Optimization of ISR Injection and Extraction Systems

Presented by:

Shawn Leppert Leppert Associates

NRC/NMA Uranium Recovery Workshop July 1-2, 2009 Denver, Colorado



Leppert Associates, Inc.

Opportunity – Uranium Ore has been Delineated within "Shallow" Subsurface

- Data indicate that uranium is potentially present in mineable quantities.
- The ore is present within water-bearing geologic units 100+ feet bgs.
- Ore body is accessible through the application of In-Situ Recovery mining techniques (ISR).



<u>Challenge</u> - Can the Uranium Ore be Mined Cost Effectively?

- Efficiently create the chemical disequilibrium required to mobilize the ore
- Minimize the number of delivery/recovery ۲ wells required to accomplish the task
- Maximize the volume of uranium ore mined
- Minimize subsurface impacts ۲

Lixiviant effectiveness and lixiviant delivery/recovery system design







Lixiviant delivery/recovery system design





<u>Opportunity</u> – Uranium Ore has been Delineated within "Shallow" Subsurface

- Data indicate that uranium is potentially present in mineable quantities.
- The ore is present within water-bearing geologic units 100+ feet bgs.
- Ore body is accessible through the application of In-Situ Recovery mining techniques (ISR).

<u>Challenge</u> - Can the Uranium Ore be Mined Cost Effectively?

- Efficiently create the chemical disequilibrium required to mobilize the ore
- Minimize the number of delivery/recovery wells required to accomplish the task
- Maximize the volume of uranium ore mined
- Minimize subsurface impacts

Advantageous Factors

- Site subsurface data is available the characterization conducted to define the ore body provides substantial data which are require to characterize subsurface hydraulics.
- Subsurface fluid hydraulics are predictable these can be complex problems; appropriate, sophisticated simulators are available.

- Lixiviant effectiveness and lixiviant delivery/recovery system design
- Lixiviant delivery/recovery system desig
- Lixiviant delivery/recovery system design
 Lixiviant delivery/recovery system design



Quantitative Hydrogeologic Decision Framework (Geologic/Hydrologic,/Geochemical Model)



Conclusions

Demonstrated how the Quantitative Model can be used to Assist in Optimizing the Design of a Hydraulic Lixiviant Delivery and Recovery System

- Comparison of three design alternatives using three quantitative design criteria
- Optimize the design to maximize its efficiency
- Design a system the will control the lixiviant so as to require only minor restoration efforts

One can Infer How the Decision Framework can Assist in the other Challenges

- Develop a thorough understanding of the subsurface setting
- Place an effective subsurface monitoring network



End





Opportunity – Uranium Ore has been Delineated within "Shallow" Subsurface

- Data indicate that uranium is potentially present in mineable quantities.
- The ore is present within water-bearing geologic units 100+ feet bgs.
- Ore body is accessible through the application of In-Situ Recovery mining techniques (ISR).

Challenge - Can the Uranium Ore be Mined Cost Effectively?

- Efficiently create the chemical disequilibrium required to mobilize the ore
- Minimize the number of delivery/recovery wells required to accomplish the task
- Maximize the volume of uranium ore mined
- Minimize subsurface impacts

Advantageous Factors

- Site subsurface data is available the characterization conducted to define the ore body provides substantial data which are require to characterize subsurface hydraulics.
- Subsurface fluid hydraulics are predictable these can be complex problems; appropriate, sophisticated simulators are available.

Site Specific Information has been Modified to Protect Its Propriety Nature

Lixiviant effectiveness and lixiviant delivery/recovery system design





Lixiviant delivery/recovery system design

