

PMFermiCOLPEm Resource

From: Patel, Chandu
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 3:08 PM
To: Hale, Jerry; Cruz, Jeffrey
Subject: RE: Effect of 45 day turnaround on Fermi 3 schedule

Jeff and Jerry,

DTE has decided to stay with 30 days response. So, we don't need to worry about extension of schedule. We just need to discuss about start date. I will talk with Peter tomorrow and get more details about their plans. Then we can go ahead with our plan.

Chandu

From: Jerry Hale
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 2:31 PM
To: Jeffrey Cruz
Cc: Chandu Patel
Subject: Effect of 45 day turnaround on Fermi 3 schedule

If we go to 45 day turnaround for all future RAI's to DTE, I estimate the following effect on the schedule:

Based on sending 30 letters in Phase I, with an increase of two weeks per response, this would add approximately 15 months to the schedule, effectively making it a 45 month schedule vs. 30 month schedule. This could go up/down based in part on what is in the "me-too" letter, and how much time allowed for responses in Phase II. DTE responded w/in 30 days for Letters #1 & 2, and Letter #3 is 30 days.

Jerry Hale
Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of New Reactors
ESBWR/ABWR Branch 1
(301) 415-8148

Hearing Identifier: Fermi_COL_Public
Email Number: 440

Mail Envelope Properties (DC2088DF7F51A8499309AA4A35D0C1E01CEE78ED7C)

Subject: RE: Effect of 45 day turnaround on Fermi 3 schedule
Sent Date: 2/24/2009 3:07:34 PM
Received Date: 2/24/2009 3:07:34 PM
From: Patel, Chandu

Created By: Chandu.Patel@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Hale, Jerry" <Jerry.Hale@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Cruz, Jeffrey" <Jeffrey.Cruz@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1135	2/24/2009 3:07:34 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: