PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Govan, Tekia
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2009 12:36 PM
To: STPCOL
Subject: FW: U7-C-STP-NRC-090080, PTLR and Fluence Calculation Methodology
Attachments: U7-C-STP-NRC-090080.pdf

Tekia V. Govan, Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of New Reactors
MS T-7-F29
Washington DC 20555-0001
301-415-6197
Tekia.Govan@nrc.gov

From: Cashell, George S [mailto:gscashell@STPEGS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2009 12:29 PM
To: Muniz, Adrian; Sosa, Belkys; Dyer, Linda; Wunder, George; Eudy, Michael; Plisco, Loren; Anand, Raj; Foster, Rocky; Joseph, Stacy; Govan, Tekia; Tai, Tom
Subject: U7-C-STP-NRC-090080, PTLR and Fluence Calculation Methodology

Attached is a courtesy copy of U7-C-STP-NRC-090080, "STP UNITS 3 & 4 Pressure-Temperature Limits Report and Fluence Calculation Methodology.

Steve Cashell
Office: 361-972-4686
Cell: 734-693-4242

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 1482

Mail Envelope Properties (83F82891AF9D774FBBB39974B6CB134F948D4225F7)

Subject: FW: U7-C-STP-NRC-090080, PTLR and Fluence Calculation Methodology
Sent Date: 7/23/2009 12:36:08 PM
Received Date: 7/23/2009 12:36:10 PM
From: Govan, Tekia

Created By: Tekia.Govan@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"STPCOL" <STP.COL@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	817	7/23/2009 12:36:10 PM
U7-C-STP-NRC-090080.pdf	2221821	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Nuclear Operating Company
South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

July 23, 2009
U7-C-STP-NRC-090080

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

South Texas Project
Units 3 and 4
Docket Nos. 52-012 and 52-013
STP UNITS 3 & 4 Pressure-Temperature Limits Report and
Fluence Calculation Methodology

References:

1. Letter, Scott Head to Document Control Desk, "Response to Requests for Additional Information" dated April 2, 2009 (U7-C-STP-NRC-090031)

2. Calculation Note CN-REA-09-24, "STP Units 3 & 4 ABWR Fast Neutron Fluence Evaluation," Sylvia Wang, July 2009.

3. WCAP-14040-A, "Methodology Used to Develop Cold Overpressure Mitigating System Setpoints and RCS Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves, Revision 4, May 2004.

4. WCAP-16083-NP-A "Benchmark Testing of the FERRET Code for Least Squares Evaluation of Light Water Reactor Dosimetry," Revision 0, May 2006.

5. Safety Evaluation by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Related to Amendment No. 228 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-71 and Amendment No. 256 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-62, Carolina Power & Light Company, Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-325 and 50-324, June 18, 2003.

This letter provides the STP Units 3 & 4 Pressure-Temperature Limits Report (PTLR) and fluence calculation methodology and results as described in Reference 1, and as further discussed with the NRC. This submittal completes the actions associated with RAI 05.03.02-1, and those related to the PTLR in RAI 16-1.

A neutron fluence analysis (Reference 2) applicable to a preliminary equilibrium core design for STP Units 3 & 4 was completed using the methodology described in References 3, 4 and 5. Reference 2 confirms that a fast neutron (E > 1.0 MeV) fluence of 6.0E+17 n/cm^2 represents the expected bounding neutron exposure of the STP Units 3 & 4 reactor pressure vessels for an exposure period of 60 Effective Full Power Years (EFPY). This particular equilibrium fuel cycle design incorporates burned fuel on the

core periphery, thus resulting in a lower fluence than that suggested for use in the current PTLR. The use of the suggested 6.0E+17 n/cm^2 fluence provides sufficient margin to allow significant flexibility in future fuel cycle designs. The plant specific STP Units 3 & 4 vessel fluence calculation will be revised as actual fuel cycles to be implemented are finalized. This bounding value was used in the development of the initial PTLR for STP Units 3 & 4. The actual exposure of each reactor will be verified throughout the course of the operating lifetime coincident with surveillance capsule withdrawals defined in the respective Reactor Pressure Vessel Material Surveillance Program.

Reference 3 provides the methodology used to determine the neutron exposure of the STP Units 3 & 4 reactor vessels for PTLR application. It follows the guidance specified in Regulatory Guide 1.190 and has been approved by the NRC. Additional detail describing the methodology, associated benchmarking, and uncertainty evaluation has also been reviewed and approved by the NRC and is documented in Reference 4. The neutron dosimetry evaluation methodology described in Reference 4 that was used to provide plant specific validation of the transport calculations is also described. An extension of the methodology for application to BWR fluence evaluations, including additional benchmarking results specific to BWR geometries, was also reviewed and approved by the NRC and is documented in Reference 5.

