

PMComanchePeakPEm Resource

From: Donald.Woodlan@luminant.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2009 8:48 AM
To: Monarque, Stephen; John.Only@luminant.com; Eric.Evans@luminant.com; Bruce.Turner@luminant.com; cp34-rai-luminant@mnes-us.com; diane_yeager@mnes-us.com; joseph_tapia@mnes-us.com; kazuya_hayashi@mnes-us.com; Matthew.Weeks@luminant.com; cp34-rai@mnes-us.com; russell_bywater@mnes-us.com
Cc: Willingham, Michael
Subject: RE: Information for weekly conference call on August 19, 2009
Attachments: 2009-08-18 Agenda.pdf

Thanks Steve. I have attached my proposed topics for the conference call as well.

Note that I have added the need for a potential conference call on RAI #27, question 03.06.02-1. The question addresses site-specific pipe break evaluations. As noted in the question, RG 1.206 requires the applicant to provide a schedule for such detailed engineering information. Luminant intends to respond to the question with a comment to a schedule regarding when the information will be available but the question implies that a license condition should be proposed. Luminant would like to understand the criteria being used to suggest the need for a license condition.

If we can discuss on this morning's call, that would be great. If not, maybe we can discuss a date and time for a conference call.

Thanks,

D. R. Woodlan
Donald R. Woodlan

Luminant

bus 254-897-6887, cell 214-542-7761, fax 254-897-6890
Donald.Woodlan@luminant.com

From: Monarque, Stephen [mailto:Stephen.Monarque@nrc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2009 6:54 AM
To: Conly, John; Woodlan, Don; Evans, Todd; Turner, Bruce; cp34-rai-luminant@mnes-us.com; Diane Yeager; joseph tapia; Kazuya Hayashi; Weeks, Matthew; MNES RAI mailbox; Russ Bywater
Cc: ComanchePeakCOL Resource
Subject: Information for weekly conference call on August 19, 2009

thanks,

Stephen Monarque
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRO/DNRL/NMIP
301-415-1544

Confidentiality Notice: This email message, including any attachments, contains or may contain confidential information intended only for the addressee. If you are not an intended recipient of this message, be advised that any reading, dissemination, forwarding, printing, copying or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply message and delete this email message and any attachments from your system.

Hearing Identifier: ComanchePeak_COL_Public
Email Number: 476

Mail Envelope Properties (4C66789B9B144E42B3FBCAD7B9C908A701BB790E)

Subject: RE: Information for weekly conference call on August 19, 2009
Sent Date: 8/19/2009 8:48:10 AM
Received Date: 8/19/2009 8:49:04 AM
From: Donald.Woodlan@luminant.com

Created By: Donald.Woodlan@luminant.com

Recipients:

"Willingham, Michael" <Michael.Willingham@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Monarque, Stephen" <Stephen.Monarque@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"John.Only@luminant.com" <John.Only@luminant.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Eric.Evans@luminant.com" <Eric.Evans@luminant.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Bruce.Turner@luminant.com" <Bruce.Turner@luminant.com>
Tracking Status: None
"cp34-rai-luminant@mnes-us.com" <cp34-rai-luminant@mnes-us.com>
Tracking Status: None
"diane_yeager@mnes-us.com" <diane_yeager@mnes-us.com>
Tracking Status: None
"joseph_tapia@mnes-us.com" <joseph_tapia@mnes-us.com>
Tracking Status: None
"kazuya_hayashi@mnes-us.com" <kazuya_hayashi@mnes-us.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Matthew.Weeks@luminant.com" <Matthew.Weeks@luminant.com>
Tracking Status: None
"cp34-rai@mnes-us.com" <cp34-rai@mnes-us.com>
Tracking Status: None
"russell_bywater@mnes-us.com" <russell_bywater@mnes-us.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: MDCTXUEXCL01N2.corptxu.txu.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2051	8/19/2009 8:49:04 AM
2009-08-18 Agenda.pdf	39684	





























































































Options

























Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Licensing Conference Calls



	Title ▾▲	Description	Date ▼▲
☐ 020 Weekly (1)			
	Discuss status of COLA Reviews	Use the spreadsheet of COLA parts and FSAR SRP sections created by Stephen Monarque as updated by CPNPP project. When sending out updates to the spreadsheet, please send to cp34-rai-luminant@mnes-us.com like you do RAIs.	7/1/2009
☐ 030 RAIs (24)			
	Health Physics RAIs	(7/15) HP RAIs are in the mill. (8/5) Should receive them within 30 days (by 9/4). Is this RAI #29 or is there more?	
	QA RAIs	(7/15) QA RAIs will be soon. (8/5) Should receive them within 30 days (by 9/4).	
	RAIs on Contentions #13 and #18	Mike Willingham said the NRC is developing RAIs for these areas. No information available on when to expect them.	
	RAI #12 Turb Missiles 03.05.01	One question.	8/24/2009
	RAI #13 Protective Coatings	One question with three subparts	8/24/2009
	RAI #16, 10.3, MSSVs	One Question.	8/24/2009
	RAI #17, 10-4-8, SG Blowdown	Two questions	8/25/2009
	RAI #20, 01, System Drawings	One question	8/25/2009
	RAI Part 1 Admin and Financial Info	Proprietary - 10 questions with many subparts Non-proprietary - 7 question with some subparts NRC said to ask if more time is needed. On July 30, the NRC granted a 30 day extension to respond.	8/31/2009
	RAI #23 (8.3.1) GTG Load Controls	42 days after 7/27/09	9/8/2009
	ER RAI Supplement (ER RAI #2)	Requests many documents to be put on the docket.	9/9/2009
	RAI #24 (2577) 8.2	25 questions (some with multiple parts)	9/9/2009
	RAI #11 Section 2.5.2	Geosciences and Geotechnical. 21 questions 42 day start for #11 will be July 30. Steve Monarque approved changing requested response time from 35 to 42 days on 7/1/2009. On 8/10/09, luminant asked for 90 days (due 10/28/09) to respond to 2.5.2-1 and 2.5.2-3.	9/10/2009
	RAI #14 2.5.1 Seismic	One Question	9/10/2009
	RAI #15, Quaternary 2.5.1	One question	9/10/2009
	RAI #18, 2.5.3, Surface Faulting	3 questions	9/10/2009
	RAI #19, 2.5.5, Stability of Slopes	3 questions On 8/10/09, luminant asked for 90 days (due 10/28/09) to respond to 2.5.5-1.	9/10/2009
	RAI #21, 2.5.1 Basic Geo	18 questions with multiple parts (over 40 parts)	9/10/2009

