## 2.0 Design Certification ITAAC

Design Certification ITAAC in this COLA are based on the ABWR design certification material contained in the reference ABWR DCD, Tier 1, Chapters 2 and 3. The total scope of the design certification material, including ITAAC, is provided in Tier 1 material in COLA Part 2. The Tier 1 ITAAC are incorporated by reference (IBR) with the exception of the ITAAC that are modified by the following departures.

STD DEP T1 2.2-1 (Table 2.2.1)
STD DEP T1 2.4-1 (Table 2.4.1)
STD DEP T1 2.4-3 (Table 2.4.4)
STD DEP T1 2.12-1 (Table 2.12.1, Table 2.12.12, Table 2.12.14)

STD DEP T1 2.12-2 (Table 2.12.15)

STD DEP T1 2.14-1 (Table 2.3.3, Table 2.14.8, Table 2.15.5.c)

STD DEP T1 3.4-1 (Table 2.2.11, Table 2.7.5, Table 3.4)

# Tier 1 Subsection 2.2.1 Rod Control and Information System

## Table 2.2.1 Rod Control and Information System

| Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |  |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Design Commitment  | Inspection, Tests, Analyses  | Acceptance Criteria   |  |
| 11. The RCIS is powered by two non-Class 1E<br>uninterruptible <u>power</u> supplies <u>. such that</u><br><u>both channels of the RCIS remain</u><br><u>operational if either supply is operational</u><br><u>with the non-operational supply in an</u><br><u>alarmed condition</u> . | 11. Tests will be performed on the as-built<br>RCIS by <del>providing a test signal in only-<br/>one non-Class 1E uninterruptible power-<br/>supply at a time.</del> removing each power<br>supply from service one at a time. | 11. The test signal exists in only one control-<br>channel at a time in only the one power-<br>supply.An alarm is activated by the<br>inoperable power supply. and both<br>channels of the RCIS remain operational. |  |

## Tier 1 Subsection 2.2.11 Process Computer System Plant Computer Functions (PCFs)

## Table 2.2.11 Process Computer System Plant Computer Functions

|    | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria  |  |   |  |
|----|---|--|---|--|
|    | Design Commitment   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria   |  |
| 1. | The <b>PICS</b> equipment <i>comprising</i><br><b>performing</b> the <i>PCS</i> <b>PCFs</b> is defined in<br>Section 2.2.11.  | <ol> <li>Inspections of the as-built system will be conducted.</li> </ol>  | 1. The as-built <del>PCS</del> <b>PICS equipment</b><br><b>implementing the PCFs</b> conforms with the<br>description in Section 2.2.11.            |  |
| 2. | The PCS PCFs provides provide LPRM calibration and fuel operating thermal limits data to the ATLM function of the RCIS.   | <ol> <li>Tests of the as-built <del>PCS</del> PCFs will be<br/>conducted using simulated plant input<br/>signals.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>LPRM calibration and fuel thermal limits<br/>data are received by the ATLM function of<br/>the RCIS.</li> </ol>                            |  |
| 3. | In the event that abnormal conditions<br>develop in the plant during operations in the<br>automatic mode, the <del>PCS</del> <b>PCFs</b><br>automatically <del>reverts</del> <b>revert</b> to the manual<br>operating mode. | <ol> <li>Tests of the as-built <i>PCS</i> PCFs will be<br/>conducted using simulated abnormal plant<br/>input signals, while the <i>PCS</i> PCFs <i>is</i> are in<br/>the automatic operating mode.</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>Upon receipt of the abnormal plant input<br/>signals, the PCS PCFs automatically rever<br/>revert to the manual operating mode.</li> </ol> |  |

# Tier 1 Subsection 2.3.3 Containment Atmospheric Monitoring System

## Table 2.3.3 Containment Atmospheric Monitoring System

|           | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |     |  |      |   |
|-----------|--|-----|--|------|---|
|           | Design Commitment  | hee | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | 5110 | Acceptance Criteria   |
| 2.        | Operation of <i>each</i> -CAMS<br><b>oxygen/hydrogen monitoring equipment</b><br><i>division</i> -can be activated manually by the<br>operator or automatically.   | 2.  | Tests of each division of the as-built CAMS oxygen/hydrogen monitoring equipment will be conducted using manual controls and simulated automatic initiation signals. | 2.   | Each-CAMS division oxygen/hydrogen<br>monitoring equipment is activated upon<br>receipt of the test signals.  |
| 3.        |  | 3.  |  | 3.   |   |
| <u>a.</u> | Each CAMS division <b>of radiation channels</b><br>is powered <u>only</u> from its respective<br>divisional Class 1E power source <u>with</u><br><u>electrical independence between</u><br><u>divisions.</u> | a.  | Tests will be performed on <b>each_division_</b> of<br>the CAMS <b>radiation channels</b> by providing<br>a test signal to only one Class 1E division at<br>a time.  | a.   | The test signal exists only in the Class 1E division under test in the CAMS.  |
| <u>b.</u> | In the CAMS, independence is provided<br>between Class 1E divisions, and between<br>Class 1E divisions and non-Class 1E<br>equipment.  | b.  | Inspection of the as-built Class 1E <b>radiation</b><br><b>channels</b> <i>divisions in the CAMs</i> will be<br>performed.   | b.   | In the CAMS, physical separation or<br>electrical isolation exists between Class 1E<br>divisions. Physical separation or electrical<br>isolation exists between these Class 1E<br>divisions and non-Class 1E equipment. |

