# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	) Docket No. 63-001-HLW
(High-Level Waste Repository)	) ASLBP Nos. 09-876-HLW-CAB01 ) 09-877-HLW-CAB02 ) 09-878-HLW-CAB03 ) 09-892-HLW-CAB04

# NRC STAFF COMMENT ON JOINT PROPOSAL IDENTIFYING PHASE I LEGAL ISSUES FOR BRIEFING

### **INTRODUCTION**

On October 6, 2009, the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE"), the State of Nevada, and the Nuclear Energy Institute ("NEI") filed a joint proposal outlining legal issues to be briefed in Phase I of the above-captioned proceeding.<sup>1</sup> Nevada and DOE also filed separate opinions defining the legal issue to be briefed under NEV-SAFETY-162.<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to the CAB Case Management Order #2, dated September 30, 2009 ("Order") (unpublished), the NRC staff ("Staff") hereby presents its view of the legal issue presented in NEV-SAFETY-162.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Nevada and DOE differ on the legal issue presented by NEV-SAFETY-162. Nevada frames the legal issue as:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> U.S. Department of Energy, State of Nevada, and Nuclear Energy Institute Joint Proposal Identifying Phase 1 Legal Issues for Briefing, dated October 6, 2009 ("Joint Proposal").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> State of Nevada's Legal Issue for NEV-SAFETY-162, October 6, 2009 ("Nevada Views"); U.S. Department of Energy Views on NEV-SAFETY-162, dated October 6, 2009 ("DOE Views").

Whether, in making the pre-construction authorization finding required by 10 C.F.R. § 63.31(a)(2), it must be considered whether, given DOE's plan to install drip shields only after all of the wastes have been emplaced, it will be impossible to make the preoperational finding in 10 C.F.R. § 63.41(a) that construction of the underground facility has been substantially completed in accordance with the license application, as amended, the Atomic Energy Act, and applicable NRC regulations.

Nevada Views at 1. DOE asserts the legal issue is limited to "[w]hether 10 C.F.R. §§ 63.31(a)(2) and 63.113(b), and [Nuclear Waste Policy Act ("NWPA")] § 121(b)(1)(B) require DOE to install the drip shields prior to emplacing all of the waste in the repository." DOE Views at 2. The Staff's view is that DOE's interpretation of the legal issue presented in NEV-SAFETY-162 is appropriate.

NEV-SAFETY-162 alleges that DOE's plan to install drip shields after all waste canisters have been emplaced violates the requirement in 10 C.F.R. § 63.113(b) that the engineered barrier system, combined with natural barriers, comply with the EPA's individual dose standards. State of Nevada's Petition to Intervene as a Full Party, dated December 19, 2008 ("Nevada Petition"), at 861. The contention further alleges that this precludes the issuance of a construction authorization because NRC cannot find that "there is reasonable expectation that the materials can be disposed of without unreasonable risk to the health and safety of the public," as required by 10 C.F.R. § 63.31(a)(2). *Id*.

The Commission has held that a reply "cannot expand the scope of the arguments set forth in the original hearing request." *Nuclear Management Co., LLC* (Palisades Nuclear Plant), CLI-06-17, 63 NRC 727, 732 (2006). As DOE points out, *see* DOE Views at 3, and Nevada acknowledges, *see* Nevada Views at 3, Nevada did not cite 10 C.F.R. § 63.41(a) in its original contention. However, Nevada cites several Licensing Board decisions to support its argument that material presented for the first time in reply may be considered if it "legitimately amplifie[s]" the initial contention. Nevada Views at 3 (citing *PPL Susquehanna LLC* (Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2), LBP-07-4, 65 NRC 281, 301 (2007); *Pai'ina Hawaii* (Material

License Application), LBP-06-12, 63 NRC 403, 416 (2006); *Louisiana Energy Services, LP* (National Enrichment Facility), LBP-04-14, 60 NRC 40, 58 (2004)). While this is a true statement of the rule regarding new material offered for the first time in reply, the rule is misapplied here, because Nevada's citation to 10 C.F.R. § 63.41(a) does more than merely "amplify" its original contention.

In its statement of the legal issue, Nevada expands the scope of NEV-SAFETY-162 to include a determination of whether "it will be impossible to make the pre-operation finding in 10 C.F.R. § 63.41(a) that construction of the underground facility has been substantially completed." Nevada Views at 1. Thus, Nevada's view of the legal issue encompasses not only the finding the NRC must make under 10 C.F.R. § 63.31(a)(2) prior to construction authorization, but also the finding the NRC must make under 10 C.F.R. § 63.41(a), prior to issuing a license to receive and possess source, special nuclear, or byproduct material, that construction of the repository "has been substantially completed in conformity with the application as amended, the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission. 10 C.F.R. § 63.41(a).

Although Nevada argues that it raises § 63.41(a) "only to suggest the meaning of 10 C.F.R. § 63.31(a)(2)," Nevada Views at 3, the citation to § 63.41 conflates the findings required to grant a construction authorization with those required to grant a license to receive and possess source, special nuclear, or byproduct material. In so doing, Nevada not only expands the scope of NEV-SAFETY-162 beyond the contention as originally proffered in the Nevada Petition, it expands the scope of the instant proceeding. See Notice of Hearing and Opportunity to Petition for Leave to Intervene, *U.S. Dep't of Energy* (High-Level Waste Repository), CLI-08-25, 68 NRC 497, 497, 503 (2008) (noting that the hearing will consider a construction authorization application). Therefore, the legal issue presented by NEV-SAFETY-162 should be limited to the issue as articulated by DOE.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons discussed above, the Board should adopt DOE's statement of the legal issue presented by NEV-SAFETY-162.

Respectfully submitted,

## /Signed (electronically) by/

Christopher C. Chandler
Counsel for the NRC Staff
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop O-15D21
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(301) 415-8507
ccc1@nrc.gov

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2009

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	)		
	)	Docket No.	63-001-HLW
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	)		
	)	ASLBP Nos.	09-876-HLW-CAB01
(High-Level Waste Repository)	)		09-877-HLW-CAB02
	)		09-878-HLW-CAB03
	)		09-892-HLW-CAB04

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the "NRC STAFF COMMENT ON JOINT PROPOSAL IDENTIFYING PHASE I LEGAL ISSUES FOR BRIEFING" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following persons this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2009, by Electronic Information Exchange.

**CAB 01** 

William J. Froehlich, Chairman

Thomas S. Moore Richard E. Wardwell

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

E-mail: wjf1@nrc.gov tsm2@nrc.gov rew@nrc.gov

CAB 02

Michael M. Gibson, Chairman

Alan S. Rosenthal Nicholas G. Trikouros

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

E-mail: mmg3@nrc.gov axr@nrc.gov ngt@nrc.gov

**CAB 03** 

Paul S. Ryerson, Chairman

Michael C. Farrar Mark O. Barnett

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

E-mail: <u>psr1@nrc.gov</u> mcf@nrc.gov

mob1@nrc.gov

mark.barnett@nrc.gov

CAB 04

Thomas S. Moore, Chairman

Paul S. Ryerson Richard E. Wardwell

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

E-mail: tsm2@nrc.gov psr1@nrc.gov rew@nrc.gov

Office of the Secretary

ATTN: Docketing and Service

Mail Stop: O-16C1

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555

E-mail: <u>HEARINGDOCKET@nrc.gov</u>

Office of Commission Appellate

Adjudication

ocaamail@nrc.gov

Charles J. Fitzpatrick, Esq.

John W. Lawrence, Esq.

Egan, Fitzpatrick, Malsch & Lawrence PLLC 12500 San Pedro Avenue, Suite 555

San Antonio, TX 78216

E-mail: cfitzpatrick@nuclearlawyer.com

jlawrence@nuclearlawyer.com

Martin G. Malsch, Esq. Egan, Fitzpatrick & Malsch, PLLC 1750 K Street, N.W. Suite 350 Washington, D.C. 20006

E-mail: mmalsch@nuclearlawyer.com

Brian W. Hembacher, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
California Attorney General's Office
300 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013

E-mail: brian.hembacher@doj.ca.gov

Timothy E. Sullivan, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
1515 Clay Street., 20<sup>th</sup> Flr.
P.O. Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

E-mail: timothy.sullivan@doj.ca.gov

Kevin W. Bell, Esq. Senior Staff Counsel California Energy Commission 1516 9<sup>th</sup> Street Sacramento, CA 95814

E-mail: kwbell@energy.state.ca.us

Bryce C. Loveland Jennings Strouss & Salmon, PLC 8330 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 290 Las Vegas, NV 89117-8949 Email: bloveland@jsslaw.com

Alan I. Robbins, Esq.
Debra D. Roby, Esq.
Jennings Strouss & Salmon, PLC
1700 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20005
E-mail: arobbins@jsslaw.com

<u>aroobins@jsslaw.com</u> droby@jsslaw.com Donald J. Silverman, Esq.
Thomas A. Schmutz, Esq.
Thomas C. Poindexter, Esq.
Paul J. Zaffuts, Esq.
Alex S. Polonsky, Esq.
Lewis Csedrik, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004

E-mail: dsilverman@morganlewis.com tschmutz@morganlewis.com tpoindexter@morganlewis.com pzaffuts@morganlewis.com apolonsky@morganlewis.com lcsedrik@morganlewis.com

Malachy R. Murphy, Esq. 18160 Cottonwood Rd. #265 Sunriver, OR 97707 E-mail: mrmurphy@chamberscable.com

Robert M. Andersen Akerman Senterfitt 801 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20004 USA E-mail: robert.andersen@akerman.com

