

PMVogleCOLPEm Resource

From: Comar, Manny
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 9:43 AM
To: wasparkm@southernco.com; Aughtman, Amy G.
Cc: Kavanagh, Kerri; Heck, Kenneth; Joshi, Ravindra; VogtleCOL Resource; Galletti, Greg
Subject: Telecon to discuss SER open items for chapter 17 for Vogtle
Attachments: Voglte_Proposed Telecon October 14 2009 (2).doc

Telecon to discuss issues related to open items for Chapter 17 for Vogtle. Attached is the copy of the material to be discussed.

Proposed Date and time for Telecon: **Wednesday, October 14, 2009 10:00 AM-11:00 AM.**

Looking forward to an e-mail confirming the time and the phone number to call. If you have any questions please let me know

Thanks

Manny Comar
Senior Project Manager
NRO/DNRL/NWE1
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-3863
<mailto:manny.comar@nrc.gov>

Hearing Identifier: Vogtle_COL_Public
Email Number: 231

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D044FDF8E9)

Subject: Telecon to discuss SER open items for chapter 17 for Vogtle
Sent Date: 10/5/2009 9:43:07 AM
Received Date: 10/5/2009 9:43:10 AM
From: Comar, Manny

Created By: Manny.Comar@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Kavanagh, Kerri" <Kerri.Kavanagh@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Heck, Kenneth" <Kenneth.Heck@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Joshi, Ravindra" <Ravindra.Joshi@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"VogtleCOL Resource" <VogtleCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Galletti, Greg" <Greg.Galletti@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"wasparkm@southernco.com" <wasparkm@southernco.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Aughtman, Amy G." <AGAUGHTM@SOUTHERNCO.COM>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	532	10/5/2009 9:43:10 AM
Vogtle_Proposed Telecon October 14 2009 (2).doc		33274

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PROPOSED AGENDA FOR OCTOBER 14 TELECON WITH VOGTLE CONCERNING QA PROGRAM DESCRIPTION - VOGTLE UNITS 3 AND 4

1. QA Template – NEI 06-14A, Revision 7 incorporates the industry response to NRC responses, submitted by NEI letter dated September 17, 2008. Tentatively, this will be approved by the NRC staff and issued as NEI 06-14A, Rev. 1.

Does Vogtle plan to resubmit a QAPD for Units 3 and 4 based on this template?

2. Will standard responses be included in the submitted QAPD rather than referenced?
3. Open Issues – The safety evaluation for NEI 06-14A identifies three issues that remain open, and must be addressed by individual applicants. These issues are related to
 - 3.1 Organization description (QA template, Section 1)
 - 3.2 Retention times for QA records (QA template, Section 17.1)
 - 3.3 Applicant-specific information related to regulatory guides (QA template, Part IV)
4. Operations Organization – The organization for all phases covered by the requested COL, including operations, must be described in Part II, Section 1 of the QAPD. The description should conform to the guidance of ANSI N18.7-1976, as endorsed by RG 1.33, Rev. Rev. 2 and subject to the change controls of §50.54(a).
5. Preoperational/Testing – The organization responsible for the transition from construction to operations needs to be described in the level of detail commensurate with that of the other phases.
6. Regulatory guides, as discussed in Part IV of the approved QA template require applicant-specific description. FSAR commitments in Appendix 1AA of the FSAR must be revised to be consistent with the positions stated in QA template. Specific Appendix 1AA items to be discussed in the telecom are as follows:
 - 6.1 An exception to RG.1.8 is taken for qualification requirements for licensed personnel. This statement may be intended as a “COL holder item” to be removed after COL issuance. Because the license would include operations, this exception would not be acceptable.
 - 6.2 An exception is taken to RG 1.28. The statement that the endorsed standards are outdated is not an acceptable justification and is inconsistent with the position stated in the QA template.
 - 6.3 An exception is taken to RG 1.33. Standard Review Plan 17.5, which serves as a basis of acceptance for QA program is based on RG 1.28 and RG 1.33, as stated in the review plan and is inconsistent with the position stated in the QA template.
7. Responses to the staff RAIs propose commitments that require changes to the QAPD. The staff safety evaluation would be conditional, pending incorporation of the proposed changes into the QAPD. Does Vogtle plan to incorporate the proposed changes in the QAPD discussed under item 1 above?