Design Certification ITAAC

Rev. 03

Inspections, Tests, Analyses, Acceptance Criteria

| sign C  | Tier 1 Subsection 2.4.1 Residual Heat R   | emoval System  |  |
|---------|---|--|--|
| ertific | 1   | Table 2.4.1 Residual Heat Removal System   | 1  |
| cation  | Inst  | pections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Crit  | eria   |
| ז ודAAC | Design Commitment   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria  |
| AC      | <ol> <li>In the augmented fuel pool cooling mode,<br/>the RHR tube side heat exchanger flow rate<br/>for Divisions B or C is no less than 350 m<sup>3</sup>/h<br/>(heat exchanger heat removal capacity in<br/>this mode is bounded by suppression pool<br/>cooling requirements).</li> </ol> | 7. Tests will be performed to determine system<br>flow rate through each heat exchanger in the<br>augmented fuel pool cooling mode.<br>Inspections and analyses shall be<br>performed to verify that the augmented fuel<br>pool cooling mode is bounded by<br>suppression pool cooling requirements. | 7. The RHR tube side heat exchanger flow rate<br>is greater than or equal to 350 m <sup>3</sup> /h in the<br>augmented fuel pool cooling mode. Heat<br>exchanger heat removal capacity in this<br>mode is bounded by suppression pool<br>cooling requirements. |

# Design Certification ITAAC

STP 3 & 4

## Tier 1 Subsection 2.4.4 Reactor Core Isolation Cooling System

## STD DEP T1 2.4 3

The ITAAC for the RCIC System is revised as indicated in the following pages to reflect the change to an integrated turbine and pump design.

## Tier 1 Subsection 2.4.4 Reactor Core Isolation Cooling System

| Table 2.4.4 Reactor | Core | Isolation | Cooling | System |
|---------------------|------|-----------|---------|--------|
|---------------------|------|-----------|---------|--------|

| Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| Design Commitment  | Acceptance Criteria   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>3.</li> <li>c. Following receipt of an initiation signal, the<br/>RCIC System automatically initiates and<br/>operates in the RPV water makeup mode.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>3.</li> <li>c. Tests will be conducted on the RCIC<br/>System using simulated initiation signal.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li><u>3.</u></li> <li>c. Upon receipt of a simulated initiation signal, the following occurs:</li> </ul>  |  |
|  |   | <ul> <li>(1) Steam supply bypass valve receives open signal.</li> <li>(2)(1) Test return valves receive close signal.</li> <li>(3)(2) CST suction valve receives open signal.</li> <li>(4)(3) Injection valve receives open signal .after a 10-second delay.</li> <li>(5)(4) Steam admission valve receives open signal.</li> </ul> |  |
| d.The RCIC System automatically shuts down<br>when a high reactor water level condition-<br>exists.  | d.Tests will be conducted using simulated<br>high reactor water level signals to cause-<br>trip conditions in two, three, and four-<br>instrument channels of water level-<br>variable. | d.RCIC System receives shutdown signal.   |  |

Design Certification ITAAC

STP 3 & 4

| Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Design Commitment  | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria  |  |
| e.Following receipt of shutdown signal, the RCIC<br>System automatically terminates the RPV<br>water makeup mode.  | e.Tests will be conducted on RCIC System<br>using simulated shutdown signal.   | <ul> <li>e.Upon receipt of simulated shutdown signals, the following occurs:</li> <li>(1)Steam supply bypass valve receives close signal.</li> <li>(2)(1) RCIC initiation logic resets.</li> <li>(3)(2) Injection valve receives close signal.</li> <li>(4)(3) Steam admission valve receives close signal.</li> </ul>   |  |
| f. Following RCIC shutdown on high reactor water<br>level signal, the RCIC System automatically<br>restarts to provide RPV water makeup if low<br>water level signal recurs.                 | f. Tests will be conducted using simulated<br>low reactor water level signals.   | <ul> <li>f. Upon receipt of simulated low reactor water level signals, the following occurs:</li> <li>(1) Steam supply bypass valve receives opensignal.</li> <li>(2)(1) Test return valves receive close signal.</li> <li>(3)(2) CST suction valve receives open signal.</li> <li>(4)(3) Injection valve receives open signal.</li> <li>after a 10 second delay.</li> <li>(5)(4) Steam admission valve receives open signal.</li> </ul> |  |
| g. The RCIC System automatically initiates suction<br>transfer from the CST to the suppression pool-<br>when either a low CST water level or a high-<br>suppression pool water level exists. | g.Tests will be conducted using simulated-<br>input signals for each process variable to-<br>cause trip conditions in two, three, and-<br>four instrument channels of the same-<br>process variable. | g.The RCIC System receives suction transfer-<br>initiation signal.   |  |

| Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| Design Commitment  | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria   |
| h.Following receipt of suction transfer initiation-<br>signal, the RCIC System automatically-<br>switches pump suction. This transfer can be-<br>manually overridden from the MCR.                         | h.Tests will be conducted using simulated<br>suction transfer initiation signals.  | h.Upon receipt of simulated suction transfer-<br>initiation signals, the following occurs:<br>(1) Suppression pool suction valve opens.   |
|  |  | (2) CST suction valve closes. The suction-<br>transfer can be manually overridden from the-<br>MCR.   |
| i. In the RPV water makeup mode, the RCIC pump<br>delivers a flow rate of at least 182 m <sup>3</sup> /h against<br>a maximum differential pressure (between the<br>RPV and the pump suction) of 8.12 MPa. | i. Tests will be conducted in a test facility on the RCIC System pump and turbine. | i. (1) The RCIC pump delivers a flow rate of at<br>least 182 m <sup>3</sup> /h against a maximum differential<br>pressure (between the RPV and the pump<br>suction of 8.12 MPa. |
|  |  | (2) The RCIC turbine delivers the speed <i>and</i> -<br><i>torque</i> -required by the pump at the above<br>conditions.   |

Rev. 03

| Table 2.4.4 Reactor Core Isolation Cooling System (Continued)         Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Design Commitment  | Inspections, Tests, Analyses  | Acceptance Criteria   |
| The RCIC System pump has sufficient NPSH.  | j. Inspections, tests, and analyses will be-<br>performed based upon the as-built-<br>system. NPSH tests of the pump will be-<br>performed at a test facility. The analyses-<br>will consider the effects of: | j <del>.</del> The available NPSH exceeds the NPSH required<br>by the pump. |
|  | (1)Pressure losses for pump inlet piping-<br>and components.  |   |
|  | (2)Suction from supprssion pool with-<br>water level at the minimum value.  |   |
|  | (3)50% blockage of pump suction-<br>strainers.  |   |
|  | (4)Design basis fluid temperature (77°C).   |   |
|  | (5)Containment at atmosheric pressure.  |   |

## کی Tier 1 Subsection 2.7.5 *Multiplexing System* <u>Data Communication</u>

## Table 2.7.5 Essential Multiplexing System\_Data Communication

|    | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria  |   |   |  |
|----|---|---|---|--|
|    | Design Commitment   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses  | Acceptance Criteria   |  |
| 1. | The equipment <i>comprising the Multiplexing-</i><br><i>System</i> <b>providing the ECFs and NECFs</b> is defined in Section 2.7.5.   | <ol> <li>Inspection of the as-built <u>EMS and NEMS</u><br/>equipment implementing the ECFs and<br/>the NECFs will be conducted.</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>The as-built <u>EMS and NEMS conform-</u><br/>equipment implementing the ECFs and<br/>NECFs conforms with the description in<br/>Section 2.7.5.</li> </ol>   |  |
| 2. | <i>EMS</i> The ECFs <i>uses</i> use <i>a</i> deterministic communications <i>protocol</i> protocols.  | <ol> <li>Tests of the EMS ECFs communications<br/>protocol protocols will be conducted in a<br/>test facility.</li> </ol>                   | <ol> <li>EMS The ECFs use a deterministic<br/>communications protocol protocols.</li> </ol>   |  |
| 3. | Data communications from <i>EMS</i> equipment<br>implementing the ECFs to non-safety-<br>related systems or devices uses use an<br>isolating transmission medium and buffering<br>devices. Data cannot be transmitted from<br>the non-safety-related side to <i>EMS</i><br>equipment implementing the ECFs. | <ol> <li>Tests on the <i>EMS</i> ECFs <i>data communications</i> will be conducted in a test facility.</li> </ol>                           | <ol> <li>EMS communications Equipment<br/>implementing the ECFs only permits data<br/>transfer from the EMS safety-related to the<br/>non-safety-related systems or devices.<br/>Control or timing signals are not exchange<br/>between EMS safety-related and non-<br/>safety-related systems or devices.</li> </ol> |  |

