

**PR 50 and 52  
(74FR23253)**

12

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**As of:** September 10, 2009  
**Received:** September 10, 2009  
**Status:** Pending\_Post  
**Tracking No.** 80a204e0  
**Comments Due:** October 19, 2009  
**Submission Type:** Web

**Docket:** NRC-2008-0122  
Emergency Preparedness

DOCKETED  
USNRC

**Comment On:** NRC-2008-0122-0010  
Enhancements to Emergency Preparedness Regulations

September 14, 2009 (12:48pm)

**Document:** NRC-2008-0122-DRAFT-0054  
Comment on FR Doc # E9-10947

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

## Submitter Information

**Name:** kathy hougen  
**Address:** United States,

## General Comment

It appears that proposed rule making is making nuclear utilities a governing body over state & counties. This appears that it may negatively effect relationships between nuclear utilities and their local governments (state & counties).

Since it appears that FEMA also retains their role in governing state & counties, isn't this a conflict of interest? If one set of rules is different (or interpreted differently) than another, then who has authority over state & counties (FEMA or nuclear utility)? Which set of rules does state and county follow?

If FEMA is not performing up to NRC standards, it doesn't appear to be fair practice to put nuclear utility in middle.

Maybe nuclear utility should work more closely with FEMA?

In the end, this ruling has potential to increase cost of nuclear power or cause nuclear plants to shut down based on cost or state/county influence.

Maybe my perception is not correct, but please consider implications prior to making this a rule.

Template = SECY-067

DS 10

## Rulemaking Comments

---

**From:** Gallagher, Carol  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 10, 2009 3:08 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking Comments  
**Subject:** Comment letter on Enhancements to Emergency Preparedness proposed rule  
**Attachments:** hougen2.pdf

Van,

Attached for docketing is a comment letter from Kathy Hougan on the above noted proposed rule (PR 50/52; 74 FR 23253) that I received via the regulations.gov website on September 10, 2009.

Thanks,  
Carol

Received: from HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov ([148.184.44.79]) by TWMS01.nrc.gov  
([148.184.200.145]) with mapi; Thu, 10 Sep 2009 15:08:55 -0400  
Content-Type: application/ms-tnef; name="winmail.dat"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: binary  
From: "Gallagher, Carol" <Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov>  
To: Rulemaking Comments <Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov>  
Date: Thu, 10 Sep 2009 15:08:06 -0400  
Subject: Comment letter on Enhancements to Emergency Preparedness proposed  
rule  
Thread-Topic: Comment letter on Enhancements to Emergency Preparedness  
proposed rule  
Thread-Index: AcoySgccyatq31DWRf+awoy7ts7S5g==  
Message-ID:  
<83F82891AF9D774FBBB39974B6CB134F94B6E9A57C@HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov>  
Accept-Language: en-US  
Content-Language: en-US  
X-MS-Has-Attach: yes  
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: -1  
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:  
<83F82891AF9D774FBBB39974B6CB134F94B6E9A57C@HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov>  
MIME-Version: 1.0