

From: [Kevan Crawford](#)
To: [Schoenebeck, Greg](#);
cc: will.huntington@mail.house.gov; neeta.bidwai@mail.house.gov;
Jared_Brown@hatch.senate.gov; hollenhorst@ksl.com; madams@ag.nv.gov; dchancellor@utah.gov;
Subject: RE: NRC Petition Review Board and Enforcement Action Petition
Date: Thursday, October 01, 2009 10:05:53 AM

Greg, At this point I am NOT inclined to negotiate the public health and safety or national security pursuant to your original message below. As in 1993, the NRC has been informed of safety violations, and as in 1993 the NRC has once again chosen to gamble with public health and safety and national security by addressing only a handful of the cited violations. The Petition was ?all or nothing,? not a buffet for the NRC to select what they want or can manage. In a previous email message I have proven to you that the PRB decision was completely arbitrary by comparing the asserted violations with the PRB results. For the PRB to send an inspection team to Idaho that will ignore the greater majority of safety violations, particularly those of greater severity involving direct human harm and loss of control of SNM, is indefensible in any forum. If the reason for being arbitrary is that the NRC cannot manage the regulation of this licensee, then the NRC has a fiduciary duty to shut the facility down until it can regulate this facility. If the reason for being arbitrary was selfish, then the NRC needs a change of personnel as soon as possible. Perhaps NRC employees can sleep with this compromise of health, safety, and security, but since this approach is a clear violation of the first canon of the Engineers Code of Ethics, I cannot be a part of this ethical travesty. I am fairly certain the public and Congress will not care one iota about NRC procedures from the Management Directive when it comes to the NRC gambling with health, safety and security for the last 38 years, and particularly since these violations have been reported twice without resulting action. Therefore, using that excuse for lack of enforcement to attempt to blame me is yet another ethical violation and will only embarrass the NRC. This 10CFR2.206 proceeding has made a mockery of professional ethics. The reality is that the NRC has already committed to an enforcement action. This is an admission that the NRC concealed safety and security violations in 1993. The NRC is now an admitted accessory to the cover-up of safety and security violations. There is no reason to believe that this approach is not once again being followed with this Enforcement Action Petition. I am willing to preserve my ethics and insist that under congressional and public pressure the NRC cannot ignore the remaining reported violations.

So that my professional reputation as an engineer is not tainted like that of the NRC, I am going to allow the natural course of upcoming events FORCE the NRC to fulfill its fiduciary duty to protect public health and safety and national security. Best regards, Kevan Crawford, PhD -----
Original Message ----- Please let me know when would be the most convenient time for you to address the Petition Review Board (PRB) and we will go from there. If you do not intend to address the PRB, let me know as well so I can relay that information to the PRB. Thanks.