STP 3 & 4

|                           | ntial Multiplexing System_Data Communicat                                      |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Insp<br>Design Commitment | pections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Crite<br>Inspections, Tests, Analyses |  |

## Tior 1 Subsection 2.7.5 Multiploying System Data Communication

|    | Ins  | pections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Crit  | eria  |
|----|--|--|---|
|    | Design Commitment  | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria   |
| 5. | Loss of data communications in a division of<br><i>EMS</i> equipment implementing the ECFs does not cause transient or erroneous data to occur at system outputs.  | 5. Tests will be performed in one division of<br><i>EMS</i> equipment implementing the ECFs<br>at a time. While simulated input signals are<br>being transmitted cable segments in<br>redundant paths will be disconnected and<br><i>EMS</i> the ECFs outputs monitored. | 5. Data communication is lost without generation of transient or erroneous signals  |
| 6. | Each of four <i>EMS</i> divisions of equipment<br>implementing the ECFs is powered from its<br>respective division's uniterruptible Class<br>1E DC division <i>vital AC</i> power. <i>In the EMS</i><br>For the ECFs, independence is provided<br>between Class 1E divisions, and between<br>Class 1E divisions and non-Class 1E<br>equipment. | <ul> <li>6.</li> <li>a. Tests will be performed on EMS equipment implementing the ECFs by providing a test signal in only one Class 1E division at a time.</li> <li>b. Inspection of the as-installed Class 1E divisions in the EMS will be performed.</li> </ul>        | <ul> <li>6.</li> <li>a. The test signal exists only in the Class 1E division under test in the <i>EMS</i> equipment implementing the ECFs.</li> <li>b. <i>In the EMS</i> For equipment implementing the ECFs, physical separation or electrical isolation exists between Class 1E divisions. Physical separation or electrical isolation exists between these Class 1E divisions and non-Class 1E equipment.</li> </ul> |
| 7. | Main control room alarms and displays provided for the <i>EMS</i> <b>ECFs</b> are as defined in Section 2.7.5.   | <ol> <li>Inspections will be performed on the main<br/>control room alarms and displays for the<br/><i>EMS</i> ECFs.</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Alarms and displays exist or can be<br/>retrieved in the main control room as defined<br/>in Section 2.7.5.</li> </ol>   |

## Tier 1 Subsection 2.12.1 Electrical Power Distribution System

## Table 2.12.1 Electric Power Distribution System

|     | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |               | a  |     |  |
|-----|--|---------------|--|-----|--|
|     | Design Commitment  |               | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   |     | Acceptance Criteria  |
| 11. | EPD System interrupting devices (circuit<br>breakers and fuses) are coordinated <b>to the</b><br><b>maximum extent possible</b> , so that the<br>circuit interrupter closest to the fault opens<br>before other devices. | de            | alyses for the as-built EPD System to termine circuit interrupting device ordination will be performed.  | 11. | Analyses for the as-built EPD System exist<br>and conclude that, to the maximum extent<br>possible, the analyzed circuit interrupter<br>closest to the fault will open before other<br>devices.  |
| 22. | The EPD System supplies an operating voltage at the terminals of the Class 1E utilization equipment that is within the utilization equipment's voltage tolerance limits.   | 22.<br>a.     | Analyses for the as-built EPD System to determine voltage drops will be performed.   | 22. | a. Analyses for the as-built EPD System<br>exist and conclude that the analyzed<br>operating voltage supplied at the<br>terminals of the Class 1E utilization<br>equipment is within the utilization<br>equipment's voltage tolerance limits, as<br>determined by their nameplate ratings. |
|     |  | <del>b.</del> | Tests of the as built Class 1E EPD<br>System will be conducted by operating<br>connected Class 1E loads at their-<br>analyzed minimum voltage. | -   | b. Connected Class 1E loads operate at<br>their analyzed minimum voltage, as<br>determined by the voltage drop-<br>analyses.   |
|     |  | b.            | Type tests at manufacturer's shop<br>will be performed for the operating<br>voltage range of the Class 1E<br>electrical equipment.             |     | c. Manufacturer's type test reports<br>exist and conclude that the<br>operating range is within the tested<br>voltage range for the Class 1E<br>electrical equipment.  |
|     |  | C.            | System preoperational tests will be<br>conducted of the as-built Class 1E<br>EPD System.   |     | c. The test voltages from<br>preoperational test reports are<br>compared against system voltage<br>analysis of the as-built Class 1E EPD<br>system. The results of comparison<br>conclude that the available voltage<br>is within the operating range for the<br>as-installed equipment.   |

