PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Keith, Felicia
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2009 12:39 PM
To: Bill Mookhoek; STPCOL; Chappell, Coley; Scott Head
Subject: Request for Additional Information Letter No. 393 Related To SRP Section 11.2
Attachments: ML092190037.pdf

TO: Scott Head

FROM: Raj Anand

DATE: August 7, 2009

ADAMS Accession No. ML092190037

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 1586

Mail Envelope Properties (CEEA97CC21430049B821E684512F6E5ECA55FAB803)

Subject: Request for Additional Information Letter No. 393 Related To SRP Section 11.2
Sent Date: 8/7/2009 12:38:47 PM
Received Date: 8/7/2009 12:38:49 PM
From: Keith, Felicia

Created By: Felicia.Keith@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Bill Mookhoek" <wemookhoek@stpegs.com>
Tracking Status: None
"STPCOL" <STP.COL@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Chappell, Coley" <ccchappell@STPEGS.COM>
Tracking Status: None
"Scott Head" <smhead@stpegs.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	123	8/7/2009 12:38:49 PM
ML092190037.pdf	83668	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

August 7, 2009

Mr. Scott Head, Manager
Regulatory Affairs
South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 393 RELATED TO THE SRP SECTION 11.2 FOR THE SOUTH TEXAS COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Head:

By letter dated September 20, 2007, South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) submitted for approval a combined license application pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed application.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosure to this letter.

To support the review schedule, you are requested to respond within 30 days of the date of this letter. If changes are needed to the safety analysis report, the staff requests that the RAI response include the proposed wording changes.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at 301-415-1146 or Raj.Anand@nrc.gov, or you may contact George Wunder at 301-415-1494 or George.wunder@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Raj Anand, Project Manager
ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch 2
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-012
52-013
eRAI Tracking No: 2955

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information

cc: William Mookhoek

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at 301-415-1146 or Raj.Anand@nrc.gov, or you may contact George Wunder at 301-415-1494 or George.wunder@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Raj Anand, Project Manager
ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch 2
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-012
52-013
eRAI Tracking No: 2955

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information

cc: William Mookhoek

Distribution:
PUBLIC
NGE 1/2 R/F
GWunder, NRO
RAnand, NRO
TFrye, NRO
SWilliams, NRO
SKirkwood, OGC
RidsNroDcipChpb
RidsNroDnrlNge2

ADAMS Accession No. ML092190037 **NRO-002**

OFFICE	CHPB/TR	CHPB/BC	NGE2/PM	OGC	NGE2/L-PM
NAME	SWilliams*	TFrye*	RAnand*	SBrock*	GWunder*
DATE	5/29/09	6/1/09	08/07/09	6/12/09	6/13/09

***Approval captured electronically in the electronic RAI system.**

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Request for Additional Information No. 2955

South Texas Project Units 3 and 4
South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Co.
Docket No. 52-012 and 52-013
SRP Section: 11.02 - Liquid Waste Management System
Application Section: FSAR Section 11.2

QUESTIONS for Health Physics Branch (CHPB)

11.02-4

Please explain how the application demonstrates that the site can meet the general environmental radiation standard in 40 CFR Part 190 (per 10 CFR 20.1301(e)), and provide sufficient information for the staff to evaluate the bases and assumptions used in the applicant's analysis. Please incorporate this analysis into the FSAR or justify its exclusion.

Enclosure