Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title:

South Texas Project Units 3 & 4

Docket Number:

52-012/013-COL

ASLBP Number:

09-885-08-COL-BD01

DOCKETED USNRC

Location:

(telephone conference)

October 13, 2009 (8:00am)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Date:

Tuesday, October 6, 2009

Work Order No.:

NRC-3125

Pages 509-522

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

Implete Sers - 032

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL
5	+ + + +
6	PRE-HEARING TELECONFERENCE
7	x
8	In the Matter of: :
9	SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT : Docket Nos. 52-012-COL
10	NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY : 52-013-COL
11	(South Texas Project Units : ASLBP No.
12	3 and 4) : 09-885-08-COL-BD01
13	x
14	Tuesday, October 6, 2009
15	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
16	
17	BEFORE:
18	MICHAEL GIBSON, Chair
19	GARY ARNOLD, Administrative Judge
20	RANDALL CHARBENEAU, Administrative Judge
21	
22	
23	•
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCE	S:
2	On Behalf	of South Texas Project Nuclear Operating
3	Company:	
4		STEVEN P. FRANTZ, ESQ.
5		STEPHEN J. BURDICK, ESQ.
6		ALVIN H. GUTTERMAN, ESQ.
7	of:	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
8		1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
9		Washington, DC 20004
10		202-739-5460
11		
12	On Behalf	of the Intervenors:
13		ROBERT V. EYE, ESQ.
14	of:	Kauffman & Eye
15		112 SW 6th Avenue
1.6	,	Suite 202
17		Topeka, KS 66603
18		785-234-4040
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
2	On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
3	MICHAEL SPENCER, ESQ.
4	JESSICA BIELECKI, ESQ.
5	SARA KIRKWOOD, ESQ.
6	of: Office of the General Counsel
7	Mail Stop - 0-15 D21
8	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
9	Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
10	301-415-4073 (Michael Spencer)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:00:36 a.m.)
3	CHAIR GIBSON: This is Judge Gibson. I'm
4	here with Judge Arnold, Judge Charbeneau is joining us
5	by conference call from Austin. So, I thought we
6	might get started here.
7	Could I get announcements of counsel,
8	please?
9	MR. FRANTZ: This is Steve Frantz from
10	Morgan Lewis, counsel for STP Nuclear Operating
11	Company.
12	MR. SPENCER: This is Michael Spencer,
13	Sara Kirkwood, Jessica Bielecki, counsel for the NRC
14	Staff.
15	MR. EYE: This is Robert Eye, counsel for
16	Intervenors.
17	MR. GUTTERMAN: This is Al Gutterman, and
18	Steven Burdick. Steve Frantz is going to take the
19	lead, but Steve Burdick and I are listening in, and
20	will be available for any questions.
21	CHAIR GIBSON: Thank you. Well, this will
22	be a relatively is our court reporter on the line?
23	COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm here.
24	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. Fantastic. Okay.
25	Let me this, I think, can be a relatively short

call. I got your -- we got the joint proposal for hearing schedule that you all submitted, and appreciate your work together to put something along these lines. You all seem to have done a great job working together, and I really appreciate that on the protective order, as well.

I know that you all followed the model milestones, and that's certainly understandable. What we're envisioning is something a little more expansive than that, for purposes of the scheduling order. And I have, basically, been working with the other judges here, and we'll have something, hopefully, that reflects, I think, generally your agreement here, but is, again, a little more detailed. And we'll try to get that out to you as a draft soon. And then you all can let us know if it's acceptable, or if there's any further changes. If there are, we can have another call to discuss that. But, basically, I think what you've got here is, I think, a good start for what we needed.

I have a couple of questions, first of all, for the NRC Staff. I'm wondering if you'll have an estimate of your projected schedule for the completion of the Safety and Environmental reports?

MR. SPENCER: I think we have a projected

NEAL R. GROSS

1	schedule for -
2	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. This is the
3	court reporter. Could you please identify yourself
4	before you speak?
5	MR. SPENCER: Oh, sorry. Michael Spencer,
6	NRC Staff. I believe we have a schedule for both.
7	With respect to the Environmental Impact Statement,
8	the schedule, as of now, would be we plan to issue the
9	draft Environmental Impact Statement in March of 2010,
LO	and the final Environmental Impact Statement in March
L1	of 2011.
L2	The SER, I have some I mean, I have
L3	access to some information, but I haven't checked with
L4	the Staff on that, just because hold on a second.
L5	Because the contentions, so far, all involve the
16	environmental report, the safety report.
L7	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. All right. That's
.8	fine. If you could give us confer with the Staff
L9	and give us some idea, we'd appreciate that. You can
20	just submit a short notice, or letter is fine. We
21	just would appreciate that.
22	MR. SPENCER: Okay. We'll do that.
23	CHAIR GIBSON: Thank you.
24	One of the things that I think would
25	we're envisioning is having disclosures being updated

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

on a monthly basis. And to the extent that there are documents that were -- that come up through the end of a prior month, we can have some period of time after that within which there's going to need to be some kind of updating. And I'm curious, if you all have any -- have you all talked about that, if you all want to pick a date. You don't have to pick a date now, but you might be thinking about it. But we can -- I think something like the second Wednesday, or the third Thursday, or something like that of following month would probably be a good idea.

MR. FRANTZ: Judge Gibson, this is Steve We did have an agreement among the parties that we sent to you on September 10th, that deals with document production, that essentially does that. Paragraph 8 of the agreement says that the document production -- disclosures should be updated on a monthly basis, basically, on the first of each month. And that that update should reflect any documents collected, or obtained by the 15th of the prior month.

CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. We'll incorporate that in what we send back out. Thank you for pointing that out to me. I appreciate that.

With respect to the protective order that you all have already put together, do you all envision

1	expanding that protective order at all to address any
2	other privilege claims at this time, or do you think
3	you've covered everything with that protective order?
4	MR. FRANTZ: This is Steve Frantz. For
5	right now, I think it just pertains to the SUNSI
6	documents that relate to the Mitigative Strategies
7	Report, and associated SUNSI documents, like various
8	guidance documents that we've sent to the Intervenors.
9	CHAIR GIBSON: Counsel for the
10	Intervenors.
11	MR. EYE: Yes?
12	CHAIR GIBSON: You pretty much feel the
13	same way?
14	MR. EYE: Your Honor, I don't think
15	there's any need to expand it beyond what it is. I
16	don't know that there are any other documents that
17	would fall into a sensitive category, classified
18	category, at this point.
19	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay.
20	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who is
21	speaking?
22	MR. EYE: I'm sorry. I beg your pardon.
23	This is Bob Eye.
24	CHAIR GIBSON: NRC Staff?
25	MR. SPENCER: We would agree with the
	NEAL D. ODGGG

NEAL R. GROSS

1 ||

2

other parties on that.

3 4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18⁻

20

21

22

23

2425

what I will -- what we will probably do is put some kind of a privilege log provision in there that will address this protective order question. And that will give you all something to react to. And, perhaps, you all may conclude that there's nothing more that needs to be done. It may be that we need to have some additional protective order of some kind in place. But, in any event, just be on the lookout for that when it comes back to you.

The last item that I had, I wanted -- or last two items, I wanted to know if, at this point, you all -- I know it's quite early in the game, if you all have talked any about stipulations, or admissions. If you all made any efforts to settle, or anything like that, at this point in time?

MR. FRANTZ: This is Steve Frantz. We've not discussed stipulations or settlement. We do have one issue we've discussed with the other parties regarding Contention 16, based upon the document we sent to the Board and parties last week, which we think may, eventually, moot the Contention. The Intervenors are currently looking at that to see whether or not they agree, but, beyond that, we have

1 ||

not discussed anything else.

2 CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. That's fine. The last item that I had, you all may have some other 3 issues that you need to bring to our attention, but 4 5 the last item that I think we had, has to do with the 6 possibility, and it is only a possibility, that we may 7 need to have oral argument on the new contentions that 8 have been raised. And what I would appreciate you all 9 doing is looking at your calendars in November, and let us know if there are any days that are going to be 10 11 bad for you all, so that we can -- you can give those 12 to Ericka. And I know you all will be in contact with 13 Ericka about like setting up this conference, and all, 14 so you'll know how to get a hold of her. But, if you 15 would, please, get a hold of her, and just let her 16 know if there's any days that are bad in November; 17 obviously, we've got Thanksgiving week is going to be 18 pretty tough, so it would probably have to be sometime 19 in the first 20 days. But if you all would think 20 about that, and then let us know, we'd appreciate 21 that.

