

ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

From: Tesfaye, Getachew
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 8:36 AM
To: 'usepr@areva.com'
Cc: Clark, Theresa; Phan, Hanh; Fuller, Edward; Mrowca, Lynn; Chowdhury, Prosanta; Colaccino, Joseph; ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource
Subject: Draft - U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 289 (3500), FSAR Ch. 19
Attachments: Draft RAI_289_SPLA_3500.doc

Attached please find draft RAI No. 289 regarding your application for standard design certification of the U.S. EPR. If you have any question or need clarifications regarding this RAI, please let me know as soon as possible, I will have our technical Staff available to discuss them with you.

Please also review the RAI to ensure that we have not inadvertently included proprietary information. If there are any proprietary information, please let me know within the next ten days. If I do not hear from you within the next ten days, I will assume there are none and will make the draft RAI publicly available.

Thanks,
Getachew Tesfaye
Sr. Project Manager
NRO/DNRL/NARP
(301) 415-3361

Hearing Identifier: AREVA_EPR_DC_RAIs
Email Number: 768

Mail Envelope Properties (C56E360E9D804F4B95BC673F886381E71FBDCDDC41)

Subject: Draft - U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 289 (3500), FSAR Ch. 19
Sent Date: 8/28/2009 8:35:54 AM
Received Date: 8/28/2009 8:35:54 AM
From: Tesfaye, Getachew

Created By: Getachew.Tesfaye@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Clark, Theresa" <Theresa.Clark@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Phan, Hanh" <Hanh.Phan@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Fuller, Edward" <Edward.Fuller@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Mrowca, Lynn" <Lynn.Mrowca@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Chowdhury, Prosanta" <Prosanta.Chowdhury@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Colaccino, Joseph" <Joseph.Colaccino@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource" <ArevaEPRDCPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"usepr@areva.com" <usepr@areva.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	722	8/28/2009 8:35:54 AM
Draft RAI_289_SPLA_3500.doc		31226

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Draft

Request for Additional Information No. 289 (3500), Revision 1

8/28/2009

U. S. EPR Standard Design Certification
AREVA NP Inc.

Docket No. 52-020

SRP Section: 19 - Probabilistic Risk Assessment and Severe Accident Evaluation
Application Section: 19

QUESTIONS for PRA Licensing, Operations Support and Maintenance Branch 1 (AP1000/EPR
Projects) (SPLA)

19-328

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

(Follow-up to Question 19-257) At the August 6, 2009, public meeting on unresolved issues related to U.S. EPR Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Chapter 19, the staff discussed the probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) assumption that the AV42 priority modules are not subject to common-cause failure (CCF). The instrumentation and controls (I&C) staff has not reached a conclusion on the testability of the AV42 design, which is cited as support for the exclusion of CCF in item 7 of FSAR Table 19.1-108. In addition, this item states that "[s]oftware CCF is not a concern" without addressing CCFs that could result from manufacturing, maintenance, or other errors. The staff observes that, if a CCF occurred, manual and automatic actuation of components in various systems could be affected. Please provide further justification for excluding both software and hardware CCFs of the AV42 modules from the PRA. As needed, please revise the assumptions and insights in FSAR Chapter 19 to reflect potential failure modes of the modules.

19-329

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

(Follow-up to Question 19-270) The response to Question 19-270 discusses the PRA maintenance program, including criteria for performing immediate PRA revisions before "the next scheduled update." For example, the response to Question 19-279 discusses the effect on PRA insights of a future revision to the reactor coolant pump (RCP) thermal barrier cooling model and states that the PRA update "will be performed in accordance with the PRA maintenance and upgrade process described in U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 19.1.2.4." This design change is one of several documented in FSAR Section 19.1.2.4.

Item 8 in Interim Staff Guidance (ISG) DC/COL-ISG-3, "PRA Information to Support Design Certification [DC] and Combined License [COL] Applications," states that "PRA maintenance should commence at the time of application for both DC and COL applicants. This means that the PRA should be updated to reflect plant modifications if there are changes to the design." In addition, Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*

(10 CFR), Section 52.47(a)(27), states that the design certification FSAR includes a "description of the design-specific [PRA] and its results." Therefore, the staff expects that the PRA be maintained during the application process such that it remains design-specific and that the FSAR at the time of certification describes this design-specific PRA. This process ensures that integrated effects of individual changes are reviewed by the staff and that the FSAR reflects both qualitative and quantitative (e.g., importance ranking) insights related to the design.

Please describe the method of tracking items for which PRA updates are needed (e.g., design changes, peer review findings, model errors). Please discuss when the next routine update of the PRA (and, as needed, to the FSAR description of the PRA and presentation of results) is planned, and at what point the revised detailed documentation will be available for the staff to audit.