

AP1000DCDFileNPEm Resource

From: Loza, Paul G. [lozapg@westinghouse.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2009 3:03 PM
To: Clark, Phyllis
Cc: DeBlasio, John J.
Subject: FW: Ch. 15 Questions

Importance: High

Hi Phyllis,

I acknowledge receipt for Westinghouse of the RAI-SRP15.0-SRSB-06 follow up question below, and will provide an answer for it as RAI-SRP15.0-SRSB-06 Revision 1.

Thanks,

Paul

RAI-SRP15.0-SRSB-06 Follow-up

In response to RAI-SRSB-06 regarding the change in Table 15.0-7 of the single failure assumption for the large-break LOCA analysis from "None" to "One CMT valve fails to open," Westinghouse states that this revision occurred in the update to the ASTRUM methodology report APP-GW-GLE-026, Revision 0. However, in the response to RAI 440.097 (Response Revision 1), dated March 17, 2003, Westinghouse stated that the single failure assumed in the AP1000 large break LOCA ECCS analysis presented in Chapter 15 of the DCD is the failure of a CMT delivery line isolation valve.

Please clarify whether the single failure of "one CMT valve fails to open" or "None" was assumed in the DCD Revision 15, large break LOCA analysis.

Hearing Identifier: AP1000_DCD_Review
Email Number: 247

Mail Envelope Properties (6F27D3A0E6C93E4A9212AD1F4FA57B600A8862409F)

Subject: FW: Ch. 15 Questions
Sent Date: 11/18/2009 3:03:09 PM
Received Date: 11/18/2009 3:03:12 PM
From: Loza, Paul G.

Created By: lozapg@westinghouse.com

Recipients:
"DeBlasio, John J." <deblasjj@westinghouse.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Clark, Phyllis" <Phyllis.Clark@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: SWEC9966.w-intra.net

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	999	11/18/2009 3:03:12 PM

Options
Priority: High
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: