From: Kevan Crawford
To: Schoenebeck, Greg;
cc: will.huntington@mail.house.gov; neeta.bidwai@mail.house.gov; Jared_Brown@hatch.senate.gov;
Subject: NRC Petition Review Board and Enforcement Action Petition
Date: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 2:00:57 PM

Greg, October 8 is too soon for me to even begin guessing at what information criteria the PRB has secretly decided is required. The Managment Directive is of no use. Actually, I have satisfied my legal and ethical responsibilities by reporting the details of the violations. The NRC chooses to look the other way once again on the majority of the reported violations. The NRC once again will allow the facility to continue to operate with reported safety, security, and safeguard violations. This is just playing footsie while the serious safety, security, and safeguard violations continue. Published procedures (Management Directive) and hidden criteria (extremely vague) do not satisfy the requirements for fiduciary duty in this case. I am now discussing a resolution of this serious NRC problem with members of Congress. I would like to point out that the PRB is inconsistent and arbitrary. For example, the PRB found enough "evidence" to conclude that the certified shipping container violation should be resolved, but not enough "evidence" was provided to resolve the proper labelling violation. The fact is, I provide the same amount of supporting information on both issues, which amounted to basically nothing, and yet the PRB found one violation had enough evidence and the other did not. That is amazing considering they are parallel violations of the same severity, from the same CFR Title, affecting the same licensee activity. Now that is arbitrary. Also, I am unable to work in a radiation facility without corrected dose records. The PRB found there was not enough evidence provided. That finding is non-conservative and unreasonable. When the PRB finds radiation protection and professional employment such a light regulatory issue, then it is time for a change. The PRB didn't think enough evidence was provided to investigate the failure to document and prevent contamination issues witnessed by many victims then I think it time for a change. If the NRC won't inform them, then the news media will have to cover this basic fiduciary duty that one human being owes to another. The PRB didn't think enough evidence was provided to investigate, cite, and prevent loss of control of SNM, then I guess the Congress will have to address this issue. The NRC has all of the information necessary to investigate and document this serious national security concern, cite the violation, punish the licensee, and permanently

stop this activity, but refuses to do so. The NRC doesn't need any more information than who, what, where, and when the loss of control occurred and Frank Harmons publications documenting his experiments on the fuel plates. The multiple witnesses will provide the remainding consistent eyewitness testimony. I reported it then and now, and the NRC refuses to be responsible though investigation and enforcement. The fact is, the NRC is following the same irresponsible pathway that was followed in 1993. Nothing has changed and nothing will change. I reported the violations, the NRC picks a few to incompetently handle (can't call it resolving), and the facility continues to operate with numerous safety violations. Sounds to me like an "abdication of fiduciary duty." Through one means or another all of these issues will be resolved in the near future, with or without the NRC. My communications this morning with Senator Hatch, Reps Markey and Matheson, and news reported John Hollenhorst of KSL TV have started the ball rolling to get all of the safety issues resolved by the end of this year. The NRC has the opportunity to be part of the solution or part of the problem. Kevan Crawford, PhD