

From: Poole, Justin
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 10:46 AM
To: 'Flentje, Fritzie'
Subject: RE: LAR 247 - Additional Minor Question

Fritzie,

Here is the question we would like to talk about tomorrow (9/24) at 11am ET.

In the last set of RAI responses (August 27, 2009) on page 7 and 8 listed as one of the uncertainties in Table 4 and Table 6 is Burnup. The value listed in the table appears to come from the Table 3-5 of the WCAP. But if you go back to your supplement dated September 18, 2008 (acceptance review) question 1 talks about how you calculated "new" burnup uncertainties. Why were the "new" burnup uncertainties not used in the Aug 27 response and if they should be used how would it effect the results.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Justin C. Poole
Project Manager
NRR/DORL/LPL3-1
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
(301)415-2048
email: Justin.Poole@nrc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Flentje, Fritzie [mailto:Fritzie.Flentje@fpl.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 8:08 AM
To: Poole, Justin
Subject: RE: LAR 247 - Additional Minor Question

Don't forget to send the question.

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Poole, Justin [mailto:Justin.Poole@nrc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 7:00 AM
To: Flentje, Fritzie
Subject: Accepted: LAR 247 - Additional Minor Question
When: Thursday, September 24, 2009 10:00 AM-10:30 AM (GMT-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).
Where: Bridge # 305-552-3000 Passcode 7557656#

E-mail Properties
Mail Envelope Properties ()

Subject: RE: LAR 247 - Additional Minor Question
Sent Date: 9/23/2009 10:26:32 AM

Received Date: 9/23/2009 10:46:00 AM
From: Poole, Justin

Created By: Justin.Poole@nrc.gov

Recipients:
Fritzie.Flentje@fpl.com ('Flentje, Fritzie')
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	7838	9/23/2009

Options
Expiration Date:
Priority: 01ImportanceNormal
ReplyRequested: False
Return Notification: False

Sensitivity: 01Normal
Recipients received: