

----- Original Message -----

From: [J Sevilla](#)

To: gregory.cwalina@nrc.gov

Cc: glenn.dentel@nrc.gov ; [Peter Saar](#)

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2009 11:56 AM

Subject: Allegation No. NRR-2009-A-0006

Aug 17, 2009

To: Mr Gregory C. Cwalina - Senior Allegations Coordinator
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Dear Mr Cwalina,

I have been traveling on business and was delayed in receiving (July 30th) your certified letter of July 13th and to which I am responding with great concern. As you reiterated, I personally expressed to Glenn Dentel of the NRC during the Calvert Cliffs Open House on April 7, 2009, that both Calvert Cliffs 1 and 2 are currently very vulnerable to a major LNG spill over water, a realistic probability in these times. . This concern is further compounded because the same PPRP study you referred to is being used by UniStar to justify building Calvert Cliffs 3, the 3rd double experimental reactor.

By way of introduction, I, June Sevilla, live adjacent to the LNG facility at Cove Point Beach and have been involved in studying the impact of LNG to our community and environment. I am a Chemical Engineer and a document decomposition expert by trade. It is with these credentials that I analyzed the PPRP Risk Study document which I found inadequate, full of gaps and deficiencies, and did not adequately address the major LNG spill over water, though it may appear to the casual reader as if these may have been.

I would also like to remind you that this PPRP Risk Study was done for the benefit of the LNG facility, to allow its reopening and expansion. It is only when you really look into the details of the risk study and the scenarios would you find the flaws. I am amazed at how these details were missed by the various agencies that supposedly reviewed it. This study was never shared for public comment and I only found out about its existence last August at the Calvert Cliffs 3 hearings, by asking around. How this passed the review

Please find attached the PPRP Risk Study Gaps and Deficiencies. It is just the tip of the iceberg. I have more information. I believe that the NRC needs to take immediate action to do the right thing regarding this false sense of security. Not only are our personal lives and safety at stake, the US seat of government is currently very vulnerable. Please look at the threat as Richard A. Clarke (former White House counterterrorism Director) did for the Atty General of Rhode Island. This angle is only one of the deficiencies of the PPRP Risk Study that the NRC

and the whole nation is relying on and it is on shaky ground at that. Please call me if you have questions.

Sincerely,

June Sevilla
P.O. Box 354
Solomons, MD 20688
(phone number deleted)

(Note: Attachment "Exhibit 3-PPRP Risk Study Gaps and Deficiencies" is included as Exhibit 3 in this NRC Contention)

----- Original Message -----

From: [Cwalina, Gregory](#)

To: [J Sevilla](#)

Cc: [Crutchley, Julie](#) ; [Petrosino, Joseph](#)

Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 6:35 AM

Subject: RE: Allegation No. NRR-2009-A-0006

Dear Ms. Sevilla,

Attached is the letter I discussed during our last telephone call. As I mentioned, the NRC is currently reviewing this Cove Point expansion and will issue a safety evaluation (SE) following completion of the review. We will provide you a copy of the staff's safety evaluation when it is completed. We will also consider the additional information you provided in your last email.

If you are unable to open the attachment, please let me know and we will provide a hard copy by mail.

Gregory C. Cwalina

Sr. Allegation Coordinator

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation