10 CFR 50.73



A subsidiary of Pinnacle West Capital Corporation

Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station Dwight C. Mims Vice President Regulatory Affairs and Plant Improvement

Tel. 623-393-5403 Fax 623-393-6077 Mail Station 7605 P. O. Box 52034 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2034

102-06032-DCM/DFH July 13, 2009

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Sirs:

Subject: Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Units 1, 2 and 3 Docket No. STN 50-528, 50-529 and 50-530 License No. NPF-41, NPF-51 and NPF-74 Licensee Event Report 2009-003-00

Enclosed, please find Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-528/2009-003-00 that has been prepared and submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73. This LER reports a condition where PVNGS did not fully meet the requirements of Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 3.8.9.1. Specifically, the correct supply breaker alignment for the Class 1E 125 VDC distribution panels was not verified.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.4, copies of this LER are being forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regional Office, NRC Region IV and the Senior Resident Inspector. If you have questions regarding this submittal, please contact James Proctor, Section Leader, Regulatory Affairs, at (623) 393-5730.

Arizona Public Service Company makes no commitments in this letter.

Sincerely, A.C. Morrie

DCM/JAP/DFH/gat

Enclosure

cc: E. E. Collins Jr. J. R. Hall R. I. Treadway NRC Region IV Regional Administrator NRC NRR Project Manager - (send electronic and paper) NRC Senior Resident Inspector for PVNGS

A member of the STARS (Strategic Teaming and Resource Sharing) Alliance

Callaway • Comanche Peak • Diablo Canyon • Palo Verde • San Onofre • South Texas • Wolf Creek

