



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

REGION I
475 ALLENDALE ROAD
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-1415

November 12, 2009

EA-09-249

Mr. John T. Carlin
Vice President, R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant
R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC
1503 Lake Road
Ontario, New York 14519

**SUBJECT: R.E. GINNA NUCLEAR POWER PLANT - NRC SPECIAL INSPECTION TEAM
REPORT 05000244/2009008; PRELIMINARY WHITE FINDING**

Dear Mr. Carlin:

On June 16-20, 2009, and August 24-27, 2009, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) conducted the onsite portions of a special inspection at R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant. In-office inspection reviews were conducted in the intervening weeks. The enclosed report documents the inspection team's findings and observations which were discussed with you and others members of your staff on August 27, 2009, during a preliminary exit briefing, and on October 7, 2009, during the final exit meeting.

The special inspection was conducted in response to a turbine driven auxiliary feedwater (TDAFW) pump overspeed trip on May 26, 2009. The team included a subsequent overspeed trip on July 2, 2009 into its inspection scope. The NRC's initial evaluation of this condition satisfied the criteria in NRC Inspection Manual Chapter 0309, "Reactive Inspection Decision Basis for Reactors," for conducting a special inspection. The basis for initiating this special inspection is further discussed in the inspection team's charter that is included in this report as Attachment B.

The inspection examined activities conducted under your license as they relate to safety and compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations and with the conditions of your license. The inspectors reviewed selected procedures and records, observed activities, and interviewed personnel.

This letter transmits one self-revealing finding that, using the reactor safety Significance Determination Process (SDP), has preliminarily been determined to be White, a finding with low to moderate safety significance. The finding is associated with the failure to preclude recurrence of a significant condition adverse to quality associated with the corrosion of the governor control valve of the turbine driven auxiliary feedwater (TDAFW) pump, that led to a failure of the TDAFW pump during surveillance testing on July 2, 2009 and was the likely cause of the overspeed trip on May 26, 2009. Following the July test failure, Ginna replaced the

governor control valve stem and conducted weekly monitoring of the governor control valve during surveillance testing to identify any potential for stem binding. In addition, corrective actions included a follow-up inspection of the governor control valve during the fall 2009 refueling outage. There is no immediate safety concern present due to this finding because the system is now operable and the long term corrective actions are being implemented in Ginna's corrective action program. The final resolution of this finding will be conveyed in a separate correspondence.

As discussed in the attached inspection report, the finding is also an apparent violation of NRC requirements, specifically, 10 CFR Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," and is therefore being considered for escalated enforcement action in accordance with the Enforcement Policy, which can be found on the NRC's Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/enforcement/>.

Following a discussion of the preliminary safety significance of this finding during the initial exit briefing on August 27, 2009, a phone call was held between Glenn Dentel, Branch Chief, Division of Reactor Projects, and yourself on October 1, 2009. During this call, you indicated that R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant does not contest the characterization of the risk significance of this finding, and therefore you have declined to further discuss this issue at a Regulatory Conference or provide a written response. Please note that by declining to request a Regulatory Conference or submit a written response, you relinquished your right to appeal the final SDP determination, in that by not doing either, you would not meet the appeal requirements stated in the Prerequisite and Limitation sections of Attachment 2 of IMC 0609. You will be advised by a separate correspondence of the results of our deliberations on this matter.

In addition, the report documents two findings of very low safety significance (Green). The findings involved violations of NRC requirements. However, because of the very low safety significance and because they are entered into your corrective action program (CAP), the NRC is treating these findings as non-cited violations (NCVs) consistent with Section VI.A.1 of the NRC Enforcement Policy. If you contest any NCV in this report, you should provide a response within 30 days of the date of this inspection report, with the basis for your denial, to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN.: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555-0001; with copies to the Regional Administrator, Region I; the Director, Office of Enforcement; and the NRC Resident Inspector at R.E. Ginna. In addition, if you disagree with the characterization of any finding in this report, you should provide a response within 30 days of the date of this inspection report, with the basis for your disagreement, to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and the NRC Resident Inspector at R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant. The information you provide will be considered in accordance with Inspection Manual Chapter 0305.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure, and your response (if any) will be available electronically for public inspection in the

J. Carlin

3

NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of the NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web Site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,


David C. Lew, Director *for*
Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No.: 50-244
License No.: DPR-18

Enclosures: Inspection Report 05000244/2009008
w/Attachment A: Supplemental Information
w/Attachment B: Special Inspection Charter

cc w/encl: Distribution via ListServ

NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of the NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web Site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/ James W. Clifford for:

David C. Lew, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No.: 50-244
License No.: DPR-18

Enclosures: Inspection Report 05000244/2009008
w/Attachment A: Supplemental Information
w/Attachment B: Special Inspection Charter

cc w/encl: Distribution via ListServ

SUNSI Review Complete: gtd (Reviewer's Initials)

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DRP\BRANCH1\Ginna\TDAFW SIT\AED Track Changes Ginna SIT Report Rev 4 cc.doc

After declaring this document "An Official Agency Record" it will be released to the Public.

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy ML083160122

OFFICE	RI/DRS	RI/DRP	RI/ENF	RI/DRP
NAME	CCahill/cc*	GDentel/gtd*	DHolody aed for	JClifford/jwc
DATE	10/21/09	10/29/09	10/28/09	11/05/09

*see prior concurrence OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Distribution w/encl:

S. Collins, RA (R1ORAMail Resource)
M. Dapas, DRA (R1ORAMail Resource)
D. Lew, DRP (R1DRPMail Resource)
J. Clifford, DRP (R1DRPMail Resource)
L. Trocine, RI OEDO
RidsNrrPMREGinnaResource
RidsNrrDorlLp1-1 Resource
G. Dentel, DRP
N. Perry, DRP
J. Hawkins, DRP
K. Kolaczyk, DRP, SRI
S. Kennedy, DRP, SRI
L. Casey, DRP, RI
M. Rose, DRP, OA
D. Bearde, DRP

ROPreports@nrc.gov

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION I

Docket No.: 50-244

License No.: DPR-18

Report No.: 05000244/2009008

Licensee: Constellation Energy, R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC

Facility: R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant

Location: Ontario, New York

Dates: June 15-19, 2009 and August 24-27, 2009

Team Leader: C. Cahill, Senior Reactor Analyst, Division of Reactor Safety

Inspectors: S. Kennedy, Senior Resident Inspector, Division of Reactor Projects
K. Kolaczyk, Senior Resident Inspector, Division of Reactor Projects
J. Bream, Project Engineer, Division of Reactor Projects

Approved by: Glenn T. Dentel, Chief
Projects Branch 1
Division of Reactor Projects

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

IR 05000244/2009008; 06/15-19/2009 and 08/24-27/2009; R. E. Ginna Nuclear Plant; Special Inspection Team Report.

The report covered two on-site inspection visits and related in-office inspection activities by a special inspection team consisting of a Senior Reactor Analyst, two Senior Resident Inspectors, and one Project Engineer. One apparent violation (AV) with potential for greater than Green safety significance and two Green findings were identified. The significance of most findings is indicated by its color (Green, White, Yellow, or Red) using Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609, "Significance Determination Process." Findings for which the significance determination (SDP) process does not apply may be Green or be assigned a severity level after NRC management review. The NRC program for overseeing the safe operation of commercial nuclear power reactors is described in NUREG-1649, "Reactor Oversight Process," Revision 4, dated December 2006.

NRC Identified and Self-Revealing Findings**Cornerstones: Mitigating Systems**

Preliminary White: A self-revealing apparent violation (AV) of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," was identified for the failure to preclude recurrence of a significant condition adverse to quality (SCAQ) associated with the Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater (TDAFW) pump governor control valve. Specifically, after identifying corrosion of the governor control valve stem in April 2005, Ginna did not take adequate corrective actions to preclude the recurrence of corrosion which led to the binding of the governor control valve and failure of the TDAFW pump on July 2, 2009. In addition, the inspectors concluded that governor control valve stem binding was the likely cause of the failure of the TDAFW pump on May 26, 2009. The overspeed trip of the TDAFW pump on May 26, 2009, was originally determined by Ginna to be failure of the governor control system relay valve. Governor control valve stem corrosion is a SCAQ because corrosion of the stem can lead to governor control valve stem binding and failure of the TDAFW pump as discussed in NRC Information Notice (IN) 94-66: "Overspeed of Turbine-Driven Pumps Caused by Governor Valve Stem Binding" and other related industry operating experience documents. Immediate corrective actions included entering this condition in the corrective action program (CAP), conducting a root cause analysis (RCA), replacing the governor control valve stem, and conducting weekly monitoring of the governor control valve during surveillance testing to identify any potential for stem binding. In addition, corrective actions included a follow-up inspection of the governor control valve during the fall 2009 refueling outage. Ginna will continue to monitor the governor control valve under an enhanced TDAFW surveillance program to ensure TDAFW pump operability.

The finding is more than minor because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the Mitigating System cornerstone and affects the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, stem corrosion caused binding of the governor control valve and led to the failure of the TDAFW pump. This finding was assessed using IMC 0609 and preliminarily determined to be White (low to moderate safety significance) based on a Phase 3 analysis with a total (internal and

external contributions) calculated conditional core damage frequency (CCDF) of 8.6E-6. This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Problem Identification and Resolution, Corrective Action Program, because Ginna did not implement a corrective action program with a low threshold for identifying issues completely, accurately, and in a timely manner commensurate with their safety significance [P.1(a) per IMC 0305]. Specifically, Ginna did not identify issues associated with corrosion of the governor control valve within the corrective action program. (Section 2.1.1)

Green: A self-revealing non-cited violation (NCV) of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," was identified for the failure to establish adequate measures to correct a longstanding issue associated with steam admission valves leakage. As a result, the leakage most likely contributed to the build-up of corrosion on the TDAFW pump governor control valve stem and contributed to the failure of the TDAFW pump on May 26, 2009, and on July 2, 2009. The steam admission valves had been leaking since at least 2005. However, Ginna did not take adequate measures to correct the leakage or minimize the impact of the leakage on governor control valve performance. Immediate corrective actions included entering this condition in the corrective action program, conducting a root cause analysis, replacing the governor control valve stem, and conducting weekly monitoring of the governor control valve during surveillance testing to identify any potential for stem binding. Additionally, the steam admission valves were inspected and re-worked and the governor control valve was inspected during the fall 2009 outage. Ginna will continue to monitor the governor control valve under an enhanced TDAFW surveillance program to ensure TDAFW pump operability. Planned corrective actions include replacing the steam admission valves in May 2011.

The finding is more than minor because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affects the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability and reliability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, leakage through the steam admission valves can result in continuous wetting of the governor control valve stem and lead to or accelerate corrosion of the governor control valve. This could result in a stem binding of the governor control valve and failure of the TDAFW pump. The inspectors evaluated the significance of this finding using IMC 0609, Attachment 4, "Phase 1 – Initial Screening and Characterization of Findings." The finding is of very low safety significance because it is not a design or qualification deficiency, did not represent a loss of a safety function of a system or a single train greater than its technical specification (TS) allowed outage time, and did not screen as potentially risk significant due to external events. This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Problem Identification and Resolution, Corrective Action Program, because Ginna did not thoroughly evaluate problems such that the resolutions address causes and extent of conditions, as necessary, in a timely manner, commensurate with their significance [P.1(c) per IMC 0305]. Specifically, Ginna did not thoroughly evaluate the potential effect of the steam admission valve leakage on the governor control valve performance. (Section 2.1.2)

Green: The inspectors identified an NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," for the failure to establish measures to ensure that a modification performed on the governor control valve bushing was a suitable application of materials for the TDAFW pump. During a review of the RCA associated with the TDAFW pump failures, the inspectors noted that Ginna did not consider the potential impact of

removing some of the hardened layer of the bushing on the corrosion rate of the governor control valve. Following concerns raised by the inspectors, Ginna inspected the governor control valve bushing during the fall 2009 refueling outage and observed corrosion of the bushings. Ginna noted that the corrosion of the bushings appeared to have been caused by the lapping of the bushing to achieve the increased clearance between the stem and the bushings. Immediate corrective actions following the inspection of the governor control valve during the fall 2009 refueling outage included entering this condition in the CAP and refurbishing the governor control valve with a new stem and bushing.

The finding is more than minor because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the Mitigating System cornerstone and affects the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, lapping of the valve bushing resulted in an unanticipated corrosion mechanism of the governor control valve that impacted the reliability of the TDAFW pump. The inspectors evaluated the significance of this finding using IMC 0609, Attachment 4, "Phase 1 – Initial Screening and Characterization of Findings." The finding is of very low safety significance because it is a design or qualification deficiency confirmed not to result in the loss of operability or functionality. The inspectors determined that this finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Human Performance, Decision Making, because Ginna did not make a safety-significant or risk-significant decision using a systematic process, especially when faced with uncertain or unexpected plant conditions, to ensure safety was maintained [H.1(a) per IMC 0305]. Specifically, Ginna did not use a systematic process such as an engineering evaluation to properly evaluate the potential impact of removing some of the hardened layer of the bushing. [H.1(a) per IMC 0305] (Section 2.3)

Licensee Identified Violations

None

REPORT DETAILS

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Event Description

On May 26, 2009, and July 2, 2009, the TDAFW pump tripped on overspeed during the performance of surveillance testing activities. These were the second and third times the TDAFW pump had failed a surveillance test in a six month period. The previous test failure occurred on December 2, 2008, when the TDAFW pump failed to develop acceptable discharge pressure during a quarterly surveillance test. Details surrounding the December test failure including Ginna corrective actions are discussed in NRC Integrated Inspection Report 50-244/2009002. Following each of the three test failures, Ginna declared the TDAFW pump inoperable and entered the Limiting Condition for Operation for TS 3.7.5 "Auxiliary Feedwater."

Following the May 26, 2009, test failure, Ginna troubleshooting activities were focused on the TDAFW lubricating oil system where system pressure oscillations were noted by test personnel prior to the pump overspeed trip. As part of the troubleshooting efforts, the TDAFW lubricating oil system was drained and refilled with fresh oil, filters in the lubrication system were examined and replaced, and several components in the lubricating oil system including the relay and pressure regulating valves were replaced. Additionally the turbine governor linkage was adjusted to original specifications outlined in the vendor technical documents. Although several out of specification and missing components were identified during this troubleshooting effort, a definitive cause for the surveillance failure was not identified. Nevertheless, following the successful completion of post maintenance testing activities, Ginna declared the TDAFW pump operable and commenced an augmented surveillance testing program for the TDAFW pump that tested the pump on a weekly basis.

On July 2, 2009, another TDAFW pump overspeed failure occurred when the pump was undergoing the augmented testing program. Troubleshooting activities following this failure were focused on the turbine control system, which did not appear to have functioned properly during the test. Accordingly, the turbine control and relay valves were disassembled and inspected, and the governor linkage system was adjusted. Additionally, the lubricating oil system was flushed and examined for particulates. However, unlike the May 26 failure, these troubleshooting activities identified a definitive cause, a stuck governor control valve stem, that resulted in the surveillance test failure. Visual inspection of the stem, which had become bound to its bushing and had to be forcibly removed, revealed corrosion buildup where the stem contacted the upper valve bushing. To restore the control valve to an operable status, Ginna replaced the valve stem and increased the bushing clearances to dimensions specified by the Ginna TDAFW pump inspection procedure. The pump was successfully tested and declared operable subject to an augmented testing program.

Ginna assigned an RCA team to investigate the surveillance test failures. The Ginna RCA team concluded that the primary root cause of the failure of the governor control valve to control turbine speed on July 2, 2009, was binding caused by a corrosion mechanism that occurred between the valve stem and the valve bushings. The RCA team also concluded that stem binding appeared to be an intermittent problem and that

station members missed opportunities to identify the failure mode during previous surveillances and failures. As such, the RCA team determined that governor control valve stem binding could not be ruled out as a possible failure mode during the December 2008 and the May 2009 TDAFW pump failures.

At Ginna's request, an independent vendor reviewed the issues associated with the governor control valve stem binding to determine the failure mechanism that was the cause of the TDAFW pump overspeed trips. The vendor determined that the most likely cause of the corrosion of the governor control valve stem was fresh-water corrosion (Langelier corrosion) that was the result of galvanic interactions between the nitrated case and the base material of the governor control valve stem. The vendor considered several variables that contributed to the corrosion mechanism such as material composition of the stem and bushing, stem-to-bushing clearance, steam admission valve leakage, and TDAFW pump surveillance frequency and duration.

1.2 Special Inspection Scope

The NRC conducted this inspection to gain a better understanding of the circumstances involving the TDAFW pump overspeed trips during surveillance testing on May 26, 2009, and on July 2, 2009. The inspection team used NRC Inspection Procedure 93812, "Special Inspection," as a guide to complete their review. Additional inspection and review activities were outlined in the special inspection team charter, provided as Attachment B. The special inspection team reviewed procedures, corrective action documents, work orders, engineering analyses, and the root cause evaluation prepared by Ginna. In addition, the team conducted equipment walkdowns and interviewed key plant personnel regarding the discovery and resolution of the condition. A list of site personnel interviewed and documents reviewed are provided in Attachment A to this report.

1.3 Preliminary Conditional Risk Assessment

Using IMC 0309, "Reactive Inspection Decision Basis for Reactors," IMC 0609, "Significance Determination Process," and the Ginna Standardized Plant Analysis Risk (SPAR) model in conjunction with the Graphical Evaluation Module (GEM), the Region I Senior Reactor Analyst (SRA) evaluated the increase in conditional core damage probability for the failure of the TDAFW pump. Based on the nature of the failure and the subsequent overspeed trip on an instrumented diagnostic run, the condition was evaluated as being non-recoverable in the event of actual demand.

Based upon best available information, an incremental conditional core damage probability (ICCDP) in the upper E-6 range ($8E-6$ per the 82 day exposure period), was calculated. The exposure period was based on the assumption that the TDAFW pump would not have started since the last time it passed a surveillance test on March 5 until May 26 (82 days). The dominant core damage sequence was a station blackout (loss of offsite power (LOOP) with failure of both emergency diesel generators (EDGs), with no TDAFW and failure to recover offsite power or an EDG in one hour.

Based upon this conservative conditional core damage probability (CCDP) value, and having satisfied an IMC 0309 deterministic criterion, the May 26 degraded TDAFW pump

condition fell within the Special Inspection to Augmented Inspection Team range for reactive inspections.

2. **SPECIAL INSPECTION AREAS**

2.1 Review of Maintenance

a. Inspection Scope

The team evaluated the adequacy and completeness of the maintenance on the TDAFW system, including preventive maintenance, procedural guidance, post-maintenance testing, and supervisory oversight. The team independently evaluated selected procedures, preventive maintenance strategies, condition reports (CRs), system health reports, and associated work orders. In addition, the team reviewed the RCA, conducted equipment walkdowns and interviewed key station personnel. For the weaknesses identified, the inspectors verified that appropriate corrective actions have been planned or taken.

b. Findings and Observations

1. Failure to Preclude Recurrence of a Significant Condition Adverse to Quality

Introduction: A self-revealing AV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," was identified for the failure to preclude recurrence of a SCAQ associated with the TDAFW pump governor control valve. Specifically, after identifying corrosion of the governor control valve stem in April 2005, Ginna did not take adequate corrective actions to preclude the recurrence of corrosion which led to the binding of the governor control valve and failure of the TDAFW pump on July 2, 2009. In addition, governor control valve stem binding was a likely failure of the May 26, 2009, TDAFW pump overspeed trip. This finding was preliminarily determined to be White.

Description: On April 11, 2005, Ginna conducted a periodic major inspection of the TDAFW pump governor control valve under work order #20401907. During the disassembly of the valve, mechanics noted corrosion in the bushing area of the stem plug. The vendor recommended replacing the valve stem and plug due to the corrosion. However, Ginna did not recognize stem corrosion as a condition adverse to quality and did not initiate a CR in accordance with station guidance in IP-CAP-1, "Condition Reporting." As a result, Ginna did not conduct any further analysis or evaluation to determine the cause of the corrosion. On July 2, 2009, during surveillance testing, the TDAFW pump tripped due to overspeed. Ginna formed an Issue Response Team and developed a comprehensive troubleshooting plan to determine the cause(s) of the failure. The troubleshooting plan consisted of all possible failure modes, possible causes of each failure mode, and actions to validate or refute each failure mode. Upon disassembly of the governor control valve, the stem was found seized within its bushings and had to be forcibly removed. Visual inspection showed pitting on the surface of the stem where it contacted the upper valve bushing. Following completion of the troubleshooting activities, Ginna concluded that TDAFW pump failure was due to binding of the governor control valve and that the binding was caused by the build up of corrosion on the valve stem.

Governor control valve stem corrosion is an SCAQ because corrosion of the stem can lead to governor control valve stem binding and failure of the TDAFW pump as discussed in NRC IN 94-66: "Overspeed of Turbine-Driven Pumps Caused by Governor Valve Stem Binding," and other related industry operating experience documents.

Immediate corrective actions included entering this condition in the CAP, conducting an RCA, replacing the governor control valve stem, and conducting weekly monitoring of the governor control valve during surveillance testing to identify any potential for stem binding. In addition, corrective actions included a follow-up inspection of the governor control valve during the fall 2009 refueling outage. Because additional corrosion was found during this inspection on September 18, 2009, the control valve was sent to the vendor to be refurbished. Ginna will continue to monitor the governor control valve under an enhanced TDAFW surveillance program to ensure TDAFW pump operability. Ginna also was evaluating longer term corrective actions to address the corrosion including more frequent governor control valve stem replacement, change of the stem material, and other modifications to the system design. Planned corrective actions include replacing the stem admission valves in May 2011.

The inspectors noted that governor control valve stem binding also could have been a contributor to the December 2, 2008, TDAFW pump failure to develop the minimum acceptable discharge flow and pressure, and the likely cause of the May 26, 2009, TDAFW pump overspeed trip. Following these events, Ginna missed opportunities to identify potential stem binding problems after identifying possible indicators of this failure mode such as leaking steam admission valves and rust/corrosion on the visible portion of the governor valve stem. In addition, during troubleshooting efforts in May 2009, Ginna missed an opportunity to exercise the governor control valve stem with the linkage disconnected and lube oil pressure not applied. Operating experience suggested that cycling the valve by hand without hydraulics applied is a prudent action to validate or refute governor control valve stem binding.

Analysis: The performance deficiency is that Ginna did not take adequate measures to correct a condition that had the potential to impact the operability of the TDAFW pump. Specifically, after identifying corrosion on the governor control valve stem in 2005, Ginna did not take adequate corrective actions to preclude the recurrence of corrosion which led to the binding of the governor control valve and failure of the TDAFW pump. The finding is more than minor because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the Mitigating System cornerstone and affects the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, stem corrosion caused binding of the governor control valve and led to the failure of the TDAFW pump.

In accordance with IMC 0609, Attachment 4, "Phase 1 – Initial Screening and Characterization of Findings," a Phase 2 risk analysis was required because the finding represents an actual loss of safety function of a single train for greater than the TS allowed outage time of 7 days. The Phase 2 risk evaluation was performed in accordance with IMC 0609, Appendix A, Attachment 1, "User Guidance for Phase 2 and Phase 3 Reactor Inspection Findings for At-Power Situations." Because the precise time is unknown for the inception of TDAFW pump inoperability, an exposure time of one-half of the time period ($t/2$) between discovery (May 26, 2009) to the last successfully completed quarterly surveillance test (March 5, 2009) was used. This $t/2$ exposure time

equals 51 days. Using Ginna's Phase 2 SDP notebook, pre-solved worksheets, and an initiating event likelihood of 1 year (>30-days exposure time), the inspector identified that this finding is of potentially substantial safety significance (Yellow). The dominant sequence identified in the Phase 2 notebook involves a loss of offsite power (LOOP), failure of both EDGs, and the subsequent loss of the TDAFW pump, with the failure of operators to restore offsite power within 1 hour: LOOP (2) + EAC (3) + TDAFW (0) + REC1 (0) = 5 (Yellow). In recognition that the Phase 2 notebook typically yields a conservative result, a NRC Region I Senior Reactor Analyst (SRA) performed a Phase 3 risk assessment of this finding.

The SRA used Ginna's Standardized Plant Analysis Risk (SPAR) model, Revision 3.45, dated June 2008, and graphical evaluation module, in conjunction with the System Analysis Programs for Hands-On Integrated Reliability Evaluations (SAPHIRE), Version 7, to estimate the internal risk contribution of the Phase 3 risk assessment. The following assumptions were used for this assessment:

1. Based on the guidance provided in the Risk Assessment of Operational Events Handbook, Revision 1.01, the calculated exposure was determined to be 1198 hrs. The summation of this exposure time was determined as follows:
 - One half the exposure time, ($t/2$), was applied for the period between March 5 – May 26, 2009
 - The full exposure time, (t), was applied for the unavailability and troubleshooting from May 26 – May 29, 2009.
 - One half the exposure time, ($t/2$), was applied for the period between June 25 – July 2, 2009
 - The full exposure time, (t), was applied for the unavailability and troubleshooting from July 2 – July 5, 2009
2. To closely approximate the type of failure exhibited by the TDAFW pump, the fail to start basic event <AFW-TDP-FS-TDP> was changed from its baseline failure probability to 1.0, representing a 100 percent failure to start condition.
3. Based on the nature of the failure, and no recovery procedures in place, there was no recovery credit assigned to the May failure.
4. The loss of service water initiating event frequency (IE-LOSWS) was increased from its nominal value of $4.0E-4$ to $2.0E-3$ to more closely model the risk of a LOSWS at the Ginna station. This is consistent with Ginna's understanding of the risk of this event. All remaining events were left at their nominal failure probabilities.
5. The model was modified by Idaho National Laboratory (INL) to include convolution correction factors. Convoluting the failure distribution eliminates the simplifying assumption that all failure to run events happen at time=0. Inclusion of this correction can reduce station blackout (SBO) core damage frequency (CDF) significantly for plants like Ginna that have low EDG redundancy.
6. Since all observed failures happened upon the initiation of the TDAFW system, the period between May 29 and June 25 2009, was not included in the exposure period because the system successfully completed increased, weekly, surveillance testing. The team could not conclude that the stem binding condition would occur after the pump had been successfully started and run.
7. Cutset probability calculation truncation was set at $1E-13$.

Based upon the above assumptions, the Ginna SPAR model calculated an increase in internal event contribution to conditional core damage probability (CCDP) of $2.9E-6$. This low-to-mid E-6 delta CCDP value represents a low to moderate safety significance (White). The dominant internal event sequence involved a LOOP with subsequent failure of the EDGs (station blackout event), the failure of the TDAFW train and the failure to recover AC power. The Phase 3 SPAR model results correlate well to the Phase 2 SDP Notebook dominant core damage sequences.

External Events Risk Contribution

The Ginna Probabilistic Safety Assessment (PSA) includes a Level 1 analysis of fires and flooding external events. The PSA summarizes the fire contribution as representing approximately 48% of the total (internal and external) core damage frequency, or nearly half of the annualized risk. The NRC does not have an external event risk model for Ginna. Consequently, the SRA, after review of the licensee's Individual Plant Examination of External Events (IPEEE), utilized the licensee's external events assessment to quantify the fire and flooding events risk contribution for this condition. Seismic event likelihood was considered to be very low and determined not to be a significant contributor to the risk of this condition.

The results of the PSA for this condition calculated a CCDP contribution from fire events at $5E-6$ and from flooding at $7E-7$. The most significant fire initiated core damage sequence involved a spectrum of control room fires, with a failure of automatic and manual suppression, a failure of the TDAFW pump and a failure of the 'C' standby auxiliary feed water pump for decay heat removal via the steam generators. The most significant flooding core damage sequence quantified in the PSA for this condition, involved flooding in the relay room, failure of the TDAFW pump and a failure to align standby auxiliary feed water pump for decay heat removal via the steam generators.

Large Early Release Frequency (LERF) Evaluation

The SRA used IMC 0609, Appendix H, "Containment Integrity Significance Determination Process," to determine if this finding was a significant contributor to a large early release. The Ginna containment is classified as a pressurized water reactor large-dry containment design. Based upon the dominant sequences involving LOOP and station blackout (SBO) initiating events, per Appendix H, Table 5.2, "Phase 2 Assessment Factors – Type A Findings at Full Power," the failure of the TDAFW pump does not represent a significant challenge to containment integrity early in the postulated core damage sequences. Consequently, this finding does not screen as a significant large early release contributor because the close-in populations can be effectively evacuated far in advance of any postulated release due to core damage. Accordingly, the risk significance of this finding is associated with the delta CDF value, per IMC 0609, Appendix H, Figure 5.1, and not delta LERF.

Risk Assessment Summary

The calculated total risk significance of this finding is based upon the summation of internal and external risk contributions (delta CCDP internal + delta CCDP external (fires and floods) = delta CCDP total). $2.9E-6 + 5E-6 + 7E-7 = 8.6E-6$ delta CCDP.

Annualized, this value of 8.6E-6 delta CDF represents a low to moderate safety significance or White finding.

