

## **PMLevyCOLPEm Resource**

---

**From:** Bruner, Douglas  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 23, 2009 7:18 AM  
**To:** Snead, Paul  
**Cc:** Moser, Michelle; Daniel Mussatti; LevyCOL Resource  
**Subject:** Socio Questions  
**Attachments:** Revised Socio Question - DM.doc

Paul,

Attached are questions that the NRC 's Economist would like to discuss during today's teleconference. The questions relate to RAI 4.4.2-9.

Thanks,

Doug

**Hearing Identifier:** Levy\_County\_COL\_Public  
**Email Number:** 273

**Mail Envelope Properties** (5A7F273F3E481245BC055B36939D3463252C170220)

**Subject:** Socio Questions  
**Sent Date:** 6/23/2009 7:17:50 AM  
**Received Date:** 6/23/2009 7:17:51 AM  
**From:** Bruner, Douglas

**Created By:** Douglas.Bruner@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"Moser, Michelle" <Michelle.Moser@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Daniel Mussatti" <dmussatti@embarqmail.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"LevyCOL Resource" <LevyCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Snead, Paul" <paul.snead@pgnmail.com>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	185	6/23/2009 7:17:51 AM
Revised Socio Question - DM.doc		28666

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

Follow-on to RAI\_4.4.2-9

In order to allow staff to calculate sales and use tax revenue that would be generated during construction of LNP, please provide the following information:

- 1) Please provide a reference for the assertion that “most of these purchases of equipment and materials will qualify for Florida’s steam production and pollution control sales tax exemption” made in section 4.4.2.2.1 of the ER and in PGN’s Response to NRC RAI# 4.4.2-9. Staff has found an exemption for steam-generating equipment that is used to produce tangible goods in Florida; but nothing for equipment used to generate electricity for residential and commercial uses in Florida. Furthermore, the staff could not find a section of the Florida statutes for pollution control equipment that could apply to the power block of a nuclear power plant. Please provide such clarification or, if the staff is correct in its finding, please revise the language of the ER accordingly.
- 2) Please verify that PEF considered the value of Florida use tax to be paid on non-exempt material and equipment purchased outside Florida in considering tax revenue that would be generated during construction.
- 3) If the response to 1 and 2 changes the tax impact conclusions in section 4.4.2.2.1, please provide revised conclusions
- 4) Please provide a reference for the assertion in PGN’s response to the RAI that the state would send 0.5% of sales tax revenue back to the local area to fund local services. Also clarify whether “local area” means “counties” or something else. Please explain the calculations in the following sentences describing distribution of sales tax revenue: “About \$.29 million would go to the counties in rough proportion to the distribution of the construction workforce, as presented in ER Table 4.4-1. The remaining \$1.71 million would go to the state, which would send some of the funds (0.5 percent) back to the local area to fund local services.”

Clarification: In order to allow staff to calculate property tax revenue that would be generated during operation of LNP, please provide a reference for the assertion in section 4.4.2.2.2 of the ER that tax valuation would be based on the cost of construction, less the pollution control components.