Reference 2 is Westinghouse Proprietary Class 2 and will be made available for NRC review as needed.

There are no commitments in this letter.

If you have any questions regarding this response, please contact me at (361) 972-7136, or Bill Mookhoek at (361) 972-7274.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 7/23/09

Scott Head
Manager, Regulatory Affairs
South Texas Project Units 3 & 4

gsc

Attachment:

U7-RPV-S-RPT-STRS-5000, "STP UNITS 3 & 4 Pressure and Temperature Limits Report (PTLR) for 60 Effective Full-Power Years (EFPY)," Revision 0

cc: w/o attachment except*
(paper copy)

Director, Office of New Reactors
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Kathy C. Perkins, RN, MBA
Assistant Commissioner
Division for Regulatory Services
Texas Department of State Health Services
P. O. Box 149347
Austin, Texas 78714-9347

Alice Hamilton Rogers, P.E.
Inspection Unit Manager
Texas Department of State Health Services
P. O. Box 149347
Austin, Texas 78714-9347

C. M. Canady
City of Austin
Electric Utility Department
721 Barton Springs Road
Austin, TX 78704

*Steven P. Frantz, Esquire
A. H. Gutterman, Esquire
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington D.C. 20004

*George F. Wunder
*Tekia Govan
Two White Flint North
11545 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

(electronic copy)

*George Wunder
*Tekia Govan
Loren R. Plisco
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Steve Winn
Eddy Daniels
Joseph Kiwak
Nuclear Innovation North America

Jon C. Wood, Esquire
Cox Smith Matthews

J. J. Nesrsta
R. K. Temple
Kevin Pollo
L. D. Blaylock
CPS Energy

South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company

South Texas Project

Units 3 and 4

Pressure and Temperature Limits Report (PTLR) for 60 Effective Full-Power Years (EFPY)

Revision 0

Prepared by: Gary L. Stevens Date: 07/21/2009
Gary L. Stevens
Structural Integrity Associates

Reviewed by: Kirti Date: 7/22/2009
Kirti Kumar
STP 3 & 4 Engineering

Reviewed by: M E Smith Date: 7/22/2009
M E Smith
STP 3 & 4 Quality Assurance

Accepted by: T J Daley Date: 7/22/2009
Tom Daley
STP 3 & 4 Mechanical Engineering Supervisor

Concurred by: E. Hernandez for Steve Thomas Date: 7/22/09
Steve Thomas
STP 3 & 4 Engineering Manager

Table of Contents

Section		Page
1.0	Purpose	3
2.0	Applicability	3
3.0	Methodology	4
4.0	Operating Limits	5
5.0	Discussion	6
6.0	References	9
Figure 1	STP Units 3 and 4 Pressure Test (Curve A) P-T Curve (60 EFPY)	11
Figure 2	STP Units 3 and 4 Core Not Critical (Curve B) P-T Curve (60 EFPY)	12
Figure 3	STP Units 3 and 4 Core Critical (Curve C) P-T Curve (60 EFPY)	13
Table 1	STP Units 3 and 4 Pressure Test (Curve A) P-T Curve (60 EFPY)	14
Table 2	STP Units 3 and 4 Core Not Critical (Curve B) P-T Curve (60 EFPY)	15
Table 3	STP Units 3 and 4 Core Critical (Curve C) P-T Curve (60 EFPY)	16
Table 4	STP Units 3 and 4 ART Calculations (60 EFPY)	17
Appendix A	STP Units 3 and 4 Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program	18

1.0 Purpose

The purpose of the South Texas Project (STP) Units 3 and 4 Pressure and Temperature Limits Report (PTLR) is to present operating limits relating to:

1) Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Pressure versus Temperature limits during Heatup, Cooldown and Hydrostatic/Class 1 Leak Testing;
2) RCS Heatup and Cooldown rates;
3) Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) head flange boltup temperature limits.

This report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Reference [6.1], Licensing Topical Report SIR-05-044-A, Revision 0.

2.0 Applicability

This report is applicable to the STP Units 3 and 4 RPVs for 60 years of operation at 100% rated power, or 60 Effective Full-Power Years (EFPY). The following portion of the STP COLA, Part 2, Tier 2, Chapter 16, "Technical Specifications" is affected by the information contained in this report:

- 3.4.9 RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits

The STP Units 3 and 4 Reactor Vessel Pressure and Temperature Limits for 60 EFPY have been developed per Reference [6.4]. Future revisions of the PTLR must be revised per the 10 CFR 50.59 review process, as applicable.