Inspections, Tests, Analyses, Acceptance Criteria

2.0-13

Design Certification ITAAC

## Tier 1 Subsection 2.12.12 Direct Current Power Supply

|   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |  |   |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Design Commitment  | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria   |
| 8 | Class 1E DC electrical distribution<br>system circuit interrupting devices (circuit<br>breakers and fuses) are coordinated to<br>the maximum extent possible, so that<br>the circuit interrupter closest to the fault<br>opens before other devices. | 8. Analyses for the as-built Class 1E DC electrical distribution system to determine circuit interrupting device coordination will be performed. | 8. Analyses for the as-built Class 1E DC electrical distribution system circuit interrupting devices exist and conclude that, to the maximum extent possible, the analyzed circuit interrupter closest to the fault will open before other devices. |

Table 2.12.12 Direct Current Power Supply

## Tier 1 Subsection 2.12.12 Direct Current Power Supply

### Table 2.12.12 Direct Current Power Supply (Continued) Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria **Design Commitment** Inspections, Tests, Analyses **Acceptance Criteria** 11. The Class 1E DC electrical distribution 11. 11. system supplies an operating voltage at a. Analyses for the as-built Class 1E DC a. Analyses for the as-built Class 1E DC the terminals of the Class 1E utilization electrical distribution system to electrical distribution system exist and equipment that is within the utilization determine system voltage drops will conclude that the analyzed operating equipment's voltage tolerance limits. be performed. voltage supplied at the terminals of the Class 1E utilization equipment is within the utilization equipment's voltage tolerance limits, as determined by their nameplate ratings. b. Tests of the as-built Class 1E DC b. Connected as-built Class 1E loads system will be conducted by operating operate at less than or equal to the connected Class 1E loads at less than minimum allowable battery voltage or equal to the minimum allowable and at greater than or equal to the maximum battery charging voltage. battery voltage and at greater than or equal to the maximum battery charging voltage. b. Type tests at manufacturer's shop b. Manufacturer's type test reports will be performed for the operating exist and conclude that the voltage range of the Class 1E DC operating range is within the tested voltage range for the Class 1E DC electrical equipment. electrical equipment. c. System preoperational tests will be The test voltages from C. conducted on the as-built Class 1E preoperational test reports are compared against system voltage DC system. analysis of the as-built Class 1E EPD system. The results of comparison conclude that the available voltage is within the operating range for the as-installed DC equipment.

S

Inspections, Tests, Analyses, Acceptance Criteria

## ະ ເຈັ້ Tier 1 Subsection 2.12.14 Vital AC Power Supply

| Insp   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Design Commitment  | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria   |  |
| 10. Class 1E Vital AC Power Supply system<br>interrupting devices (circuit breakers and<br>fuses) are coordinated <b>to the maximum</b><br><b>extent possible</b> , so that the circuit<br>interrupter closest to the fault opens<br>before other devices. | 10. Analyses for the as-built Class 1E<br>distribution system to determine circuit<br>interrupting device coordination will be<br>performed. | 10. Analyses for the as-built Class 1E Vital<br>AC Power Supply system circuit<br>interrupting devices (circuit breakers and<br>fuses) coordination exist and conclude<br>that, to the maximum extent possible,<br>the analyzed circuit interrupter closest to<br>the fault will open before other devices. |  |

## Table 2.12.14 Vital AC Power Supply

## Tier 1 Subsection 2.12.15 Instrument and Control Power Supply

## Table 2.12.15 Instrument and Control Power Supply

| Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria  |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Design Commitment   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria   |  |
| <ol> <li>Class 1E Instrument and Control Power<br/>Supply system interrupting devices<br/>(circuit breakers and fuses) are<br/>coordinated to the maximum extent<br/>possible, so that the circuit interrupter<br/>closest the fault opens before other<br/>devices.</li> </ol> | 9. Analyses for the as-built Class 1E distribution system to determine circuit interrupting device coordination will be performed. | 9. Analyses for the as-built Class 1E<br>Instrument and Control Power Supply<br>system circuit interrupting devices (circuit<br>breakers and fuses) coordination exist<br>and conclude that, to the maximum<br>extent possible, the analyzed circuit<br>interrupter closest to the fault will open<br>before other devices. |  |

## Tier 1 Subsection 2.14.8 Flammability Control System(Not Used) STD DEP T1 2.14 1

The Flammability Control System (FCS) was eliminated in accordance with page B 17 (Table 2.14.8) of ABWR Licensing Topical Report NEDE 33330P, "Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (ABWR) Hydrogen Recombiner Requirements Elimination," dated, May 2007. The information in the Licensing Topical Report is incorporated by reference.

