

Harris, Brian

From: Hawkins, Kimberly RGN-1
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 7:11 AM
To: Barkley, Richard; Conte, Richard; Roberts, Darrell; Farrar, Karl; Lund, Louise; Harris, Brian; Regner, Lisa
Subject: RE: Follow ups to the Annual assessment meeting

Yes, 4 pm is ok with me if it works for everyone else.

From: Barkley, Richard RGN-1
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 5:57 PM
To: Conte, Richard; Roberts, Darrell; Farrar, Karl; Hawkins, Kimberly; Lund, Louise; Harris, Brian; Regner, Lisa
Subject: RE: Follow ups to the Annual assessment meeting

Can everyone support a short discussion on how best to get back to Mr. Webster Thursday at 4 pm? Thanks!

Richard S. Barkley, PE
Technical Communications Assistant, Region I
(610) 337-5065

(b)(6) (Cell)] Ex L



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. Thank you.

From: Conte, Richard RGN-1
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 1:25 PM
To: Barkley, Richard; Roberts, Darrell; Farrar, Karl; Wilson, Peter; Hawkins, Kimberly; Lund, Louise; Harris, Brian
Subject: RE: Follow ups to the Annual assessment meeting

Outside of Scope

OUTSIDE
OF
SCOPE

From: Barkley, Richard RGN-1
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 1:23 PM
To: Conte, Richard; Roberts, Darrell; Farrar, Karl; Wilson, Peter; Hawkins, Kimberly; Lund, Louise; Harris, Brian
Subject: RE: Follow ups to the Annual assessment meeting

Outside of Scope

OUTSIDE
OF
SCOPE

Richard S. Barkley, PE

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions
FOIA- 2009-0245

C-7B

Technical Communications Assistant, Region I
(610) 337-5065

(b)(6) (Cell)] Ex 6



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. Thank you.

RGN-1
From: Conte, Richard
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 11:34 AM
To: Conte, Richard; Roberts, Darrell; Pelton, David; Hollan, Brian; Farrar, Karl; Screnci, Diane; Sheehan, Nell; McNamara, Nancy; Wilson, Peter; Hawkins, Kimberly; Lund, Louise; Barkley, Richard
Subject: RE: Follow ups to the Annual assessment meeting

see attached reply.

RGN-1
From: Conte, Richard
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 9:31 AM
To: Roberts, Darrell; Pelton, David; Holian, Brian; Farrar, Karl; Screnci, Diane; Sheehan, Neil; McNamara, Nancy; Wilson, Peter; Hawkins, Kimberly; Lund, Louise; Barkley, Richard
Subject: FW: Follow ups to the Annual assessment meeting

its done, I guess we wait for reaction.

RGN-1
From: Conte, Richard
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 9:27 AM
To: 'Richard Webster'
Cc: Harris, Brian; Collins, Sam
Subject: Follow ups to the Annual assessment meeting

Mr. Webster,

Sam Collins and I received the email you sent to us on June 1, 2009, which discussed a series of questions related to the underground piping at Oyster Creek that experienced corrosion and leakage in April 2009. In addition, over the last several months, you have provided a number of emails to me and other members of the Region I and Headquarters staff on a range of issues related to Oyster Creek relicensing. I believe that most of the issues you raised have since been answered, either in phone conversations with myself and other members of the NRC staff, or during discussions before, during and after the May 28, 2009, Annual Assessment Meeting. However, there is substantial information in the areas you have questioned available on the docket for Oyster Creek, most particularly NRC Inspection Report 50-219/2009006, the April 2009 Commission Memorandum and Order, and the Safety Evaluation Report on Oyster Creek License Renewal.

I would note that on May 28, 2009, you filed an appeal on behalf of your clients in the Third Circuit of the US Court of Appeals contesting NRC decisions made in the Oyster Creek license renewal case. As I am sure you understand, the staff cannot respond to further inquiries or comments on matters related to your legal filing.

Notwithstanding the legal matter above, the agency is currently preparing a response to questions raised by Congressman Adler of New Jersey's 3rd Congressional District on May 27, 2009. . In addition, we are currently inspecting Exelon's underground piping examinations and repairs, as well as the extent of the tritium contamination onsite, and are preparing an inspection report on our observations and findings. Upon issuance of both documents, we will forward copies to you which should address the questions noted in your June 1st email.

Sincerely,
Richard Conte