Construct and the second and th	St 08/31/2010							
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) 1. FACILITY NAME Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 1 2. DOCKET NUMBER OS000528 1. FACILITY NAME Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 1 2. DOCKET NUMBER OS000528 1. OF 3. PAGE OS000528 NUMBER NUMBER 2. DOCKET NUMBER OS000528 NUMBER NUMBER 3. PAGE OS000528 OS000528 NUMBER NUMBER 3. PAGE OS000528 NUMBER NUMBER SECUENTIAL REV NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER A REPORT DATE SECUENTIAL REV NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER SECUENTIAL REV NUMBER NUMBER SECUENTIAL REV NUMBER SECUENTIAL REV NUMER SECUENTIAL REV NUMER	NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 08/31/2010 (9-2007) Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection							
digits/characters for each block) Information collection. Informatin collection. Information colle	Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/PriVacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may							
1. FACILITY NAME Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 1 2. DOCKET NUMBER 05000528 3. PAGE 1. TITLE Surveillance Test Procedure Inadequate to Meet the Requirements of TS 8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED MONTH DAY YEAR 6. LER NUMBER NUMBER 7. REPORT DATE 8. OTHER FACILITY NAME MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REV NUMBER NONTH DAY YEAR SCOLETIAL REV DOS	spond to, the							
4. TITLE Surveillance Test Procedure Inadequate to Meet the Requirements of TS 5. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DATE 8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV MONTH DAY YEAR PVNGS Unit 2 050 05 13 2009 2009 - 003 - 00 07 13 2009 PVNGS Unit 2 050 9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all the point of the	5							
S. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DATE 8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAME DOCI 05 13 2009 2009 - 003 00 07 13 2009 PVNGS Unit 2 DOCI 05 13 2009 2009 - 003 - 00 07 13 2009 PVNGS Unit 3 DOCI 9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all the component of the								
MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REV NO. MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAME POUNGS DOIS 05 13 2009 2009 - 003 - 00 07 13 2009 PVNGS Unit 3 DOS 9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all ti D 20.2203(a)(3)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) 50.73(a)(2) 1 / 1 / 5 20.2201(b) 20.2203(a)(3)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)								
05 13 2009 2009 - 003 - 00 07 13 2009 PVNGS Unit 3 005 9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all th	NUMBER							
9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all the second	NUMBER 0530							
1 / 1 / 5 20.2201(b) 20.2203(a)(3)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) 50.73(a)(2) 1 / 1 / 5 20.2201(a) 20.2203(a)(3)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 20.2203(a)(2) 20.2203(a)(2)(i) 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(ii) 10. POWER LEVEL 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 10. POWER LEVEL 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 50.36(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 73.71(a)(A) 100 / 100 / 0 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 73.71(a)(A) 100 / 100 / 0 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) OTHER 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) Specify in A or in INRC Fc 100 / 100 / 0 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) Specify in A or in INRC Fc 12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER 12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER 13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT </td <td>apply)</td>	apply)							
TELEPHONE THIS LER TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include James Proctor, Section Leader, Regulatory Affairs TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER REPORTABLE TO EPIX CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER REPORTABLE TO EPIX CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER TO EPIX OMPONENT MANU- FACTURER TO EXPECTED TO EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) <t< td=""><td colspan="6">$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></t<>	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
James Proctor, Section Leader, Regulatory Affairs 13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER REPORTABLE TO EPIX CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER REPORTABLE TO EPIX CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER R 14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 15. EXPECTED MONTH DATE 15. EXPECTED MONTH DATE MONTH DATE MONTH DATE MONTH DATE ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On May 13, 2009, Palo Verde engineers discovered Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.9.1 was not completely implemented in Surveillance Test (ST) procedure 40ST-9ZZ05, "Weekly Electrical Distric Checks." The procedure did not verify the supply breaker alignment for the Class 1E 125 VDC dist panels (PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23 and PKD-D24) as required by Technical Specification (TS) Table 3.8.9-1 As a result all three Palo Verde units entered SR 3.0.3	12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER							
13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER REPORTABLE TO EPIX CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER R 14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) MONTH DAYE YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) MON DATE MONTH DAYE ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On May 13, 2009, Palo Verde engineers discovered Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.9.1 was not completely implemented in Surveillance Test (ST) procedure 40ST-9ZZ05, "Weekly Electrical Distric Checks." The procedure did not verify the supply breaker alignment for the Class 1E 125 VDC dist panels (PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23 and PKD-D24) as required by Technical Specification (TS) Table 3.8.9-1 Table 3.8.9-1 As a result all three Palo Verde units entered SR 3.0.3	James Proctor, Section Leader, Regulatory Affairs 623-393-5730							
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER REPORTABLE TO EPIX CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER R FACTURER 14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE MONTH DAY YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) Image: No Image: No MONTH DAY ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) Image: No Image: No Image: No Image: No On May 13, 2009, Palo Verde engineers discovered Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.9.1 was not completely implemented in Surveillance Test (ST) procedure 40ST-9ZZ05, "Weekly Electrical Distric Checks." The procedure did not verify the supply breaker alignment for the Class 1E 125 VDC dist panels (PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23 and PKD-D24) as required by Technical Specification (TS) Table 3.8.9-1 As a result all three Palo Verde units entered SR 3.0.3	13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT							
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 15. EXPECTED Image: Present and the present and th	ORTABLE							
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) Image: YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On May 13, 2009, Palo Verde engineers discovered Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.9.1 was not completely implemented in Surveillance Test (ST) procedure 40ST-9ZZ05, "Weekly Electrical District Checks." The procedure did not verify the supply breaker alignment for the Class 1E 125 VDC dist panels (PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23 and PKD-D24) as required by Technical Specification (TS) Table 3.8.9-1 Table 3.8.9-1								
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On May 13, 2009, Palo Verde engineers discovered Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.9.1 was no completely implemented in Surveillance Test (ST) procedure 40ST-9ZZ05, "Weekly Electrical Distri Checks." The procedure did not verify the supply breaker alignment for the Class 1E 125 VDC dist panels (PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23 and PKD-D24) as required by Technical Specification (TS) Table 3.8.9-1. As a result, all three Palo Verde units entered SR 3.0.3	YEAR							
On May 13, 2009, Palo Verde engineers discovered Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.9.1 was no completely implemented in Surveillance Test (ST) procedure 40ST-9ZZ05, "Weekly Electrical District Checks." The procedure did not verify the supply breaker alignment for the Class 1E 125 VDC dist panels (PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23 and PKD-D24) as required by Technical Specification (TS) Table 3.8.9-1. As a result, all three Palo Verde units entered SR 3.0.3	ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)							
 On Way 13, 2009, Paio Verde engineers discovered Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.9.1 was not completely implemented in Surveillance Test (ST) procedure 40ST-9ZZ05, "Weekly Electrical Distribution Checks." The procedure did not verify the supply breaker alignment for the Class 1E 125 VDC distribution panels (PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23 and PKD-D24) as required by Technical Specification (TS) Bases Table 3.8.9-1. As a result, all three Palo Verde units entered SR 3.0.3. Procedure 40ST-9ZZ05 was revised later the same day to include verification of the breaker position for the Class 1E 125 VDC distribution panels. The revised procedure was performed in all three units satisfying SR 3.8.9.1 and each unit exited SR 3.0.3. Investigation of this event determined the condition has existed since the implementation of the Palo Verde Improved Technical Specifications (ITS) on August 13, 1998, when the Class 1E distribution panels were added to TS Bases Table 3.8.9-1. The cause of the event was a lack of technical rigor when implementing the ITS. 								
One similar event was reported by PVNGS in the past three years in LER 50-528/2007-003 where a monthly valve alignment did not adequately meet its intent to satisfy TS SR.								