Licensee's Risk Assessment Summary

Constellation's risk assessment for the given condition, assuming no operator recovery, resulted in a total delta CDF value of 1.028E-5. This increase in CDF value comprised of: 4.6E-6 due to internal events; 0.7E-6 due to internal flooding events; and 5E-6 fire/external events. Similar to the NRC internal risk contribution, the largest percentage of internal risk was derived from station blackout events. The licensee conducted refinements in their modeling to credit additional recovery options in the event that the TDAFW pump failed. By crediting these recovery actions, the delta CDF was reduced from 1.028E-5 to 9.2 E-6, or by approximately 10%. The specific recovery actions are as follows:

1. The potential for operators to use standby auxiliary feedwater (SAFW) pump C, in lieu of the TDAFW pump during fire and flood scenarios which require use of alternate shutdown procedures was modeled. Although use of an SAFW pump is not specifically called out in these procedures, the procedures do explicitly recognize that if the TDAFW pump is not functioning, a loss of secondary heat sink will result, and direct operators to refer to other emergency procedures for alternate methods of establishing a heat sink (see ER-FIRE.1 step 4.3.4.2, ER-FIRE.2 step 4.3.12, etc.). In response to this hypothetical scenario, an operations Shift Manager (SM) indicated that upon a failure of the TDAFW to provide flow, a SAFW pump could be manually started by locally opening the service water suction valve to the pump and locally closing the breaker to the pump motor. The steps necessary to align an SAFW pump to the steam generators (SG) are contained in emergency operating procedures (EOP) Attachment 5.1 'Attachment SAFW'. Since only Busses 14 and 18 are energized during ER-FIRE scenarios, SAFW pump C would be used.
2. Following the May 26th overspeed trip of the TDAFW pump, new section 2.2 was added to procedure P-15.6, 'Operation of the TDAFW Pump Trip Throttle Valve,' Revision 00200. This step provides instructions for a 'slow start' of the TDAFW pump manually, following an overspeed trip of the pump, by using the trip throttle valve. This allows for starting of the pump even if the governor control valve is stuck in the full open position following the overspeed trip. This procedure step was successfully used following both the May 26th failure and the July 2nd failure. Per Operations management, the shift managers, who would be directing use of this procedure, were briefed on the updated procedure to ensure they were aware that new step was available for use and understood how it was to be performed. A controlled copy of the procedure is located at the TDAFW pump for use by the operator. Since this procedure was in effect prior to the July failure exposure period (i.e., prior to the last successful TDAFW pump test on June 25, 2009), it is considered as a recovery for failures of the TDAFW pump.

Recovery actions identified in #1 above were not quantified by the team however the evaluation approach appears to be appropriate. Prior to the licensee crediting recovery actions identified in #2 above, the team was able to verify that the procedures were in

place and observed troubleshooting video in which the licensee started the TDAFW pump with this method. As a result the SRA concluded that the licensee's modeling demonstrating a risk reduction was appropriate.

Based upon the close comparison between NRC and Constellation risk estimates, no sensitivity analyses were warranted. The use of $t/2$ to approximate the exposure time was determined to be appropriate for standby or periodically operated components that fail due to a degradation mechanism that gradually affects the component during the standby time period. Inclusion of the unavailability time hours, due to troubleshooting and repairs, is also appropriate and consistent with the guidance promulgated in Risk Assessment of Operational Events Handbook, Revision 1.01, dated January 2008.

This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Problem Identification and Resolution, Corrective Action Program, because Ginna did not implement a corrective action program with a low threshold for identifying issues completely, accurately, and in a timely manner commensurate with their safety significance. Specifically, Ginna did not identify issues associated with corrosion of the governor control valve within the corrective actions program. The inspectors concluded that the performance deficiency is reflective of current performance because Ginna had reasonable opportunities to identify the issue during troubleshooting in December 2008 and May 2009. [P.1(a) per IMC 0305]

Enforcement: 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," states, in part, "Measures shall be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality, such as failures, malfunctions, deficiencies, deviations, defective material and equipment, and nonconformances are promptly identified and corrected. In the case of significant conditions adverse to quality, the measures shall assure that the cause of the condition is determined and corrective action taken to preclude repetition." Contrary to the above, after identifying corrosion on the TDAFW pump governor control valve stem on April 11, 2005, which is a significant condition adverse to quality, Ginna did not take adequate measures to determine the cause and prevent recurrence. The cause of the condition was left uncorrected and resulted in additional stem corrosion that led to binding of the governor control valve and the failure of the TDAFW pump on July 2, 2009. In addition, stem corrosion was the likely cause of the TDAFW pump failure on May 26, 2009. This issue was entered into Ginna's CAP as CR-2009-003680 and CR-2009-004577. Pending final determination of significance, this finding is identified as an AV. **(AV 05000244/2009008-01: Inadequate Corrective Actions Associated with the TDAFW Pump Governor Control Valve)**

2. Untimely Corrective Actions Associated with Steam Admission Valves

Introduction: A self-revealing NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," was identified for the failure to establish adequate measures to correct a longstanding issue associated with steam admission valves leakage. As a result, the leakage most likely contributed to the build-up of corrosion on the TDAFW pump governor control valve stem on May 26, 2009 and contributed to the failure of the TDAFW pump on July 2, 2009.

Description: During a review of failures associated with the TDAFW pump, the inspectors noted that the steam admission valves had a history of leaking. Based on a review of the RCA report, industry operating experience, and associated CRs, the

inspectors determined that the steam admission valve leakage was a contributing factor in the development of corrosion of the governor control valve and contributed to the failure of the TDAFW pump on July 2, 2009, and most likely the failure of the TDAFW pump overspeed trip failure on May 26, 2009. Based on a review of CRs and interviews of personnel, the inspectors determined that the steam admission valves had been leaking since at least 2005.

In July 2005, CR-2005-3660 documented that steam admission valve 3505A was leaking past its seat. However, Ginna did not take or plan any corrective actions associated with the issue. By October 2006, steam admission valve 3505A leakage had increased such that the TDAFW pump turbine was rotating approximately 100 rpm. Ginna cycled the valve to reduce seat leakage, generated a work order to repair the valve in May 2008, and conducted an evaluation of past operability. Ginna concluded that there was no potential for increased consequences with time if the condition continued. During the May 2008 refueling outage, Ginna conducted a repair of steam admission valve 3505A. However, this corrective action was not effective; by September 2008, the valve was leaking again although at a reduced rate. The inspectors determined that Ginna did not recognize or consider the potential impact of the steam admission valve leakage on the governor control valve stem.

Immediate corrective actions included entering this condition in the CAP, replacing the governor control valve stem, and conducting weekly monitoring of the governor control valve during surveillance testing to identify any potential for stem binding. Additionally, the steam admission valves were inspected and re-worked and the governor control valve was inspected during the fall 2009 outage. Ginna will continue to monitor the governor control valve under an enhanced TDAFW surveillance program to ensure TDAFW pump operability. Planned corrective actions include replacing the stem admission valves in May 2011.

Analysis: The performance deficiency is that Ginna did not adequately address deficiencies associated with steam admission valve leakage in that the leakage likely resulted in accelerated corrosion of the governor control valve and contributed to the failure of the TDAFW pump. The finding is more than minor because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affects the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability and reliability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, leakage through the steam admission valves can result in continuous wetting of the governor control valve stem and lead to or accelerate corrosion of the governor control valve. This could result in a stem binding of the governor control valve and failure of the TDAFW pump. The inspectors evaluated the significance of this finding using IMC 0609, Attachment 4, "Phase 1 – Initial Screening and Characterization of Findings." The finding is of very low safety significance because it is not a design or qualification deficiency, did not represent a loss of a safety function of a system or a single train greater than its TS allowed outage time, and did not screen as potentially risk significant due to external events. The inspectors determined that the steam admission valve leakage was not a direct cause of the failure of the TDAFW pump overspeed events. The steam admission valve leakage was a contributing factor in the development of governor control valve stem corrosion and contributed to the failure of the TDAFW pump.

This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Problem Identification and Resolution, Corrective Action Program, because Ginna did not thoroughly evaluate problems such that the resolutions address causes and extent of conditions, as necessary, in a timely manner, commensurate with their significance. Specifically, Ginna did not thoroughly evaluate the potential effect of the steam admission valve leakage on the governor control valve performance. The inspectors determined that this issue is reflective of current licensee performance because each time the issue was identified and a CR was generated represented an opportunity for Ginna to adequately evaluate the issue and assign appropriate corrective actions. [P.1(c) per IMC 0305]

Enforcement: 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," states, in part, "Measures shall be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality, such as failures, malfunctions, deficiencies, deviations, defective material and equipment, and nonconformances are promptly identified and corrected." Contrary to this requirement, from at least July 2005 to July 2, 2009, Ginna did not established adequate measures to correct longstanding steam admission valves leakage and/or minimize the impact of the leakage on the TDAFW pump governor control valve performance. As a result, leakage through the steam admission valves contributed to the corrosion of the governor control valve and contributed to the failure of the TDAFW pump on July 2, 2009 and most likely the failure of the TDAFW pump on May 26, 2009. Because this violation is of very low safety significance (Green) and Ginna entered this issue into their CAP for resolution as CR-2009-003680 and CR-2009-004577, this violation is being treated as an NCV consistent with the NRC Enforcement Policy. **(NCV 05000244/2009008-02: Inadequate Corrective Actions Associated with Steam Admission Valve Leakage)**

2.2 Review of Operating Experience

a. Inspection Scope

The team reviewed operating experience involving TDAFW pump failures and actions taken by the Ginna staff to identify and address these types of failures. In addition, the team examined the specific issues associated with governor control valve stem binding to assess any new generic issues of industry interest for prompt communication and dissemination. As part of this evaluation, the inspectors reviewed pertinent industry operating experience, Ginna's response to NRC Information Notices, and interviewed key plant personnel.

b. Findings and Observations

No Findings of Significance Identified.

The inspectors determined that there were no new generic issues identified as a result of this event. However, the inspectors noted that Ginna missed opportunities to utilize industry operating experience to identify precursors associated with this event and to conduct effective troubleshooting. Specifically, following the discovery of corrosion on the exposed portion of the TDAFW pump governor control valve stem in May 2005, Ginna did not enter this issue in to their CAP. As a result Ginna missed an opportunity to revisit operating experience from NRC IN 94-66 concerning governor control valve stem binding. In several examples in NRC IN 94-66, sites that experienced governor control valves stem binding identified stem corrosion following valve disassembly. Ginna

had originally determined that NRC IN 94-66 was not applicable to Ginna because the governor control valve was of a different configuration and material as those discussed in the IN and that corrosion of the stem had not been previously identified. Although this may have been a reasonable assessment during the original review of the NRC IN 94-66 in 1994, the inspectors determined that once corrosion of the stem was identified in May 2005, Ginna missed an opportunity to revisit this operating experience. In addition, as discussed in Section 2.1.2 of this report, Ginna did not utilize industry operating experience in evaluating a condition associated with steam admission valve leakage. NRC IN 94-66, other industry operating experience, and the vendor technical manual stated that steam admission valve leakage is a cause related to governor control valve stem binding. However, Ginna did not consider the impact of steam admission valve leakage on the governor control valve performance. Finally, the inspectors noted that Ginna missed opportunities to incorporate industry operating experience during troubleshooting efforts during the May 2009 TDAFW pump failure. NRC IN 94-66 discussed several examples where a freedom of motion test was performed to test for stem binding with the governor control valve linkage disconnected and hydraulics not applied. However, during the May 2009 event, Ginna missed an opportunity to conduct this test and consequently, missed an opportunity to potentially identify the failure mode prior to the July 2, 2009, overspeed trip. Ginna captured these issues in their CAP under CR-2009-003680 and CR-2009-004577.

2.3 Review of Root Cause and Extent-of-Condition

a. Inspection Scope

The team evaluated the adequacy of Ginna's RCA and completed interim corrective actions. In addition the team evaluated the adequacy of Ginna's initial extent of condition for the TDAFW pump failures. The team reviewed plant drawings, procedures, and associated system modifications. In addition, the team conducted a walkdown of the TDAFW system and interviewed key Ginna personnel.

b. Findings and Observations

Introduction: The inspectors identified an NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," for the failure to establish measures to ensure that a modification performed on the governor control valve bushing was a suitable application of materials for the TDAFW pump.

Description: During the troubleshooting and repair of the TDAFW pump in July 2009, Ginna incorrectly evaluated that a vendor service bulletin allowed for larger stem-to-bushing clearance than was prescribed in their current technical documents. Since there was overlap between the station technical information and the vendor service bulletin, Ginna decided to increase the clearance, by lapping the bushings, to reduce the likelihood that corrosion products would cause the stem to bind. To increase the clearance, Ginna removed some of the hardened layer on the bushing. During a review of the RCA, the inspectors determined that Ginna did not consider the potential impact of removing some of the hardened layer on the corrosion rate of the governor control valve. The inspectors noted that by removing some of the hardened layer, the material property of the bushing could have been changed and/or additional micro-cracks could have been created or exposed that could increase the corrosion rate or potentially cause other

problems such as stem-to-bushing galling. The inspectors noted that if the corrosion rate is greater than predicted, then planned corrective actions may not be adequate to maintain the TDAFW pump operable. Additionally, the team was concerned that by increasing the stem to bushing clearances, the stem would be susceptible to larger steam exposure and that this could further accelerate the corrosion. Following concerns raised by the inspectors, Ginna initiated CR-2009-005959 to ensure that all possible consequences of lapping the TDAFW pump control valve bushing were fully evaluated. In addition, Ginna assigned corrective actions to inspect the control valve bushing during the fall 2009 refueling outage. During performance of the refueling inspection under WO C90623685, pitting of the stem and corrosion of the bushings were observed. Constellation initiated CR-2009-006765 and noted that the corrosion of the bushings appeared to have been caused by the lapping of the bushing to achieve the desired clearance between the stem and the bushings. The development of corrosion on the bushing reduced the margin that was predicted to ensure adequate stem movement.

Immediate corrective actions following the inspection of the governor control valve during the fall 2009 refueling outage included entering this condition in the CAP and refurbishing the governor control valve with a new stem and bushing.

Analysis: The performance deficiency is that Ginna failed to establish measures to ensure that a modification performed on the governor control valve bushing was a suitable application of materials for the TDAFW pump. The finding is more than minor because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affects the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability and reliability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, lapping of the valve bushing resulted in an unanticipated corrosion mechanism of the governor control valve that impacted the reliability of the TDAFW pump. The inspectors evaluated the significance of this finding using IMC 0609, Attachment 4, "Phase 1 – Initial Screening and Characterization of Findings." The finding is of very low safety significance because it is not a design or qualification deficiency, did not represent a loss of a safety function of a system or a single train greater than its TS allowed outage time, and did not screen as potentially risk significant due to external events.

The inspectors determined that this finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Human Performance, Decision Making, because Ginna did not make a safety-significant or risk-significant decision using a systematic process, especially when faced with uncertain or unexpected plant conditions, to ensure safety was maintained. Specifically, Ginna did not use a systematic process such as an engineering evaluation to properly evaluate the potential impact of removing some of the hardened layer of the bushing. [H.1(a) per IMC 0305]

Enforcement: 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control" states, in part, "Measures shall also be established for the selection and review for suitability of application of materials, parts, equipment, and processes that are essential to the safety-related functions of the structures, systems and components." Contrary to the requirements, in July 2009, Ginna failed to establish measures to ensure that a modification performed on the governor control valve bushing was a suitable application of materials for the TDAFW pump. Specifically, lapping of the valve bushing resulted in an unanticipated corrosion mechanism of the governor control valve that had the

potential to impact the performance of the TDAFW pump if not corrected. Because this violation is of very low safety significance (Green) and Ginna entered this issue into their CAP for resolution as CR-2009-006765, this violation is being treated as an NCV consistent with the NRC Enforcement Policy. **(NCV 05000244/2009008-03: Failure To Establish Design Control Measures Associated With The Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Governor Control Valve)**

2.4 Risk Assessment of the As-Found Condition

a. Inspection Scope

Prior to the initiation of the Special Inspection Team, the Region I SRA performed a CCDP assessment which conservatively bounded the potential risk significance of the degraded condition, assuming the TDAFW pump would fail to start on demand to mitigate the consequences of an event. The initial CCDP estimate was performed in accordance with IMC 0309, "Reactive Inspection Decision Basis for Reactors." The SRA used the Ginna SPAR model and associated GEM to evaluate the potential risk significance of this condition. The results of the IMC 0309 assessment are documented in report section 2.1.b.1 above.

b. Final Risk Estimate

Following team review and independent verification of the degraded turbine driven auxiliary feed water pump governor control valve, the team concluded that for approximately 1198 hours, the TDAFW pump would not have been capable of responding to an event. Consistent with IMC 0609 conditional core damage probability assessment methodology, this degraded condition resulted in a loss of operability or safety function, and therefore was of low to moderate ($8.6E-6$) safety significance.

4. **OTHER ACTIVITIES**

4OA6 Meetings, Including Exit

On August 27 and on October 7, 2009 the team presented the inspection results to Mr. John Carlin and other members of the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant staff. The inspectors verified that none of the material examined during the inspection is considered proprietary in nature.

ATTACHMENT A
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
KEY POINTS OF CONTACT

Licensee personnel

J. Carlin, Site Vice President
 E. Larson, Plant General Manager
 D. Crowley, Senior Engineer
 E. Durkish, Associate Engineer
 R. Everett, Supervisor, Primary Systems Engineering
 T. Harding, Director, Licensing
 R. Ruby, Principal Engineer, Licensing
 P. Swift, Engineering Manager

LIST OF ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

Opened

05000244/2009008-01	AV	Failure to Preclude Recurrence of a Significant Condition Adverse to Quality Associated with the Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Governor Control Valve. (Section 2.1.1)
---------------------	----	--

Opened/Closed

05000244/2009008-02	NCV	Inadequate Corrective Actions Associated with Steam Admission Valve Leakage. (Section 2.1.2)
05000244/2009008-03	NCV	Failure to Establish Design Control Measures Associated with the Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Governor Control Valve. (Section 2.3)

LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

In addition to the documents identified in the body of this report, the inspectors reviewed the following documents and records:

Corrective Actions

CA-2009-002311

Condition Reports

CR-2003-2006	CR-2005-3660	CR-2006-006029	CR-2006-006341
CR-2005-1509	CR-2005-5513	CR-2006-006204	CR-2006-006962

CR-2007-000876	CR-2008-009911	CR-2009-004590	CR-2009-005959*
CR-2007-001302	CR-2009-003680	CR-2009-004591	CR-2009-006765*
CR-2008-003687	CR-2009-004222*	CR-2009-005964	
CR-2008-007541	CR-2009-004577		

*NRC Identified During Inspection

Corrective Action Tracking System
CATS RO07325

Procedures

AP-FW.1, Abnormal MFW Pump Flow or NPSH, Revision 01702
 PT-16QT-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05900
 CNG-MN-1.01-1002, Troubleshooting, Revision 0001
 CNG-CA-1.01, Corrective Action Program, Revision 0001
 CNG-CA-1.01-1004, Root Cause Analysis, Revision 0001
 CNG-CA-1.01-1005, Apparent Cause Evaluation, Revision 0100
 CNG-CA-1.01-1006, Common Cause Analysis, Revision 0001
 CNG-CA-1.01-1007, Trending, Revision 0000
 CNG-CA-1.01-1010, Use of OE, Revision 0000
 IP-CAP-1, Ginna Condition Reporting, Revision 02800
 M-11.5C, Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Motor Mechanical Inspection and Maintenance,
 Revision 03000
 O-1.1, Plant Heatup From Cold Shutdown to Hot Shutdown, Revision 16301
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05600, Completed
 3/14/08, 5/4/08, 5/5/08, 6/11/08, 9/4/08, 12/3/08, 12/4/08, 12/11/08, 12/18/08, 2/12/09,
 5/26/09, 5/28/09

Surveillance Tests

PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05600, 03/14/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05700, 05/04/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05700, 05/05/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05700, 06/11/2008
 STP-O-16-COMP-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Comprehensive Test,
 Revision 00000, 06/11/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05701, 09/03/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05701, 12/02/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05701, 12/03/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05701, 12/04/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05702, 12/11/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05702, 12/18/2008
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05801, 01/16/2009
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05801, 02/12/2009
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05900, 05/26/2009
 PT-16Q-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Quarterly, Revision 05900, 05/28/2009
 STP-O-16-COMP-T, Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump – Comprehensive Test,
 Revision 00400, 05/28/2009

Work Orders

WO20604989

WO20401907

Drawings

DWG No. 33013-1231, Main Steam System, Revision 37

DWG No. 33013-2285, Motor Driven and Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps
Lube Oil Skid, Revision 17

DWG No. LB-112541, Turbine Control and Quick Start System

DWG No. T040-001A, TDAFW Control Oil System, Revision 0

DWG No. T040-002A, TDAFW Turbine Trip Valve, Revision 0

DWG No. T040-003A, Auxiliary and Standby Aux. Feedwater Systems, Revision 2

DWG No. T040-003B, SAFW System 1-Line Diagram, Revision 0

DWG No. T040-003C, AFW System 1-Line Diagram, Revision 1

DWG No. T040-004A, Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Auto Start Signals, Revision 0

DWG No. T830-004A, Blowdown Isolation Solenoid Control Circuit, Revision 0

Other Documents

Vendor Report, Preliminary Results of Stem Sticking Failure Mechanisms, July 20, 2009

Vendor Report 09-1929 Part 1, Equipment Root Cause Analysis of AFWP Control Valve
Stem Sticking Problem at Ginna Nuclear Power Station

Vendor Technical Question Response, August 26, 2009

Category I Root Causal Analysis, May and July 2009 Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater
Pump (TDAFWP) Failures; Overspeed Trip During Testing

VTD-E9016-4001, Excerpts from EPRI Manual 1007461, Terry Turbine Maintenance
Guide, AFW Application, Revision 000

VTD-G0153-4001, Operating Instructions Easy Flow Body Combined Trip Throttle Valve,
Revision 2

VTD-W0315-4001, Instructions For 465 H.P. Non-Condensing Steam Turbine Serial
Number 26635, Revision 000

VTD-W0315-4002, Service Department Standards Book No. 10, Field Service Manual,
Revision 000

Response to NRC Generic Letter 90-3, Relaxation of Staff Position In Generic Letter 83-
28, Item 2.2 Part 2 "Vendor Interface for Safety Related Components, dated
September 18, 1990

CATS ID R04451, Response to NRC IN 94-66, OVERSPEED OF TURBINE-DRIVEN
PUMPS CAUSED BY GOVERNOR VALVE STEM BINDING

CMM-37-19-9519E, Worthington Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Hydraulic
Governor Control Valve Maintenance for 9519E, Revision 00200

Constellation Energy Nuclear Generating Group Reply to a Notice of Violation; EA-09-
045

Engineering Change Package No. ECP-2009-0146, TDAFW Lube Oil Orifice
Management Review Committee Agenda, August 5, 2009

Purchase Requisition No. 58686, Dresser-Rand Valve Stem

Technical Staff Request 97-199, Leakoff from TDAFW Governor Valve 9519E

Management Review Committee Agenda, August 5, 2009

Purchase Requisition No. 58686, Dresser-Rand Valve Stem

A-4

Technical Staff Request 97-199, Leakoff from TDAFW Governor Valve 9519E
Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Preventive Maintenance Strategies
Auxiliary Feed Water System, 1st Quarter 2008
Auxiliary Feed Water System, 2nd Quarter 2008
Auxiliary Feed Water System, 3rd Quarter 2008
Auxiliary Feed Water System, 4th Quarter 2008
Auxiliary Feed Water System, 1st Quarter 2009

Operating Experience

OE-2008-000397
OE-2008-000607
OE-2008-000847
OE-2008-00860
OE-2008-001296
OE-2009-00212
OE-2009-001178

Oil Analysis

Pump IB Oil Analysis, 2008-03
Pump OB Oil Analysis, 2008-03
Reservoir Oil Analysis 2008-05
Reservoir Oil Analysis 2008-08
Reservoir Oil Analysis 2009-05

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AV	Apparent Violation
CAP	Corrective Action Program
CCDP	Conditional Core Damage Probability
CDF	Core Damage Frequency
CR	Condition Report
DRP	Division of Reactor Projects
EDG	Emergency Diesel Generator
GEM	Graphical Evaluation Module
ICCDP	Incremental Conditional Core Damage Probability
IMC	Inspection Manual Chapter
IN	Information Notice
INL	Idaho National Labs
IPEEE	Individual Plant Examination of External Events
LERF	Large Early Release Frequency
LOOP	Loss of Offsite Power
LOSWS	Loss of Service Water System
NCV	Non Cited Violation
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRR	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
PARS	Publicly Available Records
PSA	Probabilistic Safety Assessment
RCA	Root Cause Analysis
SAFW	Standby Auxiliary Feedwater
SBO	Station Blackout
SDP	Significance Determination Process
SPAR	Standardized Plant Analysis Risk
SRA	Senior Reactor Analyst
SCAQ	Significant Condition Adverse to Quality
NCV	Non-cited Violation
TBD	To Be Determined
TDAFW	Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater
TS	Technical Specification

**Special Inspection Charter
R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant
Failure of the Turbine-Driven Auxiliary Feedwater (TDAFW) Pump
on May 26, 2009 and July 2, 2009**

Background:

On May 26, 2009, during routine quarterly surveillance testing of the turbine driven auxiliary feedwater (TDAFW) system, the TDAFW pump tripped on overspeed. The test was repeated several hours later and the TDAFW pump tripped again on overspeed. Fluctuations in oil pressure were observed during the testing.

After extensive troubleshooting, Ginna personnel identified a number of issues but no definitive cause for the overspeed trips. The lube/control oil system was drained and cleaned due to the presence of fine particulates; a pressure pulsation dampener accumulator bladder was replaced due to a below normal pressure condition; the oil pressure regulating bypass valve was replaced due to potential cycling; a change to linkage setup was implemented; governor relay valve, and trip and throttle valve parts were replaced due to out of specification clearances; and a missing oil line orifice was installed. Following these corrective actions, the system was successfully tested and declared operable.

Constellation is completing a root cause evaluation and the resident inspectors continue to conduct baseline inspections with assistance from DRS specialists. Testing of the TDAFW system will be at an increased frequency until Constellation has confidence that the system is performing as required.

On July 2, 2009, during the performance of the increased frequency surveillance, the TDAFW pump again tripped on overspeed. Ginna personnel discovered pitting and corrosion on the stem of the governor control valve during troubleshooting activities to restore the pump to operable status. This Special Inspection Team had raised concerns regarding corrosion on the stem of the Governor Control Valve during onsite inspection activities the week of June 15, 2009.

Basis for the Formation of the SIT:

The failure of the TDAFW pump involved repetitive failures of this safety-related equipment. There have been three failures of the TDAFW pump since December 2008. On December 2, 2008, a failure was attributed to inadequate implementation of the preventive maintenance program. Specifically, the governor linkages were not lubricated in March 2008 which resulted in the pump's inability to achieve the required flow and pressure in December 2008. On July 2, 2009, the failure was preliminarily determined to be caused by pitting on the stem of the Governor Control valve and overly tolerances causing binding of the stem. Although the specific failure modes (overspeed trip, inability to achieve the required flow or pressure) were different, the underlying cause of inadequate or inappropriate preventive maintenance may be the same.

Based upon best available information, the Region I Senior Reactor Analyst (SRA) conducted a preliminary risk estimate of the May 26 TDAFW pump failure. An incremental conditional core damage probability (ICCDP) in the upper E-6 range (8E-6 per the 82 day exposure period), was calculated using the Ginna SPAR model, assuming that the TDAFW pump would not have started since the last time it passed a surveillance test on March 5 until May 26 (82 days). The dominant core damage sequence was a station blackout (LOOP with failure of both EDGs,) with no TDAFW and failure to recover offsite power or an EDG in one hour.

Based upon the preliminary conditional core damage probability estimate of upper E-6 range, in accordance with IMC 0309, this event falls within the region for a Special Inspection Team.

Objectives of the Special Inspection:

The objectives of the special inspection are to review and assess: (1) Constellation's planning and execution of the risk significant work activities on the TDAFW system; (2) equipment issues related to the TDAFW testing; and (3) Constellation's response to this significant equipment failure.

To accomplish these objectives, the following will be performed:

1. Evaluate the adequacy and completeness of the maintenance on the TDAFW system, including preventive maintenance, procedural guidance, post-maintenance testing, and supervisory oversight.
2. Evaluate Constellation's application of pertinent industry operating experience and evaluation of potential precursors, including the effectiveness of any actions taken in response to the operating experience or precursors.
3. Evaluate the adequacy of Constellation's response to the TDAFW system failures, including Constellation's cause analysis and completed interim corrective actions.
4. Evaluate the adequacy of Constellation's initial extent of condition for the TDAFW failures, as appropriate.
5. Evaluate the failure modes for potential generic implications including the need for generic communications

Additionally, the team leader will review lessons learned from the Special Inspection and, if appropriate, prepare a feedback form on recommendations for revising the reactor oversight process (ROP) baseline inspection procedures in order to proactively identify the issues and causes involved with the event.

Guidance:

Inspection Procedure 93812, "Special Inspection", provides additional guidance to be used by the Special Inspection Team. Team duties will be as described in Inspection Procedure 93812. The inspection should emphasize fact-finding in its review of the circumstances surrounding the event. It is not the responsibility of the team to examine

the regulatory process. Safety concerns identified that are not directly related to the event should be reported to the Region I office for appropriate action.

The Team will conduct an entrance meeting and begin the inspection on June 8, 2009. While on site, the Team Leader will provide daily briefings to Region I management, who will coordinate with the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, to ensure that all other parties are kept informed. A report documenting the results of the inspection should be issued within 45 days of the completion of the inspection.

This Charter may be modified should the team develop significant new information that warrants review.