Facility:	Senbrook (Writer Retake) Date of Examination	on: 10/1/09				
Developed by: Written - Facility NRC □ // Opera <del>ting - Facility □ NRC □ </del> NA						
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials				
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	1 11				
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	TF				
-120	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	TF				
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	175				
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	NA				
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	TF				
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	てた				
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, and any Form ES-201-3 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	TF				
-30	Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.l; C.2.g; ES-202)	TF				
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.I; C.2.i; ES-202)	TF				
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	TF				
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	TF				
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	TF				
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204)	TP				
-7	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	TF				
-7	Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	NA				

<sup>\*</sup> Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.
[Applies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.

ES-201

## Seabrook Station 2009 NRC Remediation Written Exam Examination Outline Quality Checklist

Form ES-201-2

Facility:	Seabrook Date of Examination: 10/1/09		***************************************			
ltem	Task Description		Initials			
itom			b*	c#		
1. W	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	100	p3	TE-		
R I T	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.					
Т	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	TLL	10)	TF		
E N	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	Tec	(g)	TF		
2. S	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.					
MULAT	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.					
OR	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.		_			
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2:  (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form  (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form  (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)  (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimum's specified on the form  (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.					
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:  (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form  (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified  (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations					
	Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.					
4.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	Tic	W	TF		
G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	βι	B	TF		
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	Ti l	b/	TF		
R	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	TCI	12)	18		
Ĺ	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	100	<u>(4)</u>	4		
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	100	M	TF		
b. Facil c. NRC	a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Supervisor  Printed Name/Signature  I Mr. 1144 CASSION  Supervisor  Printed Name/Signature  Signature  Signa					
* Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines						

## ATTACHMENT 3 Form ES-210-3, Examination Security Agreement

ES-201	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES-201-3
1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>		
of my signature. I agree that NRC chief examiner. I under these licensing examinations (e.g., acting as a simulator befeedback). Furthermore, I ar understand that violation of the	equired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of	luled to be administered d authorized by the NRC provide direct or indirect s procedures) and at action against me or
2. <u>Post-Examination</u>		
during the week(s) of	e, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examination.  From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination according performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, example.	dministration, I did not
PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY SIGNATURE (1) DATE SIGNATURE	E(2) DATE NOTE
1. Ima ing Cotssing 2. Con M. Rild 3. PROWNE 4. KERY WIGH 5. Ralsh Boyant 6. LITH BURSON 7. Boyant 8. Ed P. 90 tt 9. KLUE CILLONE 10. CALVIN JAKVIS 11. JESON VULCAG 12. SOLON DUMME 12. SOLON DUMME 13. LIHIM WIGHUMUL 14. CUCLAMO CONN 15. SELVE MOCONN NOTES; PULLIK LLOY	Training Fost  Training Fost  TRUS. INST.  CO.  CO.  CO.  CO.  CO.  CO.  CO.  C	1c 10/1/04 Arc 10/1/09 Arc 10/1/09 Arc 10/1/09 Arc 10/1/09 Arc 10/1/09
	ES-201, Page 27 of 28	

Facility:	SEABROOK Date	of Exam:	10/1	109	Exam	Level:	RO 🔀 s	RO 🔀
						Initial		
	Item Description					а	b*	c*
1,	Questions and answers are technically accurate and app	licable to t	ne facili	ty.		TCC	JB,	TF
2.	<ul><li>a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions.</li><li>b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as a</li></ul>	vailable.				TCL	F>	TF
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section	on D.2.d of	ES-40	1		TCL	70	TF
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic (If mowere repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, cons					TCC		18
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate:					TLL	か	75
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent	Bank	Мо	dified	New		75	
	from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	68,68	16	120	16112	TIL		75
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level;						<i>6</i> )	14
	the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	37.4 1 36 62.6 164		Tec				
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.					TLL	<i>B</i> <sub>3</sub>	74
9.	<ol> <li>Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.</li> </ol>					TLL	D	TE
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.				TU	为	18	
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.					TCL	0)	7
Printed Name / Signature Date								
a. Author  D. Facility Reviewer (*)								
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) TODD FLSGH JUDIO 1918 0						18/09		
d. NRC	d. NRC Regional Supervisor  Sam Hanself / Standard 9/29/69							9/09
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.  # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c": chief examiner concurrence required								

Facility	SEABROOK	Date of Exam: 10/1/09	Exam	l evel· R	ot s	ROX		
1 dollary	- Compression	Date of Exam. 7011101	LAGIII	n Level: ROK SRO				
					muais			
	<u>Ite</u>	a A	b	С				
1	Clean answer sheets	Sml	Tec	TF				
l	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented					NK		
3.	Applicants' scores ch (reviewers spot checl	Sml	Tic	TF				
<ol> <li>Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail</li> </ol>					WA	NA		
<ol> <li>All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified</li> </ol>					N)A	NA		
	Performance on miss deficiencies and word of questions missed I	SMI	Tu	TF				
		Printed Name/Signature		/	D	ate		
a. Gra	a. Grader SEAN RILEY AMINE							
b. Fac	b. Facility Reviewer(*) Tim CASSION 10/1/00							
c. NRC	c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) Todd Fish Jodd July 19210							
d. NRC	d. NRC Supervisor (*) Sam Hansell / Stall 10/6/09							
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.								