NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Indianapolis Veterinary Referral Indianapolis, IN REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-001	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-37823	13-32698-01	9/14/09

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.

☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	James Spencer		9/14/09
NRC INSPECTOR	Michael LaFranco		9/14/09

NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (10-2003)

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

Docket File Information

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Indianapolis Veterinary Referral REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-001	**Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, IL 60532**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-37823	13-32698-01	9/14/2009

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87126	03.01-03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
2400	5	James Speiser – RSO	317-847-6892

[X] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: 9/2014

[ ] Field Office

[ ] Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

This was an initial announced inspection. The licensee's office is located within a veterinary hospital and receives cats approximately 1-2 per month during normal working hours. The cats are kept at the facility, within a separate room, for three days to allow release of animal based upon dose rates. The licensee had one authorized user and one technician at the site to treat and take care of the animals.

Observations and Findings

The inspector interviewed the authorized user/RSO and determined that he had adequate knowledge of the radiation safety program to ensure the safe use of radioactive material. The inspector toured the room where the cats and radioactive material are kept and found the room was clean and all containers that possessed radioactive material were stored appropriately. The inspector took radiation measurements within and around the room where licensed material was used and stored; no abnormal radiation levels were identified. The inspector performed a side-by-side comparison between the licensee's and NRC's radiation survey meters; the readings were within +/-20%. The inspector reviewed licensee records including: dosimetry, radiation surveys, incoming package, waste disposal, and doses received; the inspector did not identify any abnormal issues.