Martha S. Crosland, Esq.
Angela M. Kordyak, Esq.
Nicholas P. DiNunzio
James Bennett McRae, Esq.
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of the General Counsel
1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20585

E-mail: martha.crosland@hq.doe.gov angela.kordyak@hq.doe.gov nick.dinunzio@rw.doe.gov ben.mcrae@hq.doe.gov

George W. Hellstrom
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of General Counsel
1551 Hillshire Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89134-6321

E-Mail: <a href="mailto:george.hellstrom@ymp.gov">george.hellstrom@ymp.gov</a>

Jeffrey D. VanNiel, Esq. 530 Farrington Court Las Vegas, NV 89123 E-mail: nbrjdvn@gmail.com

Susan L. Durbin, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
1300 I Street
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
E-mail: susan.durbin@doj.ca.gov

Frank A. Putzu
Naval Sea Systems Command Nuclear
Propulsion Program
1333 Isaac Hull Avenue, S.E.
Washington Navy Yard, Building 197
Washington, DC 20376
E-mail: frank.putzu@navy.mil

John M. Peebles
Darcie L. Houck
Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP
1001 Second Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
E-mail: jpeebles@ndnlaw.com

Ellen C. Ginsberg
Michael A. Bauser
Anne W. Cottingham
Nuclear Energy Institute, Inc.
1776 I Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20006
E-mail: ecg@nei.org
mab@nei.org
awc@nei.org

David A. Repka
William A. Horin
Rachel Miras-Wilson
Winston & Strawn LLP
1700 K Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
E-mail: drepka@winston.com

whorin@winston.com
rwilson@winston.com

Jay E. Silberg
Timothy J.V. Walsh
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1122
E-mail: jay.silberg@pillsburylaw.com
timothy.walsh@pillsburylaw.com

Gregory L. James 710 Autumn Leaves Circle Bishop, California 93514 Email: gljames@earthlink.net

Arthur J. Harrington Godfrey & Kahn, S.C. 780 N. Water Street Milwaukee, WI 53202 E-mail: aharring@gklaw.com

Steven A. Heinzen
Douglas M. Poland
Hannah L. Renfro
Godfrey & Kahn, S.C.
One East Main Street, Suite 500
P.O. Box 2719
Madison, WI 53701-2719
E-mail: <a href="mailto:sheinzen@gklaw.com/dpoland@gklaw.com/hrenfro@gklaw.com/hrenfro@gklaw.com/hrenfro@gklaw.com/hrenfro@gklaw.com/hrenfro@gklaw.com/hrenfro@gklaw.com/hrenfro@gklaw.com/hrenfro@gklaw.com/

Robert F. List, Esq.
Jennifer A. Gores, Esq.
Armstrong Teasdale LLP
1975 Village Center Circle, Suite 140
Las Vegas, NV 89134-6237
E-mail: rlist@armstrongteasdale.com
igores@armstrongteasdale.com

Diane Curran
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg,
L.L.P.
1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036
E-mail: dcurran@harmoncurran.com

Ian Zabarte, Board Member Native Community Action Council P.O. Box 140

Baker, NV 89311

E-mail: mrizabarte@gmail.com

Richard Sears
District Attorney No. 5489
White Pine County District Attorney's Office 801 Clark Street, Suite 3
Ely, NV 89301

E-mail: rwsears@wpcda.org

Donald P. Irwin
Michael R. Shebelskie
Kelly L. Faglioni
Hunton & Williams LLP
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower
951 East Byrd Street
Richmond, VA 23219-4074
E-mail: dirwin@hunton.com

mshebelskie@hunton.com kfaglioni@hunton.com

Curtis G. Berkey Scott W. Williams Rovianne A. Leigh Alexander, Berkey, Williams, & Weathers LLP 2030 Addison Street, Suite 410 Berkley, CA 94704 E-mail: cberkey@abwwlaw.com

swilliams@abwwlaw.com rleigh@abwwlaw.com Bret O. Whipple 1100 South Tenth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 E-mail: bretwhipple@nomademail.com

Gregory Barlow
P.O. Box 60
Pioche, Nevada 89043
E-mail: lcda@lcturbonet.com

Connie Simkins
P.O. Box 1068
Caliente, Nevada 89008
E-mail: jcciac@co.lincoln.nv.us

Dr. Mike Baughman
Intertech Services Corporation
P.O. Box 2008
Carson City, Nevada 89702
E-mail: bigoff@aol.com

Michael Berger
Robert S. Hanna
Attorney for the County of Inyo
233 East Carrillo Street Suite B
Santa Barbara, California 93101
E-mail: <a href="mailto:mberger@bsglaw.net">mberger@bsglaw.net</a>
rshanna@bsglaw.net

## /Signed (electronically) by/

Christopher C. Chandler
Counsel for the NRC Staff
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop O-15D21
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(301) 415-8507
ccc1@nrc.gov