3.0 Methodology

The limits in this report were derived as follows:

1) The methodology used is in accordance with Reference [6.1], which has been approved for BWR use by the NRC.

2) The neutron fluence used for the P-T curves is the value specified in ABWR DCD Tier 2 5.3.3.2, which was confirmed to be conservative by a calculation performed in accordance with NRC Regulatory Guide 1.190 (Reference [6.5]), as documented in Reference [6.2].

3) The adjusted reference temperature (ART) values for the limiting beltline materials are calculated in accordance with NRC Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 (Reference [6.6]), as documented in Reference [6.3].

4) The pressure and temperature limits were calculated in accordance with Reference [6.1], as documented in Reference [6.4].

5) This revision of the pressure and temperature limits is to incorporate the following changes:
    - Initial issue of PTLR.

Changes to the curves, limits, or parameters within this PTLR, based upon new irradiation fluence data of the RPV, or other plant design assumptions in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), can be made pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59, provided the above methodologies are utilized. The revised PTLR shall be submitted to the NRC upon issuance.

4.0 Operating Limits

The pressure-temperature (P-T) curves included in this report represent steam dome pressure versus minimum vessel coolant temperature and incorporate the appropriate non-beltline limits and irradiation embrittlement effects in the beltline region.

The operating limits for pressure and temperature are required for three categories of operation: (a) hydrostatic pressure tests and leak tests, referred to as Curve A; (b) core not critical operation, referred to as Curve B; and (c) core critical operation, referred to as Curve C. Each of these curves contains three P-T limits based on a consolidation of all RPV regions into three bounding evaluation regions: (1) the beltline, (2) the bottom head, and (3) the feedwater nozzle / upper vessel. The feedwater nozzle / upper vessel curve also bounds the RPV instrument (N12) nozzles, which are exposed to a fluence greater than $1x10^{17}$ n/cm^2 for 60 EFPY, as the stresses for the feedwater nozzle are higher, and the limiting ART value used for the feedwater nozzle / upper vessel region bounds the ART value calculated for the N12 nozzle location (Reference [6.3]).

Complete P-T curves were developed for 60 EFPY for STP Units 3 and 4, as documented in Reference [6.4]. The STP Units 3 and 4 P-T curves for 60 EFPY are provided in Figures 1 through 3, and a tabulation of the curves is included in Tables 1 through 3. The adjusted reference temperature (ART) tables for the STP Units 3 and 4 vessel beltline materials are shown in Table 4 for 60 EFPY (Reference [6.3]). The resulting P-T curves are based on the geometry, design and materials information for the STP Units 3 and 4 vessels with the following conditions:

- Heatup and Cooldown rate limit during Hydrostatic and Class 1 Leak Testing (Figure 1: Curve A): **≤ 25°F/hour**[1].
- Normal Operating Heatup and Cooldown rate limit (Figure 2: Curve B - non-nuclear heating, and Figure 3: Curve C - nuclear heating): **≤ 101°F/hour**[2].
- RPV flange and adjacent shell temperature limit: **≥ 60°F**.

5.0 Discussion

The adjusted reference temperature (ART) of the limiting beltline material is used to adjust the beltline P-T curves to account for irradiation effects. Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 (RG 1.99) provides the methods for determining the ART. The RG 1.99 methods for determining the limiting material and adjusting the P-T curves using ART are discussed in this section.

The vessel beltline copper (Cu) and nickel (Ni) values were obtained from the design specification for the vessel plate and weld materials planned for use to fabricate the STP Units 3 and 4 RPVs (Reference [6.3]). The Cu and Ni values were used with Tables 1 and 2 of RG 1.99 to determine a chemistry factor (CF) per Paragraph 1.1 of RG 1.99 for welds and plates, respectively.

The peak RPV inside surface (at clad/base metal interface) fluence used in the P-T curve evaluation for 60 EFPY is 6.00×10^{17} n/cm^2 for STP Units 3 and 4 from Reference [6.2], which was calculated using methods that comply with the guidelines of RG 1.190 (Reference [6.5]). This fluence value applies to the limiting beltline Lower (Core Beltline) Shell and adjacent weld

[1] Interpreted as the temperature change in any 1-hour period is less than or equal to 25°F.

[2] Interpreted as the temperature change in any 1-hour period is less than or equal to 101°F.

material. The fluence value was adjusted for the Lower (Core Beltline) Shell and adjacent weld material based upon an attenuation factor of 0.663 for a postulated one-quarter thickness (1/4t) flaw. As a result, the 1/4t 60 EFPY fluence for the Lower (Core Beltline) Shell and adjacent weld material is 3.98×10^{17} n/cm^2 for STP Units 3 and 4.

The P-T curves for the core not critical and core critical operating conditions at a given EFPY apply for both the 1/4t and 3/4t locations. When combining pressure and thermal stresses, it is usually necessary to evaluate stresses at the 1/4t location (inside surface flaw) and the 3/4t location (outside surface flaw). This is because the thermal gradient tensile stress of interest is in the inner wall during cooldown and is in the outer wall during heatup. However, as a conservative simplification, the thermal gradient stress at the 1/4t location is assumed to be tensile for both heatup and cooldown. This results in the approach of applying the maximum tensile stress at the 1/4t location. This approach is conservative because irradiation effects cause the allowable toughness at 1/4t to be less than that at 3/4t for a given metal temperature. This approach causes no operational difficulties, since the BWR is at steam saturation conditions during normal operation, which is well within the P-T curve limits.

For the core not critical curve (Curve B) and the core critical curve (Curve C), the P-T curves specify a coolant heatup and cooldown temperature rate of **≤ 101°F/hr** for which the curves are applicable. However, the core not critical and the core critical curves were also developed to bound transients defined on the RPV thermal cycle diagram and the nozzle thermal cycle diagrams. For the hydrostatic pressure and leak test curve (Curve A), a coolant heatup and cooldown temperature rate of **≤ 25°F/hr** must be maintained. The P-T limits and corresponding limits of either Curve A or B may be applied, if necessary, while achieving or recovering from test conditions. So, although Curve A applies during pressure testing, the limits of Curve B may be conservatively used during pressure testing if the pressure test heatup/cooldown rate limits cannot be maintained.

The initial RT_{NDT}, the chemistry (weight-percent Cu and Ni), and adjusted reference temperature at the 1/4 thickness location for all RPV beltline materials significantly affected by fluence (i.e., fluence > 10^{17} n/cm^2 for E > 1 MeV) are shown in Table 4 for 60 EFPY. The initial RT_{NDT} values shown in Table 4 (from Reference [6.3]) were obtained from the design specification for the vessel plate and weld materials planned for use to fabricate the STP Units 3 and 4 RPVs (Reference [6.3]).

Appendix A of Reference [6.1] does not apply since STP Units 3 and 4 are not participants in the Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP) Integrated Surveillance Program (ISP).

The stress distributions are based on the stress analysis data of a typical Toshiba ABWR plant. These data is calculated by two-dimensional, axisymmetric finite element model analysis. Thermal stresses are evaluated based on the temperature transitions and the temperature distributions calculated for thermal transient conditions.

6.0 References

6.1 Structural Integrity Associates Report No. SIR-05-044-A, Revision 0, "Pressure-Temperature Limits Report Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors," April 2007.

6.2 Westinghouse Letter No. LTR-REA-09-111, "Transmittal of Neutron Fluence Information for the Initial PTLR Submittal for South Texas 3 and 4," July 15, 2009.

6.3 Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. Calculation No. 0900495.301, Revision 0, "Task 1: ΔRT_{NDT} and ART Evaluation."

6.4 Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. Calculation No. 0900495.302, Revision 0, "Task 2: Develop P-T Curves."

6.5 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence," March 2001.

6.6 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials," May 1988.

6.7 Toshiba Project Document No. 7B11-D003-1101-03, Revision 1, "Input Data for Pressure-Temperature Limits of RPV."

6.8 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Generic Letter 83-11, Supplement 1, "Licensee Qualification for Performing Safety Analyses," June 24, 1999.

6.10 Part 50 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Appendix G, "Fracture Toughness Requirements," January 2005.

6.11 Part 50 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Appendix H, "Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program Requirements," January 2005.

6.12 Toshiba Corporation Topical Report No. UTLR-0003, Revision 0, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Material Surveillance Program," April 2009.

6.13 ASTM E185-82[e2], "Standard Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests for Light-Water Cooled Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels, E 706 (IF)."

