABLE requirement, comply with the ACTION requirements of Specification (3.9.9).
- ACTION 29 With the number of OPERABLE channels less than required by the Minimum Channels OPERABLE requirement, within 1 hour initiate and maintain operation of the control room emergency ventilation system in the recirculation mode of operation.
- ACTION 30 With the number of OPERABLE Channels less than required by the Minimum Channels OPERABLE requirement, restore the inoperable Channel(s) to OPERABLE status within 7 days, or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours, in at least HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the subsequent 24 hours.

INSTRUMENT		CHANNEL CHANNEL CHECK CALIBRATION		CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST	MODES FOR WHICH SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED	
1.	AREA MONITORS					
•	a. Fuel Storage Pool Area i. Criticality Monitor ii. Ventilation System Isolation	S S	R	M	*	
•	b. Containment - Purge & Exhaust Isolation	t S	Ŕ	M	6	
	c. Control Room Isolation	S	R	М	All MODES	
	d. Containment Area	S	R	М	1, 2, 3 & 4	
2.	PROCESS MONITORS a. Fuel Storage Pool Area - Ven- tilation System Isolation i. Gaseous Activity ii. Particulate Activity	- S S	R R	M M	** **	
	 b. Containment i. Gaseous Activity a) Purge & Exhaust Isolation b) RCS Leakage Detection 	S n S	R R	M M	6 1, 2, 3, & 4	
	ii. Particulate Activity a) Purge & Exhaust Isolation b) RCS Leakage Detection	S n S	R R	M . M	6 1, 2, 3, & 4	

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TABLE 4.3-3

*With fuel in the storage pool or building. **With irradiated fuel in the storage pool.

STS

TABLE 4.3-3 (Continued)

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

INSTRUMENT		CHANNEL CHECK	CHANNEL CALIBRATION	CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST	MODES FOR WHICH SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED
PROCESS MON	ITORS (Continued)				•
c. Nob	le Gas Effluent Monitors		· ·	· · · ·	
i.	Radwaste Building Exhaust System	S	R	M N	1, 2, 3 & 4
ii.	Auxiliary Building Exhaust System	S	R	м	1, 2, 3 & 4
iii.	Steam Safety Valve Discharge	S	R	М	1, 2, 3 & 4
iv.	Atmospheric Steam Dump Valve Discharge	S	R	M	1, 2, 3 & 4
۷.	Shield Building Exhaust System	- S	R	М	1, 2, 3 & 4
vi.	Containment Purge & Exhaust System	S	R	M	1, 2, 3 & 4
vii.	Condenser Exhaust System	S	R	м	1, 2, 3 & 4

STS

INSTRUMENTATION

BASES

3/4.3.3.3 SEISMIC INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the seismic instrumentation ensures that sufficient capability is available to promptly determine the magnitude of a seismic event and evaluate the response of those features important to safety. This capability is required to permit comparison of the measured response to that used in the design basis for the facility to determine if plant shutdown is required pursuant to Appendix "A" of 10 CFR Part 100. The instrumentation is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.12, "Instrumentation for Earthquakes," April 1974.

3/4.3.3.4 METEOROLOGICAL INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the meteorological instrumentation ensures that sufficient meteorological data is available for estimating potential radiation doses to the public as a result of routine or accidental release of radioactive materials to the atmosphere. This capability is required to evaluate the need for initiating protective measures to protect the health and safety of the public and is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.23, "Onsite Meteorological Programs," February 1972.

3/4.3.3.5 REMOTE SHUTDOWN INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the remote shutdown instrumentation ensures that sufficient capability is available to permit shutdown and maintenance of HOT STANDBY of the facility from locations outside of the control room. This capability is required in the event control room habitability is lost and is consistent with General Design Criteria 19 of 10 CFR 50.

3/4.3.3.5 ACCIDENT MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the accident monitoring instrumentation ensures that sufficient information is available on selected plant parameters to monitor and assess these variables following an accident. This capability is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.97, "Instrumentation for Light-Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants to Assess Plant and Environs Conditions During and Following an Accident," December 1980 and NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," November. 1980.