-server to a state the

IRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						
(9-2007) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET						
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER	ર	3. PAGE	
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station	05000528	YEAR	SEQUENTAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 5	
Units 1, 2 and 3		2 01 0				
17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) All times are Mountain Standard Time and approximate unless otherwise indicated.						
1. REPORTING REQUIREMENT	(S):					
This LER is being submitted pursua by Technical Specification (TS) whe Units 1, 2, and 3 discovered that the Requirement (TSSR) 3.8.9.1 for per met.	ant to 10 CFF en the Palo V e requiremer rforming wee	t 50.73 (erde Nu ts of Te kly elect	a)(2)(i)(B) as clear Genera chnical Spec rical distribut	a conditi ating Stati ification S tion check	on prohibited on (PVNGS) surveillance s were not	
2. DESCRIPTION OF STRUCTU	RE(S), SYST	EM(S) A	ND COMPC	DNENT(S)	:	
The Class 1E 125 VDC systems (E made up of two trains (A and B) of f and C are designated as Train A ar channel contains a battery bank, a panel. These components are the 125 VDC distribution system that er electrical power as well as control for buses.	IIS Code: EJ four independ nd Channels battery charg subsystem co nsures the re unctions for c) are loc lent cha 3 and D er, a col omponei quired v ritical sy	ated in the connels (A, B, are designation ntrol center, ants that make oltage is read ostem loads of	ontrol buil C and D). ted as Tra and a dist e up the C dily availa connected	ding and are Channels A ain B. Each ribution class 1E ble for I to these	
3. INITIAL PLANT CONDITIONS:						
On May 13, 2009, Palo Verde Units 1 and 2 were in Mode 1 (Power Operation), at 100 percent power, at normal operating temperature (NOT) and normal operating pressure (NOP). Unit 3 was in Mode 5 (Cold Shutdown), being returned to service from a refueling outage. There were no structures, systems, or components inoperable at the time of the event that contributed to the event.						
	• •					

1 ×. 11 1

C FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY 2007) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET						
1, FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	1	3. PAGE			
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station		YEAR	SEQUENTAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Units 1, 2 and 3	05000528	2009 -	003 -	- 00	3 OF 5	

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

4. EVENT DESCRIPTION:

On May 13, 2009, the Palo Verde Component Design Basis Review (CDBR) Team discovered Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.9.1 was not completely implemented by surveillance test procedure 40ST-9ZZ05 Rev. 12, Weekly Electrical Distribution Checks. Specifically, the surveillance test procedure did not contain checks that verified correct supply breaker alignment for the Class 125 VDC distribution panels PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23 and PKD-D24 as required by TS Bases table 3.8.9-1.