6.14 ASTM E23-07a[e1], "Standard Test Methods for Notched Bar Impact Testing of Metallic Materials."

Figure 1: STP Units 3 and 4 Pressure Test (Curve A) P-T Curve (60 EFPY) (Reference [6.4])



Figure 2: STP Units 3 and 4 Core Not Critical (Curve B) P-T Curve (60 EFPY) (Reference [6.4])



Figure 3: STP Units 3 and 4 Core Critical (Curve C) P-T Curve (60 EFPY) (Reference [6.4])



Table 1: STP Units 3 and 4 Pressure Test (Curve A) P-T Curve (60 EFPY) (Reference [6.4])

BOTTOM HEAD		UPPER VESSEL		BELTLINE	
Temperature (°F)	Pressure (psig)	Temperature (°F)	Pressure (psig)	Temperature (°F)	Pressure (psig)
60	0	60	0	60	0
60	800	60	313	60	888
65	859	68	313	65	938
70	924	70	1368	70	993
75	997			75	1,053
80	1,076			80	1,120
85	1,164			85	1,194
90	1,262			90	1,276

Table 2: STP Units 3 and 4 Core Not Critical (Curve B) P-T Curve (60 EFPY) (Reference [6.4])

BOTTOM HEAD		UPPER VESSEL		BELTLINE	
Temperature (°F)	Pressure (psig)	Temperature (°F)	Pressure (psig)	Temperature (°F)	Pressure (psig)
60	0	60	0	60	0
60	387	60	313	60	539
65	432	98	313	65	577
70	481	98	708	70	618
75	535	98	793	75	663
80	594	98	886	80	713
85	660	98	990	85	769
90	733	98	1104	90	830
95	814	98	1230	95	898
100	903	100	1369	100	973
105	1002			105	1055
110	1111			110	1147
115	1231			115	1248
120	1364			120	1359

Table 3: STP Units 3 and 4 Core Critical (Curve C) P-T Curve (60 EFPY) (Reference [6.4])

Temperature (°F)	Pressure (psig)
60	0
60	93
60	106
60	121
60	137
60	155
65	175
70	197
75	222
80	248
85	278
90	311
147	313
147	387
147	432
147	481
147	535
147	594
147	660
147	733
147	814
147	903
147	1002
150	1111
155	1231
160	1359

Table 4: STP Units 3 and 4 ART Calculations (60 EFPY) (Reference [6.3])

(NOTE: This table covers all RPV materials with an exposed fluence, E > 1 MeV, of greater than 1.0×10^{17} n/cm^2.)

Location	Initial RT_{NDT} * (°F)	Chemistry * Cu (wt %)	Chemistry * Ni (wt %)	Chemistry Factor (°F)	Adjustments for 1/4T: ΔRT_{NDT} (°F)	Margin Terms: σ_Δ (°F)	Margin Terms: σ_i (°F)	ART (°F)
Lower (Core Beltline) Shell	-22	0.05	1.03	31.0	8.0	4.0	0.0	-6.0
RPV Weld Material	-22	0.08	1.00	108.0	27.9	13.9	0.0	33.8
N12 Instrument Nozzle	-22	0.05	1.03	31.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	-14.0

Fluence Information: Location	Base Metal Thickness (inches) T	Base Metal Thickness (inches) 1/4T	Fluence at ID f_{surf} (n/cm^2)	Attenuation, 1/4t $e^{-0.24x}$	Fluence @ 1/4t (n/cm^2)	Fluence Factor, FF $f^{(0.28-0.10\log f)}$
Lower (Core Beltline) Shell	6.850	1.713	6.00E+17	0.663	3.98E+17	0.258
RPV Welding Material	6.850	1.713	6.00E+17	0.663	3.98E+17	0.258
N12 Instrument Nozzle	6.850	1.713	1.90E+17	0.663	1.26E+17	0.128

* Initial RT_{NDT} and Chemistry values are based on the bounding material specifications for STP Units 3 and 4.

Appendix A

STP Units 3 and 4 Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program

The STP Units 3 and 4 Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Programs is described in Reference [6.12]. The program is in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix H, Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program Requirements (Reference [6.11]). There are four surveillance capsules in each of the STP Units 3 and 4 RPVs. The first surveillance capsule is scheduled for withdrawal from each of the STP Units 3 and 4 reactor vessels after six (6) EFPY of operation. The surveillance capsules contain Charpy V-Notch (CVN) impact test specimens and uniaxial tensile test specimens fabricated in accordance with ASTM E185-82 (Reference [6.13]) using materials from the vessel materials within the core beltline region. When the test specimens are removed from the capsules, they will be tested according to ASTM E23-07a (Reference [6.14]). The methods and results of testing will be documented in test reports as required by 10 CFR 50, Appendices G and H (References [6.10] and [6.11]).