   	RAI #22, 2.5.4, Subsurface Materials	21 Questions On 8/10/09, luminant asked for 90 days (due 10/28/09) to respond to 2.5.4-1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 10 and 17.	9/10/2009
   	RAI #25, 3.10 Seismic/Dynamic Qualification	3 questions, 1 with 4 subparts	9/22/2009
   	RAI #26 Ch 19 PRA & SAE	3 questions, 1 with 4 subparts	9/22/2009
   	RAI #27, 3.6.2 Pipe Rupture	2 questions	9/22/2009
   	RAI #28 Ch 19, PRA & SAE	4 questions, several with multiple subparts	9/25/2009
   	RAI #29, Section 11.2, LWMS	4 questions with multiple subparts	9/25/2009
040 Recent Submissions (3)			
   	TXNB-09030	RAI #9 and RAI #10	8/11/2009
   	TXNB-09031	Submitted Enercon's Field Reconnaissance Report as a result of the Geology SSV	8/12/2009
   	TXNB-09032	Supplement to ER RAI Responses (HYD-15 attachments)	8/12/2009
050 Future Submissions (4)			
   	TXNB-09033	Responses to FSAR RAIs #12, #13, #16	8/24/2009
   	TXNB-09034	Responses to FSAR RAIs #17 and #20	8/25/2009
   	TXNB-09035	Response to COLA Part 1 RAI	8/31/2009
   	COLA Revision 1	Luminant intends to issue revision 1 in November 2009. There will be several UTR submittals before the revision is submitted. There should be almost no new information in the rev.	11/20/2009
060 Public Meetings (2)			
   	DCWG Meetings on RITS and PRA	No date established yet. Discuss the path forward to approved the RITS in DCD and COLA. Meeting completed as scheduled. Follow-up meetings based on NRC needs may be appropriate. NRC to identify needs, notify Luminant and then propose meeting date/time.	6/30/2009
   	Plan for COLA Revision 1	Meeting to discuss process and schedule. 8/27 from 9:00 - 11:30 at the NRC Twinbrook Office	8/27/2009
070 Site Visits/Audits (2)			
   	Security Safety Site Visit	(7/15) Security SSV on hold for now. FFD will be reviewed during Phase 2 Cyber security will be during Phase 4.	
   	QA Audit	Expected in phase IV of the review. A date of December 2009 assigned as a place holder until the NRC releases a proposed review schedule. This is a phase 4 activity.	12/31/2010
075 Conference Calls (4)			
   	ER, Clarification in HYD-10, 16 and 23	Date and time to be confirmed.	
   	RAI #25, Question 03.06.02-1	The question addresses site-specific pipe break evaluations. As noted in the question, RG 1.206 requires the applicant to provide a schedule for such detailed engineering information. Luminant intends to respond to the question with a comment to a schedule regarding when the information will be available but the question implies that a license condition should be proposed. Luminant would like to understand the criteria being used to suggest the need for a license condition.	
   	Weekly COLA Conference Calls	Every week a call will be scheduled between the CPNPP project and NRO projects to discuss the CPNPP COLA review. Wednesdays at 10:30am EDT/ 9:30 CDT.	8/19/2009
   	ER call on selected TE questions	NRC to provide clarificaion. 10:30 EDT.	8/20/2009
090 Action CPNPP (4)			
   	Hearing Petitions	Board accepted contentions 13 and 18 on 8/6/09. Ongoing discussions about Large Area Fires. Draft schedule for proceedings available 8/11/09	8/11/2009
   	HP Site Visit Action		

   	List	Provide FSAR mark-ups in UTR #4.	9/4/2009
   	Hydrology Visit Action Items	Complete actions identified. Submit FSAR changes in UTR #4. In addition, upload documents to reading room and submit selected documents via luminant letter.	9/4/2009
   	Geology Site Visit Information Items	Develop response to Information Items identified during site visit that go beyond formal RAIs (GEOSV).	9/10/2009
100 Action NRO (1)			
   	Environmental Audit Reports	Environmental expects to issue multiple reports in May 2009 including: Alternate Site Visit Environmental Site Visit Hydrology conference calls	6/30/2009
120 ACRS (2)			
   	2010-?? ACRS Presentation	The ACRS may ask for an orientation meeting re the CPNPP R-COLA. Because CPNPP is an Reference COL, we may have 3 to 5 ACRS meetings. COL first visit will be in Phase 3.	1/30/2010
   	Joint DCD/R-COLA ACRS Meetings	Steve was going to discuss the timing of the US-APWR and CPNPP R-COLA reviews by ACRS. ACRS may find it more efficient and effective to jointly review all or portions of these products at the same time. Steve will discuss with Jeff and Branch Chief (and possibly their ACRS contact). DCD reviews/meeting should not be delayed to support this approach.	1/31/2010

Total: **47**