And, again, I'm not suggesting that there is any necessity of oral argument. Frankly, we have not had an opportunity to review those in detail and discuss them among the Judges, so we don't even know,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

22

23

24

1	at this point, if we need to. But if we're going to
2	do that, we would like to go ahead and try to get
3	something scheduled, so I would urge that you all let
4	us know that.
5	MR. SPENCER: Your Honor?
6	CHAIR GIBSON: Yes?
7	MR. SPENCER: This is Michael Spencer for
8	the NRC Staff.
9	CHAIR GIBSON: Yes.
10	MR. SPENCER: Would you if there will
11	be oral argument, would you anticipate having that in
12	Texas, or at NRC Headquarters?
13	CHAIR GIBSON: Well, certainly, we are
14	open to anything. It's my understanding from Judge
15	Arnold that the Comanche Peak case is going to be -
16	JUDGE ARNOLD: We're leaning towards oral
17	arguments this is Judge Arnold, and that we're
18	looking for availability here at the NRC. So, that's
19	I don't know that I've been on vacation, so I'm
20	a little fuzzy on some of these details.
21	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. In any event,
22	certainly, realize that you all are largely the same
23	players, other than the individual Intervenors. If
24	you all are going to be doing that, perhaps it might
25	be possible to schedule those close to the same time

1	to avoid inconvenience to you all, if you all want to
2	do that. But, I think there's a clear preference to
3	hold hearings in the vicinity of the facility. In
4	this case, we have already done that. We do I
5	think these new issues are largely focused on things
6	that we've already talked about, to some extent, at
7	least, with respect to the oral argument we had on, I
8	believe, Contention 2 it was. So, I think that we may
9	well it may well be acceptable to do that here.
10	But, again, that we would want to hear from you all
11	about that, as well. So, I guess not only timing, but
12	also locale, and perhaps you all might want to discuss
13	that among yourselves before you get back with Ericka.
14	MR. FRANTZ: Judge Gibson, this is Steve
15	Frantz.
16	CHAIR GIBSON: Yes.
17	MR. FRANTZ: One of the possibilities that
18	just occurred to me, is if the Board does want oral
19	argument, maybe to have a joint session with the
20	Comanche Peak Board on basically the same contentions,
21	with essentially the same parties. That might be more
22	efficient for everybody.
23	CHAIR GIBSON: It's, certainly, a
24	possibility, and, certainly, nothing I would dismiss
25	out of hand. I will say, there are some unique

1	issues, and we, obviously, have some different judges
2	involved. But, certainly, even if we didn't do it as
3	a joint one, we could do it one day after the other.
4	But it's all of those are possibilities. Thank you
5	for your suggestion, counsel, and we will again,
6	you all discuss that among yourselves, we can
7	certainly broach the subject with Judge Young, as
8	well, if that's what you all decide your preference
9	would be.
10	Is there anything else? Judge Charbeneau?
11	JUDGE CHARBENEAU: No.
12	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. Counsel, is there
13	anything you all need to bring to our attention?
14	MR. EYE: For the Intervenors, this is Bob
15	Eye. No, sir.
16	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay.
17	MR. SPENCER: For the NRC Staff, Michael
18	Spencer, no.
19	MR. FRANTZ: Steve Frantz for the
20	Applicant. We have nothing.
21	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. Well, thank you for
22	your time. We will try to get something out to your
23	shortly that you all can look at. Again, I think it's
24	largely consistent with what you've put here together,
25	but it, I think, is a little more detailed. And I

1	would like for you all to look at it, and see if it's
2	acceptable before we issue it. So, we will get that
3	out to you, hopefully, soon. Okay?
4	With that, we stand adjourned. Thank you.
5	(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
6	record at 11:15:21 a.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	II

2.617

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

South Texas Project

-

Units 3 & 4

•

Name of Proceeding: Pre-hearing Conference

Docket Number: 52-012/013-COL

ASLBP No. 09-885-08-COL-BD01

Location: (teleconference)

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

James Salandro

Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.