Upon discovery of the condition, notification was made to Operations and Engineering management. At 15:10 Mountain Standard Time (MST) all three Units entered SR 3.0.3 for a missed surveillance, thereby permitting time to correct the identified deficiency prior to implementation of the Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) action. Entry into SR 3.0.3 establishes up to a 24 hour period or up to the limit of the specified surveillance test frequency to defer declaring the LCO is not met when a SR has not been completed within the specified frequency. This delay period permits the completion of a SR before complying with required actions or other remedial measures.

To meet the requirements of SR 3.8.9.1, a procedure change to 40ST-9ZZ05 was issued providing instructions to verify the DC distribution panels supply breakers were closed. The surveillance test was performed successfully, satisfying Surveillance Requirement 3.8.9.1 and each unit exited SR 3.0.3 (Unit 1 on May 13, 2009, at 23:10 MST, Unit 2 and 3 on May 14, 2009, at 02:38 MST and 03:20 MST respectively). It was determined during the course of this investigation that the condition has existed since the implementation of Improved Technical Specifications on August 13, 1998.

5. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES:

The AC, DC, and AC vital instrument bus electrical power distribution systems are designed to provide sufficient capacity, capability, redundancy, and reliability to ensure the availability of power to Engineering Safety Function (ESF) systems so that the fuel, reactor coolant system, and containment design limits are not exceeded. The safety significance of not verifying the distribution panel (PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23, and PKD-D24) supply breakers were in the closed position is minimal. Each panel has an associated

NRC FORM 366A		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIC				
LICENS	EE EVENT RE	T REPORT (LER) TON SHEET				
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE	
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station	05000528	YEAR	SEQUENTAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
	10000020				4 UF 0	

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

Units 1, 2 and 3

alarm window and alarm point that will indicate when the supply breaker is opened. Once the alarm is received, Operators would take action as defined in the alarm response procedure. Additionally, numerous other alarms in the control room would be illuminated if these distribution panels were without power.

2009 --

003

00

The event did not result in a transient more severe than those previously analyzed in the PVNGS UFSAR, Chapter 15. There are no actual safety consequences as a result of this condition; the condition would not have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function; and, the condition did not result in a safety system functional failure as defined by 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(v).

6. CAUSE OF THE EVENT:

The direct cause of the event was an inadequate Surveillance Test procedure that did not verify the position of the Class IE 125 VDC PKA-D21, PKB-D22, PKC-D23, and PKD-D24 distribution panel supply breakers. It was determined during the course of this investigation that the condition has existed since the implementation of Improved Technical Specifications on August 13, 1998. This change altered table 3.8.9-1 to incorporate the DC distribution panels. All other components noted in the table had already been included and verified during the performance of 40ST-9ZZ05.

The apparent cause was determined to be that inadequate technical rigor was used when implementing the Improved Technical Specification (ITS).

7. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

The following corrective actions were or will be implemented for all three units:

• A procedure change was implemented for 40ST-9ZZ05 providing instructions to verify the DC distribution panels supply breakers were closed.

• 40ST-9ZZ05 was successfully completed for all three units and TS SR 3.0.3 was exited shortly after completion of the required surveillance.

NRC FORM 366A			U.S. NU	CLEAR REGU	JLATORY COMMISSION	
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET						
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE	
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station	05000500	YEAR	SEQUENTAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		

2009 --

003

00

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

Units 1, 2 and 3

• 01AP-0AP01, Procedure Process, was revised to clearly define the roles and responsibilities of the organizations reviewing changes to station procedures.

05000528

In addition to the above actions, a Component Design Basis Review (CDBR) project is currently in progress to identify and correct latent design basis deficient conditions.

8. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS:

There was one similar event reported in LER 50-528/2007-003 where Auxiliary Feedwater Pump AFA-P01 Monthly Valve Alignment did not adequately meet its intent to satisfy TS Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.7.5.1 for position verification of the steam admission bypass valves to Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) Pump AFA-P01. Similar to the event described in this LER, the condition was the failure to incorporate the new SR into the ST procedure.

5 OF 5