|           | <u>Design Commitment</u>   | ł             | nspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance<br><u>Criteria</u><br>Inspections, Tests, Analyses  |               | Acceptance Criteria   |
|-----------|--|---------------|--|---------------|---|
| <u>1.</u> | The basic configuration for the FCS is as shown<br>on Figure 2.14.8.   | <u>1.</u>     | Inspections of the as-built system will be<br>conducted.   | <u>1.</u>     | The as-built FCS conforms with the basic configuration shown on Figure 2.14.8.  |
| <u>2.</u> | <u>The ASME Code components of the FCS retain</u><br>their pressure boundary integrity under internal<br>pressures that will be experienced during service.  | <del>2.</del> | A pressure test will be conducted on those Code<br>components of the FCS required to be pressure<br>tested by the ASME code.   | 2.            | The results of the pressure test of the ASME code<br>components of the FCS conform with the<br>requirements in the ASME Code. Section III.  |
| <u>3.</u> | Each of the two FCS divisions is powered from<br>the respective Class 1E division as shown on<br>Figure 2.14.8. In the FCS, independence is<br>provided between Class 1E divisions, and<br>between Class 1E divisions and non-Class 1E<br>equipment. | <u>3.</u>     | <ul> <li><u>a.</u> <u>Tests will be performed in the FCS by</u><br/><u>providing a test signal in only one Class 1E</u><br/><u>division at a time.</u></li> <li><u>Inspection of the as installed Class 1E</u><br/><u>divisions in the FCS will be performed.</u></li> </ul> | <u>ə</u> :    | <ul> <li><u>The test signal exists only in the Class 1E-division under test in the FCS.</u></li> <li><u>Physical separation or electrical isolation exists between Class 1E divisions in the FCS.</u> Physical separation or electrical isolation exists between Class 1E divisions in the fCS. Physical separation or electrical isolation exists between Class 1E divisions and non-Class 1E equipment in the FCS.</li> </ul> |
| <u>4.</u> | Each mechanical division of the FCS (Divisions B,<br>C) is physically separated from the other<br>divisions.   | <u>4.</u>     | Inspections of the as-built FCS will be conducted.   | <u>4.</u>     | Each mechanical division of the FCS is physically<br>separated from the other mechanical divisions of<br>FCS by structural and/or fire barriers.  |
| <u>5.</u> | Main control room displays and controls provided<br>for the FCS are as defined in Section 2.14.8.  | <del>5.</del> | Inspections will be performed on the main control room displays and controls for the FCS.  | <del>5.</del> | Displays and controls exist or can be retrieved in<br>the main control room as defined in Section<br>2.14.8.  |
| <u>6.</u> | RSS display and control provided for the FCS are<br>as defined in Section 2.14.8.  | <u>6.</u>     | Inspections will be performed on the RSS display-<br>and control for the FCS.  | <u>6.</u>     | Display and control exists on the RSS as defined<br>in Section 2.14.8.  |

### Table 2.14-8 Flammability Control System

Rev. 03

|               | Table 2.14-8 Flammability Control System   |           |   |           |   |
|---------------|--|-----------|---|-----------|---|
|               | <del>Design Commitment</del>   | ł         | <del>nspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance</del><br><del>Critoria</del><br>Inspections, Tests, Analyses  |           | Acceptance Criteria   |
| <u>7.</u>     | <u>MOVs designated in Section 2.14.8 as having an</u><br>active safety-related function open and close-<br>under differential pressure and fluid flow and<br>temperature conditions. | <u>7</u>  | Tests of installed valves for both opening and<br>closing will be conducted under preoperational<br>differential pressure, fluid flow, and temperature<br>conditions. | <u>7.</u> | <u>Upon receipt of the actuating signal, each MOV</u><br><u>both opens and closes, depending on the valve's</u><br><u>safety function.</u>                              |
| <del>8.</del> | <u>CVs designated in Section 2.14.8 as having an</u><br>active safety related function open and close-<br>under system pressure, fluid flow, and-<br>temperature conditions-         | <u>8.</u> | Tests of installed valves for both opening and<br>closing will be conducted under preoperational<br>system pressure, fluid flow, and temperature<br>conditions.       | <u>8.</u> | Based on the direction of the differential pressure<br>across the valve, each CV opens or closes<br>depending upon the valve's safety functions.                        |
| <u>9.</u>     | <u>The pneumatic valves shown on Figure 2.14.8 fail</u><br>close in the event of loss of pneumatic pressure<br>or loss of electrical power to the valve actuating<br>solenoid.       | <u>9.</u> | Tests will be conducted on the as-built FCS-<br>pneumatic valves.   | <u>9.</u> | The pneumatic valves shown on Figure 2.14.8 fail<br>close in the event of loss of pneumatic pressure<br>or loss of electrical power to the valve actuating<br>solenoid. |

## المجافى Tier 1 Subsection 2.15.5 Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning Systems STD DEP T1 2.14 1

The Flammability Control System (FCS) was eliminated in accordance with page B 20 (Table 2.15.5c) of ABWR Licensing Topical Report NEDE 33330P, "Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (ABWR) Hydrogen Recombiner Requirements Elimination," dated, May 2007. The information in the Licensing Topical Report is incorporated by reference.

|  | Table 2.15-5c | <b>Reactor Building Sa</b> | fety-Related Equipmen | t HVAC System |
|--|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
|--|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|

|   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteri   | <u>a</u>  |
|---|---|---|
| Design Commitment   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses  | Acceptance Criteria   |
| <u>4. The FCS room FCUs are initiated upon a</u><br><u>manual FCS start signal.</u> Not used. | <u>4. Tests will be conducted on each as built FCS room</u><br><u>FCU using a simulated intitiation signal.</u> Not used. | 4.:The FCS room FCU starts upon receipt of a<br>signal indicating FCS start.Not used. |

STP

3 & 4

|   | Table 3.4 Instrumentation and Control   |   |
|---|---|---|
| Inspe   | ctions, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteri  | a   |
| Design Commitment   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses  | Acceptance Criteria   |
| Safety System Logic and Control   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The <i>DTM, TLU</i> equipment implementing the<br/>DTF, TLF, and OLUs for RPS and MSIV in<br/>each of the four instrumentation divisions are<br/>powered from their respective divisional Class<br/>1E AC sources. The <i>DTMs and SLUs</i><br/>equipment implementing the DTF and SLF<br/>for ESF <i>1 and ESF 2</i> in Divisions I, II, and III<br/>are powered from their respective divisional<br/>Class 1E DC sources, as <i>are</i> is the<br/>equipment implementing the ESF <i>DTMs</i><br/>DTF in Division IV. In SSLC, independence is<br/>provided between Class 1E divisions and<br/>between Class 1E divisions and non-Class 1E<br/>equipment.</li> </ol> | <ul> <li>a. Tests will be performed on SSLC-by providing a test signal to the I&amp;C equipment in only one Class 1E division at a time.</li> <li>b.Inspection of the as-installed Class 1E divisions in SSLC will be performed.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>3.</li> <li>a. The test signal exists only in the Class 1E division under test in SSLC.</li> <li>b. In SSLC, physical separation or electrical isolation exists between Class 1E divisions. Physical separation or electrical isolation exists between these Class 1E divisions and non-Class 1E equipment.</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>4. SSLC provides the following bypass functions:</li> <li>a. Division-of-sensors bypass</li> <li>b. Trip logic output bypass</li> <li>c. ESF output channel bypass, where applied</li> </ul>   | <ol> <li>Tests will be performed on the as-built SSLC<br/>as follows:         <ul> <li>a(1)Place one division of sensors in bypass.<br/>Apply a trip test signal in place of each<br/>sensed parameter that is bypassed. At<br/>the same time, apply a redundant trip<br/>signal for each parameter in each other<br/>division, one division at a time. Monitor<br/>the voted trip output <i>at</i> from each <i>TLU</i>-<br/><i>and SLU</i> equipment component that<br/>implements a TLF or SLF. Repeat for<br/>each division.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | <ol> <li>Results of bypass tests are as follows:</li> <li>a(1)No trip change occurs at the voted trip<br/>output of from each TLU and SLU-<br/>equipment component that<br/>implements a TLF or SLF. Bypass<br/>status is indicated in main control<br/>room.</li> </ol>  |

Inspections, Tests, Analyses, Acceptance Criteria

<del>STP</del> 3 & 4

| Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Design Commitment                                    | Inspections, Tests, Analyses  | Acceptance Criteria  |  |  |
| . (continued)  | 4. (continued)  | 4. (continued)   |  |  |
|  | a(2)For each division in bypass, attempt to<br>place each other division in division-of-<br>sensors bypass, one at a time.  | a(2)Each division not bypassed cannot be<br>placed in bypass, as indicated at OLU<br>output; bypass status in main control<br>room indicates only one division of<br>sensors is bypassed.  |  |  |
|  | b(1)Place one division in trip-logic-output<br>bypass. Operate manual auto-trip test<br>switch. Monitor the trip output at the<br>RPS OLU. Operate manual auto-<br>isolation test switch. Monitor the trip<br>output at the MSIV OLU. Repeat for<br>each division.  | b(1)No trip change occurs at the trip<br>output of the RPS OLU or MSIV OLU,<br>respectively. Bypass status is<br>indicated in main control room.   |  |  |
|  | b(2)For each division in bypass, attempt to<br>place the other divisions in trip-logic-<br>output bypass, one at a time.  | b(2)Each division not bypassed cannot be<br>placed in bypass, as indicated at OLU<br>output; bypass status in main control<br>room indicates only one trip logic<br>output is bypassed.  |  |  |
|  | c(1) Apply common test signal to any one<br>pair of <i>dual-SLU</i> redundant SLF signal<br>inputs. Monitor test signal at <i>voted 2-out-</i><br>of 2-output <i>in RMU area</i> from<br>equipment performing the ECF in<br>local areas. Remove power from<br>equipment performing one <i>SLU</i> SLF,<br>restore power, then remove power from<br>equipment performing other <i>SLU</i> SLF.<br>Repeat test for all pairs of <i>dual SLUs</i><br>redundant sets of equipment<br>implementing a SLF in each division. | (1) Monitored test output signal does not<br>change state initiate the system<br>function when power is removed<br>from either SLU the equipment<br>performing any single SLF. Bypass<br>status and loss of power to SLU-<br>equipment performing the SLF are<br>indicated in main control room. |  |  |

| Table 3.4 Instrumentation and Control (Continued) |  |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Inspe   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria   |   |  |  |  |
| Design Commitment                                 | Inspections, Tests, Analyses   | Acceptance Criteria   |  |  |  |
| 4. (continued)                                    | 4. (continued)<br><i>c(2) Disable auto-bypass circuit in bypass-<br/>unit. Repeat test c(1), but operate-<br/>manual ESF loop bypass switch for each-<br/>affected loop.</i> | 4. (continued)<br><i>c(2)Monitored test output signal is lost-<br/>when power is removed from either-<br/>SLU, but is restored when manual-<br/>bypass switch is operated. Bypass-<br/>status, auto-bypass inoperable, and-<br/>loss of power to SLU are indicated in-<br/>main control room.</i> |  |  |  |

| insp   | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Design Commitment  | Inspections, Tests, Analyses                         | Acceptance Criteria  |  |  |
| Electromagnetic Compatibility  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Electrical and electronic components in the<br/>systems listed below are qualified for the<br/>anticipated levels of electrical interference at<br/>the installed locations of the components<br/>according to an established plan:</li> <li>a. Safety System Logic and Control</li> <li>b. Essential Multiplexing-<br/>SystemCommunication Function</li> <li>c. Non-Essential Multiplexing-System<br/>Communication Function</li> <li>d. Other microprocessor-based, software<br/>controlled systems or equipment</li> <li>The plan is structured on the basis that<br/>electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) of I&amp;C<br/>equipment is verified by factory testing and<br/>site testing of both individual components and<br/>interconnected systems to meet EMC<br/>requirements for protection against the effects<br/>of:</li> <li>a. Electromagnetic Interference (EMI)</li> <li>b. Radio Frequency Interference (RFI)</li> <li>c. Electrostatic Discharge (ESD)</li> <li>d. Electrical surge [Surge Withstand<br/>Capability (SWC)]</li> </ol> |  | <ul> <li>12. <u>An EMC compliance plan is in place. The plan requires, for each system qualified, system documentation that includes confirmation of component and system testing for the effects of high electrical field conditions and current surges. As a minimum, the following information is documented in a qualification file and subject to audit:</u></li> <li>a. Expected performance under test conditions for which normal system operation is to be ensured.</li> <li>b. Normal electrical field conditions at the locations where the equipment must perform as above.</li> <li>c. Testing methods used to qualify the equipment, including: <ul> <li>(1.) Types of test equipment.</li> <li>(2.) Range of normal test conditions.</li> <li>(3.) Range of abnormal test environment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |  |

Rev. 03

| ſ | Inspe             | Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria |   |  |
|---|-------------------|--|---|--|
|   | Design Commitment | Inspections, Tests, Analyses                         | Acceptance Criteria   |  |
|   |                   |  | <ul> <li>Acceptance Criteria</li> <li>13. The setpoint methodology plan is in place.<br/>The plan generates requirements for: <ul> <li>a. Documentation of data, assumptions, and methods used in the bases for selection of trip setpoints.</li> <li>b. Consideration of instrument channel inaccuracies (including those due to analog-to-digital converters, signal conditioners, and temperature compensation circuits, and-multiplexing and demultiplexing components), instrument calibration uncertainties, instrument drift, and uncertainties due to environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, pressure, radiation, EMI, power supply variation), measurement errors, and the effect of design basis event transients are included in determining the margin between the trip setpoint and the safety limit.</li> <li>c. The methods used for combining uncertainties.<br/>Use of written procedures for</li> </ul></li></ul> |  |
|   |                   |  | <ul> <li>preoperational testing and tests</li> <li>performed to satisfy the Technical</li> <li>Specifications.</li> <li>e. Documented evaluation of</li> <li>replacement instrumentation which is</li> <li>not identical to the original equipment.</li> </ul>  |  |

## Table 3.4 Instrumentation and Control (Continued)