B 3/4 3-

STS

Enclosure 2

NUREG-0737 ITEMS II.F.1.1 AND II.F.1.2 GENERAL INFORMATION AND COMMENTS

II.F.1.7 NOBLE GAS EFFLUENT MONITORS

Some licensees have questioned the value of 10⁵ uCi/cc as the upper limit of monitoring for noble gas effluents from containment and from the PWR air ejector. They claim we are too conservative in assuming a 100% release of gas to containment and that a direct path is presumed to be open. The noble gas release into containment at TMI was on the order of 60 to 70% of the total core inventory. While the containment purge path was not open, if it had been open, it would not have been completely closed automatically until almost four hours into the accident. Licensees say that containment purges would be isolated on a high radiation signal; this is usually not technically correct in that the typical arrangement is to close a damper by radiation signal; however, the purge system "isolation" valves, which are the only valves in the system that are designed and qualified for minimum or "zero" leakage, don't close automatically until the reactor protection system causes total plant isolation. There is a significant difference, since dampers may leak (and even a leak of short duration must be monitored -- and be on-scale).

The value of 10⁵ uCi/cc for PWR air ejector effluent is based on a TID-14844 release into the primary coolant, an assumed Technical Specification limit on primary to secondary leak of 1 gpm through the steam generators and continued use of the main condenser as the principal means of cooling the reactor. At TMI-2, the condenser air ejector exhaust was discharged

into the auxiliary building vent and was heavily diluted. However, at TMI-1 and at many other PWRs, the air ejector has its own small vent stack and has a potential for a release concentration of around 10^5 uCi/cc at a low flow of about 30 scfm. In most plants, this could be vented through a main plant vent or stack and monitored with other stack contributors and the requirement for monitoring at 10^5 uCi/cc would be eliminated. Our position on these monitors is that we never want to have a condition where the monitors are off-scale.

An argument presented in some early submittals was that no such equipment was commercially available. At least three vendors (Eberline, General Atomic, Victoreen) are supplying such monitors and several others have plans to do so (Nuclear Measurements Corp., Kaman Sciences, Radeco).

II.F.1.2 PARTICULATE AND IODINE SAMPLING

A number of licensees have stated that the required sampling capability of 10^2 uCi/cc for particulates and iodines is much too high. The usual arguments are that there is no way their plant could emit that much activity and that EPRI has submitted documentation supporting or recommending adoption of lower levels for postulated accident releases. They also say that the 10^2 figure is based on an assumed TID release which is overly conservative in view of past experience.

- 2 -

A calculation of potential release concentrations involving containment (PWR) or drywell (BWR) purging and based on a TID-14844 iodine release of 25% of the core radioiodine in a containment volume of 10^{6} ft³ indicated a potential release concentration of 10⁴ uCi/cc. ANSI N320-1979 recommends particulate and iodine effluent monitoring at 10⁴ uCi/cc. BNWL-1635 recommends 10^3 uCi/cc for iodines. The 10^2 value is a compromise between the ultra-conservative approach, which would require a value of 10^4 uCi/cc. and the less conservative approach, which holds that iodine releases historically -- with the single exception of the Windscale accident -- have been only a very small fraction of the predicted TID release. We consider that the 10² uCi/cc value represents an upper limit of the total or integrated concentration of activity which, if accumulated on a sampling device or media, could be safely handled by trained personnel utilizing all practicable safety techniques, including use of shielded sample collection devices, remote handling tools or equipment, shielded transport containers, and special high-level measurements or analytical facilities. The frequent claims that such samples could not be safely handled may be predicated on someone picking up and transporting samples literally bare-handed -- this was never the intent.

The design-basis shielding envelope specified in NUREG-0737 calls for 10^2 uCi/cc of activity (either iodine or particulates) to be deposited on sampling media for 30 minutes, and an average gamma energy of 0.5 MeV per disintegration. For a 2 cfm sampler, this would result in an integrated

- 3 -

sample activity of approximately 170 Ci. Two inches of lead shielding, assuming point source geometry, and narrow beam attenuation, would reduce the radiation level by a factor of almost 4,000. 170 Ci of 0.5 MeV material would produce a gamma radiation field of about 50 R/hr at 1 Meter; two inches of lead shielding would reduce this to about 13 mR/hr at 1 Meter. Using long-handled tools, such a sample could be handled in air without shielding for the short periods of time required to transfer a sample from its collection shield to a shielded transfer cask.

While the validity of the EPRI argument is acknowledged for many accident scenarios, the staff and ACRS position at this time is that not enough information is currently available to completely discount TID-14844 assumptions for all accident scenarios.