

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD

Date: September 10 and 14, 2009
Time: Various

Mail Control or Report No(s). 143871 **License No(s).** 29-19517-03 **Docket No(s).** 03032621

Name of Licensee: New Jersey Institute of Technology

Name of Participant(s): Lisa Axe, Ph.D., Assistant Professor, Scott Dennerlein, Radiation Safety Officer
Nicholas Tworischuk, Associate Treasurer, Steven R. Courtemanche, Health Physicist NRC RI

Telephone No. 973-596-2477, 973-290-4643, 973-596-5279, 610-337-5075

Subject: Clarification of information needed to complete licensing action.

(NOTE: This will be used as the Documents Title in ADAMS)

14:30 I spoke with Dr. Axe who indicated that she believed that she should not be the contact person for the license. She stated that I should speak with the Radiation Safety Officer to determine who that person should be and change our records. 14:40 I left a message on Mr. Dennerlein's voice-mail for him to get back in touch with me. 14:50 I was informed that Mr. Tworischuk would be out of the office until Monday and that another individual who could answer my questions was out sick. I left a message on Mr. Tworischuk's voice-mail to get back in touch with me. 9/14/09 09:10 I left a message on Mr. Dennerlein's voice-mail for him to get back in touch with me. 09:20 Mr. Tworischuk was in a meeting so I left a voice-mail message for him to get back in touch with me. 10:00 I spoke with Mr. Tworischuk about the licensee's response to our August 1, 2009 RAI. (1) He stated that the financial documents for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009 were still under review and would not be voted on by the Board until next month. I informed him that since New Jersey was becoming an Agreement State on September 30th, that the financial data should be submitted to the State when finalized. I referred him to Donna Janda for specific mailing instructions. (2) I asked Mr. Tworischuk why the values for decommissioning varied between the SG (\$750,000), the 2004 DFP (\$500,000), the VP Finance Letter (\$100,000) and an isolated statement in the response letter stated that financial assurance has not changed. Mr. Tworischuk stated that the SG used the certification amount and the licensee did not request that the SG be lowered to the 2004 DFP level. The \$100,000 figure was based on a more recent DFP which was not submitted to the NRC. The statement made in the response regarding no change in financial assurance was erroneous. The licensee decided to leave the SG for the NRC at \$750,000 and submit the \$100,000 SG to the State of New Jersey with the revised DFP. (3) I informed Mr. Tworischuk that the VP financial letter and the Certification for Financial Assurance were different documents. I went over what was required and Mr. Tworischuk stated that he would complete the action before the week was over and submit it to the NRC for review.

Action Required: Place in ADAMS

Document Availability:

Publicly Available

Non-Publicly Available

Non-Sensitive

Sensitive – Privacy Act (includes PII)

Immediate Release

Non-Sensitive Copyright

Sensitive – Internal

Normal Release Date: 9/18/2009

Sensitive- Proprietary

Sensitive – Security-Related

Delayed Release Date:

SUNSI Review Completed By: Steve R. Courtemanche / RA /

Document Accession #: _____