

Related to:
RAS# 16652

Docket, Hearing

From: William D Peterson [paengineers@juno.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 12:32 PM
To: Julian, Emile
Cc: Docket, Hearing; barrowesscb@juno.com
Subject: Thank you for your 11/9/09 Email and your concern for me. It is OK. Let the information go where it will.
Attachments: deficit-time dwg.doc

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications
Office of the Secretary, NRC
301-415-1966

Nov 10, 2009

Mr. Julian,

Thank you for your 11/9/09 Email and your concern for me. As my submittal of the 5th or 6th of November 2009, lists, I have several outstanding scientists and others helping me in this endeavor. To respond to NRC to the eight points of our stake in this matter, I was waiting for input from my staff as well as Ms. Lewis, for my making a decision as to what I would submit in the way of one of my older business plans. The 1999 business plan I wanted to put before the NRC Board members was prepared by Dr. George Nikopoulos. But he was in Texas for the week, and his work was on his computer here in Utah, so we just did not have time enough to work on it before the required submittal date.

I decided I did not have time to wait for help from either my group or an answer back from Ms. Lewis. I realize that the Business Plan I submitted would not have a controlled distribution to maintain "proprietary". That is OK. Let the information go where it will. It is now marked "Proprietary Information" because it was in 2002. That is when our patent work was started for the 300-year spent nuclear fuel (SNF) process.

The requirement for SNF disposal is different today. My studies on "Deficit Recovery" go back two decades. The bankruptcy of the U.S. and World that I predicted then, as shown would happen by my Operations Research model is happening and is not getting fixed by a list of requirements I had made then. So the situation is not getting better, but is continuing to get much worse.

One of my Deficit Recovery Institute (DRI) rules on the deficit is the unavoidable consequence of deficit that occurs due to imbalance of international trade. In this, the Congress has failed us. Our worst problem is our importing of oil for fuel. This must stop. We must manufacture our own power and fuel, electricity and hydrogen. The only capable way the U.S. has to do this is nuclear electricity and hydrogen. My estimate is the U.S. needs 1,150 new nuclear power plants as soon as they can be built.

So we must use uranium wisely. Spent nuclear fuel must be processed for recovery of the 97% of it that is potential fuel. For this, Yucca Mountain would not work, but our 300-year SNF disposal solution will.

In our Government, EPA is responsible for what is done with SNF and how it is disposed of. It's in the 01-1258 Circuit Court order, but apparently EPA does not recognize that. Likewise, the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) must control international trade. The key to fixing both fuel and the economy (and GCC) is converting from oil and coal to nuclear power. The key to nuclear power is SNF disposal, which is the responsibility of my work, the 300-year SNF disposal solution with transuranics and U₂₃₈ recovery, and fission waste disposal as Class C, then Class A.

Mr. Julian, I believe that correspondence between us may go back nearly 15 years. In that time the deficit has gone from \$4.7 trillion to \$12 trillion. I need to be a part of this matter now before the NRC Licensing Board. We must turn this arund. Thank you for your concern for my submittals. Sincerely
Yours,

William D. (Bill) Peterson, P.E.

Cc: Linda Lewis
Dr. Steven Barrowes
Inc: U.S. Deficit Chart

=====REF=====

Mr. Peterson,

On November 3, 2009, You sent the following inquiry to Ms. Linda Lewis, the case manager for the DOE application proceeding:

Linda Lewis

Ms Lewis

To help my cause for entering this matter I want to include one or two Business Plans. These are confidential. Can I include these so they are only seen by the court. Attached is what I have so far.

"The Court has ordered that EPA alone is responsible for how SNF is to be disposed of. So why is not EPA in this matter? If not, maybe this whole matter needs to be appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit."

In this matter, is this the right appeals court if we are not successful in entering?


William D (Bill) Peterson, P.E.

=====

Ms. Lewis consulted me and I intended to response to your inquiries, but could not before your filing by the NRC e-filing system on November 6, 2009. As part of your "Supplement to Petition to Enter" you have included what I believe is the Business Plan referred to in your e-mail of November 3rd. On page 26 of the "Supplement Petition" you include the words "Proprietary Information". Because we cannot discern whether you are referring to the specific last paragraph on that page or to the entire document attached as pages 16 through 26, we are withholding your entire submission from public disclosure. Please provide a redacted copy that can be made available to the public and in the redacted copy remove information that you consider sensitive. Because you used the public pathway for your submission on November 6th, all on the service list including Atomic Safety and Licensing Board members for this proceeding have received a copy of your complete filing. Thus, I believe that the first part of your inquiry to Ms. Lewis has been overtaken by the event of filing.

The second part of your inquiry calls for a response that the Office of the Secretary as a neutral adjudicatory employee under the NRC rules of procedure cannot answer. Additionally, your inquiry appears to call for a response that addresses activity before a United States Court of Appeal. Matters before such courts are beyond the jurisdiction of the NRC docket clerk's office which is the role of the Office of the Secretary in NRC adjudications.

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications
Office of the Secretary, NRC
301-415-1966



National Debt from 1940 to Present
projected out to 2020

Received: from mail1.nrc.gov (148.184.176.41) by OWMS01.nrc.gov
(148.184.100.43) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.1.393.1; Tue, 10 Nov 2009
12:33:26 -0500

X-Ironport-ID: mail1

X-SBRS: 4.5

X-MID: 8070624

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

AjcBAJsy+UpAiDcUkWdsb2JhbACClY6ZMgEBAQEJCwoHEwM+skcBMgmOcgaCLiaBaoFs

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.44,717,1249272000";

d="doc'32?scan'32,208,217,32";a="8070624"

Received: from outbound-jn.vgs.untl.com ([64.136.55.20]) by mail1.nrc.gov
with SMTP; 10 Nov 2009 12:33:23 -0500

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=juno.com; s=alpha;

t=1257874402; bh=47DEQpj8HBSa+/TImW+5JCeuQeRkm5NMpJWZG3hSuFU=; l=0;

h=X-UNTD-OriginStamp:To:Cc:Date:Subject:Message-ID:Content-Type:

From;

b=oVtxCtAA0JmASIDuVaYczUBjRLlcmT3oHplfB6j6PWxr5Jm1Zsi95AR5iqDcUtnkQ

YKgQuE8NSI4xLIJWmzKhvYDOVsEkb6UML8jN71izV8bnq/nJ2EaQjzrNI7Lzax/OeV

pet/sIUys8PMYIkHXtCdzcKRaRN5smFs7pJExG4=

Received: from outbound-jn.vgs.untl.com (localhost [127.0.0.1]) by
m07.vgs.untl.com with SMTP id AABFRVJ89AYPHAV2 for <Hearing.Docket@nrc.gov>
(sender <paengineers@juno.com>); Tue, 10 Nov 2009 09:33:19 -0800 (PDT)

X-UNTD-OriginStamp:

U5V9aLDpANFJumDdBecS4F/M58EHcrfBqHI0gQQWwR5VGEExpDwwJCA==

Received: (from paengineers@juno.com) by m07.vgs.untl.com (jqueuemail) id
PWZ9JHMD; Tue, 10 Nov 2009 09:32:42 PST

To: Emile.Julian@nrc.gov

CC: Hearing.Docket@nrc.gov,barrowesscb@juno.com

Date: Tue, 10 Nov 2009 10:32:18 -0700

Subject: Thank you for your 11/9/09 Email and your concern for me. It is OK. Let the
information go where it will.

Message-ID: <20091110.103219.3136.37.paengineers@juno.com>

X-Mailer: Juno 5.0.49

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="--_JNP_000_3011.13c1.2add"

From: William D Peterson <paengineers@juno.com>

X-UNTD-BodySize: 160779

X-ContentStamp: 46:23:1175808

X-UNTD-Peer-Info: 127.0.0.1|localhost|outbound-jn.vgs.untl.com|paengineers@juno.com

Return-Path: paengineers@juno.com