

From: Lorson, Raymond
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 8:03 AM
To: Parkhill, Ron; Waters, Michael
Subject: RE: Draft SPR Materials Chapter

Ron - sorry if there was confusion; my request was to work with the admin staff to make sure that it get entered into adams.

Thanks

Ray

From: Parkhill, Ron
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 7:56 AM
To: Lorson, Raymond; Waters, Michael
Subject: RE: Draft SPR Materials Chapter

Ray, I'm in training thru today. I'll be in tomorrow morning for 1/2 day. I'm not going to last day of class.

Could you or Mike ask our secretaries put these items in Adams? Also, having our tech staff do it is not in accordance with the office procedure.

Thanks, Ron

From: Lorson, Raymond
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 1:16 PM
To: Parkhill, Ron; Waters, Michael
Subject: FW: Draft SPR Materials Chapter

ron - pls put attached in adams along with the other nei comments that we received on the revised srp.

thanks

ray

From: REDMOND, Everett [mailto:elr@nei.org]
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 1:06 PM
To: Lorson, Raymond; Mamish, Nader
Cc: KRAFT, Steven
Subject: Draft SPR Materials Chapter

Ray, Nader,

We are in the process of finalizing our comments on the draft SRP and both of you will be copied on the transmittal letter. However, in case you don't have an opportunity to read our comments, I wanted to bring to your attention a couple of paragraphs and sentences in the Materials chapter of the SRP that I found bothersome. These are listed below and the sentences are highlighted (lines 7334-7336 and 7341-7342 in case the highlighting doesn't appear). As a general note, it is concerning that the guidance being given to the reviewer is that they should feel free to review anything in the SAR including previously approved and

unmodified portions: this will be addressed further in our comment letter. More specifically, the first sentence implies that vendors may try to hide changes in the SAR and the second sentence could be interpreted as the PM and the applicant may try to push through an amendment over the objections of the review staff. Both sentences are derogatory towards the vendors and the second could be viewed as derogatory towards NRC PMs. This type of guidance to NRC staff does not help to foster a regulator-licensee relationship which is based on mutual trust and respect.

Thanks
Everett

7330 Survey the SAR (generally SAR Chapters 1 and 2) and especially the Technical Specifications
7331 (TS) and talk to the project manager (PM) to gain an overall understanding of the nature of the
7332 license application. Most license applications are submitted as “amendments” to existing
(and
7333 thus previously reviewed) designs. However, the actual nature of the “amendment” may
7334 encompass anything from a minor change to a completely new design. **Beware, not all design
7335 and license changes in the amendment will necessarily be separately identified by the
applicant
7336 nor necessarily be obvious.**
7337
7338 This means any amendment should be approached with the view that any topic in the
7339 amendment request, TS and supporting SAR is open to review by the technical staff. This
7340 applies regardless of whether or not it is considered within scope to the specific
amendment
7341 request. **Sometimes this creates a conflict with the PM and/or applicant, and management
7342 consultation can be required.** Regardless, the technical staff should principally ensure the
7343 technical content of any amendment application and supporting TS or SAR is acceptable.
Any
7344 technically incorrect or unacceptable design or operational aspect should be identified for
7345 resolution.

Everett Redmond II, Ph.D.
Senior Project Manager
Used Fuel Storage and Transportation

Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I St. N.W., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006
www.nei.org

P: 202-739-8122
F: 202-533-0222
M: 202-361-1876
E: elr@nei.org

nuclear. clean air energy.

This electronic message transmission contains information from the Nuclear Energy Institute, Inc. The information is intended solely for the use of the addressee and its use by any other person is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, disclosure, copying or distribution of the contents of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or by electronic mail and permanently delete the original message. IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS and other taxing authorities, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties that may be imposed on any taxpayer or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Sent through outbound.mailwise.com

E-mail Properties

Mail Envelope Properties (1FA53ADF29758448974A8AC1118E627EB5B75ADDCB)

Subject: RE: Draft SPR Materials Chapter
Sent Date: 7/15/2009 8:03:07 AM
Received Date: 7/15/2009 8:03:07 AM
From: Lorson, Raymond

Created By: Raymond.Lorson@nrc.gov

Recipients:
Ron.Parkhill@nrc.gov (Parkhill, Ron)
Tracking Status: None
Michael.Waters@nrc.gov (Waters, Michael)
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:
HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	17135	7/15/2009

Options
Expiration Date:
Priority: o!ImportanceNormal
ReplyRequested: False
Return Notification: False

Sensitivity: 0Normal
Recipients received: