ENG.20070320.0005

Design Calculation or Analysis Cover Sheet

1. QA: QA

Complete only applicable items.

2. Page 1

20	votom			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 Decument Identifier		
3. 3 Com	visitor Dessint and Cleaver Essi	1					
	ilister Receipt and Closure Faci	inty		<u>_</u>	J00-DBC-CR00-003	00-000-00A	
	CE Dianhraam Dagian						
	il/Structurel/Architecturel						
	acument Status Designation						
1.0	ocument Status Designation						
			hary	Committed			Superseded
8. N	otes/Comments						
				÷			
						-14 °	
			Attach	ments			Total Number of Pages
AT	TACHMENT A : CRCF PLAN	NS					3
ΔΤ	$\Gamma A CHMENT B \cdot PI AN - TY$	PICAL CHO	DRD STEI	T FOR DIAPHR	۵GM		1
			RE	CORD OF REVISIO	NS	• ····	
9	10	1.	I. 12.	13.	14.	15.	16.
No.	Reason For Revision	Tota	al# Last as Pa #	Originator	Checker (Print/Sign/Date)	EGS (Print/Sign/Date)	Approved/Accepted
	T 1 T		93. 19. #				(Print/Sign/Date)
00A	Initial Issue	5	8 B-1	A. Joshi	G. Rao	M. Denlinger	R. Rajagopal
	-			pmisso	gque 1	nt-	At lagent
				3-19-07	3-19-01	Juli	AUT
					Ċ	2 19-07	3/19/07
						5-11-1	71-1.
						5	
		l l	1				

DISCLAIMER

The calculations contained in this document were developed by Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC (BSC) and are intended solely for the use of BSC in its work for the Yucca Mountain Project.

CONTENTS

Page

1.	PURP	OSE4	
2.	REFE	RENCES4	
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	PROJECT PROCEDURES/DIRECTIVES	,
3.	ASSU	MPTIONS7	
	3.1 3.2	ASSUMPTIONS REQUIRING VERIFICATION	
4.	METH	HODOLOGY9	
	4.1 4.2 4.3	QUALITY ASSURANCE.9USE OF SOFTWARE.9DESIGN APPROACH.1	0
5.	LIST	OF ATTACHMENTS1	0
6.	BODY	OF CALCULATION	1
7.	RESU	LTS AND CONCLUSIONS	4
	7.1 7.2	RESULTS	4 4

ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A : CRCF PLANS	A-1
ATTACHMENT B : PLAN - TYPICAL CHORD STE	EEL FOR DIAPHRAGMB-1

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this calculation is for the preliminary design of the concrete slabs/diaphragms for the Canister Receipt and Closure Facility (CRCF). See plant design drawings (references 2.2.7 to 2.2.11) for plans and sections of the CRCF structure.

In this calculation a representative sample of slabs will be designed. This sample includes the following cases. See attachment A.

- Case 1: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 100': Col Lines 6-9/D-H (N/S dir) & 6-9/E-G (E/W dir): 18" slab
- Case 2: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 72': Col Lines 9-12/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab
- Case 3: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64': Col Lines 9-12/D-E (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 9-12/G-H (N/S & E/W dir).
- Case 4: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64': Col Lines 2-3/D-E (N/S dir) & 2-6/D-E (E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 2-3/G-H (N/S dir) & 2-6/G-H (E/W dir).
- Case 5: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64': Col Lines 2-5/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 33" slab
- Case 6: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 6-9/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 48" slab
- Case 7: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 12-13/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 1-2/E-G (N/S & E/W dir). 18" slab
- Case 8: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 2-3/D-E (N/S dir) & 2-12/D-E (E/W dir):18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 2-3/G-H (N/S dir) & 2-12/G-H (E/W dir).

Results from these cases bound the diaphragm panels not covered in this calculation.

The results of this calculation yield preliminary design of all slabs/diaphragms for the CRCF. During the detailed design phase of the project a complete design of all slabs/diaphragms will be made using results from a detailed three dimensional finite element model.

2.0 REFERENCES

2.1 PROJECT PROCEDURES/DIRECTIVES

 2.1.1 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. Calculations and Analyses. EG-PRO-3DP-G04B-00037, Rev.007, ICN : 0 Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20070122.0010.

- 2.1.2 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2006. Software Management. IT-PRO-0011 Rev.003, ICN: 0. Las Vegas, Nevada, Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20061221.0003.
- 2.1.3 ORD (Office of Repository Development) 2006. Repository Project Management Automation Plan. 000-PLN-MGR0-00200-000, Rev. 00D.
 Las Vegas, Nevada: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Repository Development. ACC: ENG.20060703.0001

2.2 **DESIGN INPUTS**

- 2.2.1 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2006. Project Design Criteria Document. 000-3DR-MGR0-00100-000 REV 006. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20061201.0005.
- 2.2.2 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2006. Basis of Design for the TAD Canister–Based Repository Design Concept. 000-3DR-MGR0-00300-000-000. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG 20061023.0002.
- 2.2.3 ACI 349-01. 2001. Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures (ACI 349-01). Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. TIC: <u>252732</u>.
 [DIRS 158833]
- 2.2.4 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2006. Canister Receipt and Closure Facility (CRCF) Seismic Analysis. 060-SYC-CR00-00400-000-00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20061220.0029.
- 2.2.5 MacGregor, J.G. 1997. *Reinforced Concrete, Mechanics and Design.* Prentice Hall International Series in Civil Engineering and Engineering Mechanics. 3rd Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall. TIC: <u>242587</u>.
 [DIRS 130532]

- 2.2.6 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2006. Seismic Analysis and Design Approach Document. 000-30R-MGR0-02000-000. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20061214.0008
- 2.2.7 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. *Canister Receipt and Closure Facility # 1 Preliminary Layout Ground Floor Plan.* 060-P0K-CR00-10101-000-00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: <u>ENG.20070221.0014</u>.
- 2.2.8 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. *Canister Receipt and Closure Facility # 1 Preliminary Layout Second Floor Plan.* 060-P0K- CR00-10102-000-00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: <u>ENG.20070221.0015</u>.
- 2.2.9 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. Canister Receipt and Closure Facility # 1 Preliminary Layout Third Floor Plan. 060-P0K- CR00-10103-000-00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20070221.0016.
- 2.2.10 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. *Canister Receipt and Closure Facility # 1 Preliminary Layout Section A*. 060-P0K- CR00-10104-000-00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: <u>ENG.20070221.0017</u>.
- 2.2.11 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. *Canister Receipt and Closure Facility # 1 Preliminary Layout Section B.* 060-P0K- CR00-10105-000-00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: <u>ENG.20070221.0018</u>.
- 2.2.12 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2006. CRCF, IHF, RF, & WHF * Port Slide Gate Mechanical Equipment Envelope. 000-MJ0-H000-00301-000 REV 00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20061220.0021.
- 2.2.13 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2005. CHF Slab Stiffness Evaluation. 190-SYC-SY00-01600-000 REV 00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20051019.0003.
- 2.2.14 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. Analysis (SASSI) for Sample In-Structure Response Spectra for CHF with Two Closure Cells.
 190-SYC-SY00-01000-000-00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: <u>ENG.20041101.0001</u>.

2.2 DESIGN CONSTRAINTS

None

2.3 DESIGN OUTPUTS

Results of this calculation will be used in the development of the CRCF concrete outline drawings. Drawing numbers have not yet been assigned to these drawings.

3.0 ASSUMPTIONS

3.1 ASSUMPTIONS REQUIRING VERIFICATION

3.1.1 Structural steel framing loads are assumed as follows.

Roofs at 32',64',72'&100', Floors at 32' (except bounded by col lines 6-9/E-G): 40 lbs/ft² Floor at 32' bounded by col lines 6-9/E-G: 0 lbs/ft²

Rationale: Structural steel represents a small fraction of the total loads applied to the CRCF slabs. 40 lbs/ft^2 is a reasonable assumed value until the slab support steel has been designed. Actual steel weights will be used as the design matures in the detailed design phase of the project. Floor at 32' bounded by col lines 6-9/E-G has no steel framing.

3.1.2 Equipment dead loads are assumed as 100 lbs/ft² and 50 lbs/ft² on the floor slabs and the roof slabs, respectively. Equipment dead loads include HVAC equipment, Electrical equipment and so forth.

Rationale: The CRCF is not an equipment intensive structure with the major equipment for diaphragm design being the HVAC equipment. 100 lbs/ft^2 and 50 lbs/ft^2 is a reasonable assumption for this type of structure. Actual equipment weights will be used as the design matures in the detailed design phase of the project.

3.1.3 Roofing material dead load is assumed as 55 lbs/ft^2 .

Rationale: This is a reasonable assumption that allows for a lightweight concrete fill material to be applied over the concrete slab with an average thickness of 6 inches including a waterproof roofing membrane.

3.1.4 Live load is assumed as 100 lbs/ft^2 for floor live load and 40 lbs/ft^2 for roof live load.

Rationale: 100 lbs/ft^2 live load for floor and 40 lbs/ft^2 live load for roof is a reasonable assumption for a structure of this type. The primary source of live load is maintenance of HVAC and other equipment.

3.1.5 Floor slabs constructed on a 3" metal deck are assumed to have maximum span of 7'-0".

Rationale: It is a standard engineering practice to have spans of 6' to 7' for floor slabs constructed on a 3" metal deck. In the subsequent design the actual maximum slab span will be used for the diaphragm design.

3.1.6 The amplified slab acceleration for out-of-plane seismic loads is assumed as 2.0 times the slab acceleration obtained from the CRCF seismic analysis (Ref. 2.2.4).

Rationale: The tier-1 seismic analysis models did not include the effects of vertical floor flexibility, i.e. the floors were considered as rigid diaphragms. To obtain amplified vertical floor accelerations to be used in the design of floor slabs and supporting steel the following process was used.

A SASSI (System for Analysis of Soil-Structure Interaction) analysis was performed on the Canister Handling Facility (CHF) (Ref. 2.2.14) which developed in-structure response spectra at hard points on the walls. CRCF structure is similar to CHF structure. Using the 7% damped vertical response spectra given in fig. F-3 of Ref. 2.2.14, a response ratio between the wall ZPA (Zero Period Acceleration) and the in-structure response was computed at various frequencies. A plot was generated of response ratio versus frequency.

A study was performed for the CHF where floor frequencies were computed for various slab geometry's (Ref. 2.2.13). Looking at the results of this study one can determine the fundamental vertical floor mode and obtain the frequency and mass participation for the various conditions studied. For an 18" floor with columns spaced at approx. 20' on centers the fundamental mode is approximately 25Hz with a mass participation of 50%. Thus 50% of the mass is responding at this frequency and 50% of the mass responds at the ZPA. The following equation may be written:

response = (.5 * mass * ZPA) + (.5 * Ratio * mass * ZPA)Where Ratio = Acceleration @ 25 Hz / ZPA

Using the Response Ratio versus frequency plot described above the ratio for 25Hz was found to be 2.3. Using this value in the response equation above results in:

response = .5 * mass * ZPA + .5 * 2.3 * mass * ZPA response = 1.65 * mass * ZPA

Where ZPA for the slab is the acceleration obtained from the CRCF seismic analysis (Ref. 2.2.4) at the floor level under consideration.

This procedure was done for various slabs and the results indicated that 2.0*ZPA is a reasonable approximation of the vertical floor amplification for this type of structural configuration.

3.2 ASSUMPTIONS NOT REQUIRING VERIFICATION

3.2.1 All slabs are assumed and designed as one-way slabs.

Rationale: Designing one-way slab instead of two-way slab is bounding. Reinforcing steel computed in the slab span direction will also be provided in the orthogonal direction.

3.2.2 Multiple span diaphragms when analyzing for in-plane loads are taken as simple span using the largest span.

Rationale: Taking simple span instead of multiple spans is conservative because moments computed as simple span envelopes the positive and negative moments computed as multiple spans.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 QUALITY ASSURANCE

This calculation was prepared in accordance with EG-PRO-3DP-G04B-00037, *Calculations and Analyses* (Ref. 2.1.1). Section 4.1.2 of the *Basis of Design for the TAD Canister–Based Repository Design Concept* (Ref. 2.2.2) classifies the CRCF structure as ITS. Therefore, the approved version of this calculation is designated as QA: QA.

4.2 USE OF SOFTWARE

Word 2000, which is part of the Microsoft Office 2000 suite of programs, was used in preparation of the calculation. Microsoft Office 2000 as used in the calculation is classified as Level 2 software usage as defined in IT-PRO-0011, *Software Management* (Ref. 2.1.2). Microsoft Office 2000 is also listed on the controlled Software Report (SW Tracking Number 610236-2000-00) as well as on 000-PLN-MGR0-00200-000, *Repository Project Management Automation Plan* (Ref. 2.1.3).

Mathcad 13 was utilized to perform the mathematical computations in this calculation. All Mathcad input values and equations are stated in the calculation. Checking of the Mathcad template was done by using a hand calculator to check the operations being performed by Mathcad.

Mathcad version 13 is listed on the controlled software report (SW Tracking Number 61116), as well as on 000-PLN-MGR0-00200-000, *Repository Project Management Automation Plan* (Ref. 2.1.3).

The software was executed on a PC system running Microsoft Windows 2000 operating system.

4.3 DESIGN APPROACH

Concrete slabs/diaphragms will be designed for the vertical floor loads (dead loads, live loads, equipment loads, etc.) applied to the slab as well as the in-plane and out-of-plane loads imposed on the slabs under seismic loading conditions.

The CRCF structure is ITS (section 4.1), therefore the design will be based on the requirements of ACI 349-01, *Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures (ACI 349-01)*, hereinafter referred to as ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3).

Diaphragms transmit the horizontal seismic loads to the tributary shear walls. In-plane diaphragm loads are a result of horizontal seismic acceleration of the diaphragm itself and the walls tributary to the diaphragm perpendicular to the direction of the seismic acceleration. For example, under a North to South seismic acceleration a diaphragm must transfer horizontal seismic load equal to the mass of the diaphragm plus the mass of the East to West walls (tributary to the diaphragm) times the horizontal seismic acceleration to the North to South shear walls.

The diaphragm design is carried out in three steps.

- Reinforcing requirements for out-of-plane (bending) loads are calculated.
- Reinforcing requirements for in-plane (diaphragm) shear loads are calculated.
- Reinforcing requirements for in-plane (diaphragm) moments are calculated.

The results of the first and second steps are combined to determine the reinforcing requirements for the out-of-plane bending and in-plane shear loads. These reinforcing requirements are then compared to the ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3) minimum reinforcing requirements. The larger of the reinforcing required for the out-of-plane bending and in-plane shear loads and minimum requirements will determine the reinforcing requirements.

The results of the third step (in-plane moments) yield the chord steel required for the diaphragm.

5. LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A : CRCF PLANS

Pages A-1 to A-3

Note : Attachment A is based on plant design drawings (references 2.2.7 to 2.2.11).

ATTACHMENT B : PLAN – TYPICAL CHORD STEEL FOR DIAPHRAGM Page B-1

6. BODY OF CALCULATION

6.1 UNITS, STRESSES AND VARIABLES

6.1.1 Units

psi : pounds per square inch	psf : pounds per square foot	pcf : pounds per cubic foot
kip : 1000 lbs	klf : kip per linear foot	

6.1.2 Stresses

f _c := 5000∙psi	Compressive Strength of Concrete	(Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 4.2.11.6.2)
f _y := 60000·psi	Yield Stress of Grade 60 Reinforcing Steel	(Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 4.2.11.6.2)

6.1.3 Variables

As	Area of reinforcing steel (in^2)
ь	Width of concrete section (inches)
clr	Clear cover over reinforcing bars (inches)
d	Distance from extreme compression fiber to centroid of reinforcement (inches)
d _{bar}	Diameter of reinforcing bar (inches)
h	Height of section or slab thickness (inches)
span	Span of slab (feet)
w	Uniform applied load on slab (lbs/ft)
wconc	Unit weight of concrete
ρ	Reinforcing ratio = As/(b*d)
ρ _{req}	Computed required reinforcing ratio
фЪ	Strength reduction factor for bending
[¢] diaph	Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear (diaphragm shear)
φ _s	Strength reduction factor for shear
ω	Reinforcing index = $\rho * (f_y / f_c)$

6.1.3 Variables (Continued)

.J Vai	
A _{ch}	Required Chord Reinforcement Area (in^2)
A _{cv}	Shear Area (ft ²)
С	Constant = $\omega(159\omega)$
CF	Chord Force (kips)
DD	Depth of Diaphragm
E	Seismic Load
EDL	Equipment Dead Load
н	Height of Wall
L	Length of Wall
LL	Design Live Load
М	Moment
RMDL	Roofing Material Dead Load
RR	Reinforcement Ratio
S	Span
SACC	Seismic Acceleration
SDL	Slab Dead Load
SFDL	Steel Framing Dead Load
TDL	Total Dead Load
Tw	Thickness of Wall
U	Ultimate Load
V _c	Nominal Shear Strength Provided by Concrete
Vn	Nominal Shear Strength
Vs	Nominal Shear Strength Provided by Shear Reinforcement

- W Seismic Load per Foot
- WW Weight of Wall

6.2 DESIGN LOADS

6.2.1 Dead Loads of Concrete Slabs

 $w_{conc} := 150 \cdot pcf$ Unit weight of concrete

(Reference 2.2.1, Section 4.2.11.6.6)

The slab dead load of concrete slab constructed on a 3" (0.25 ft) metal deck (18" & 33" thick slabs) :

I

$$SDL := \left[\left(\frac{h \cdot in}{12} + \frac{0.25 \cdot ft}{2} \right) \cdot w_{conc} \right]^{\bullet}$$
where h is the slab thickness above
the metal decking in inches
$$Wide Flange$$
Beam
$$Beam$$
18" thick roof slab
$$SDL_{19} := (1.5 \cdot ft + 0.125 \cdot ft) \cdot w_{conc}$$

$$SDL_{19} := 244 \text{ psf}$$
El 32', 64', 72' & 10

18" thick roof slab	$SDL_{18r} := (1.5 \cdot ft + 0.125 \cdot ft) \cdot w_{conc}$	$SDL_{18r} = 244 psf$	El 32', 64', 72' & 100'
18" thick floor slab	$SDL_{18f} := (1.5 \cdot ft + 0.125 \cdot ft) \cdot w_{conc}$	$SDL_{18f} = 244 \text{ psf}$	EI 32'
33" thick roof slab	$SDL_{33r} := (2.75 \cdot ft + 0.125 \cdot ft) \cdot w_{conc}$	$SDL_{33r} = 431 \text{ psf}$	El 64'

Note : 48" thick floor slab does not have metal deck under the slab.

48" thick floor slab $SDL_{48f} := (4 \cdot ft) \cdot w_{conc}$

$$SDL_{48f} = 600 \text{ psf}$$
 El 32'

$$SDL := \begin{pmatrix} SDL_{18r} \\ SDL_{18f} \\ SDL_{33r} \\ SDL_{48f} \end{pmatrix}$$
Slab Dead Load : 18" roof slab
Slab Dead Load : 18" floor slab
Slab Dead Load : 33" roof slab
Slab Dead Load : 48" floor slab

6.2.2 Steel Framing Dead Load

(Assumption 3.1.1)



Note : 48" thick floor slab does not have steel framing under the slab.

6.2.3 Equipment Dead Load

(Assumption 3.1.2)

$$\begin{split} \text{EDL}_{\textbf{fl}} &\coloneqq 100 \text{psf} & \text{Floor Equipment Dead Load} & \text{EDL}_{\textbf{rf}} &\coloneqq 50 \text{psf} \\ \text{EDL}_{\textbf{fl}} & \text{Equipment Dead Load} &: 18" \text{ roof slab} \\ \text{EDL}_{\textbf{fl}} & \text{Equipment Dead Load} &: 18" \text{ floor slab} \\ \text{EDL}_{\textbf{rf}} & \text{Equipment Dead Load} &: 33" \text{ roof slab} \\ \text{Equipment Dead Load} &: 33" \text{ roof slab} \\ \text{Equipment Dead Load} &: 48" \text{ floor slab} \end{split}$$

SDL =	244	
	244	
	431	psi
	600	

	(40)	
ardi	40	
SFDL =	40	psi

Roof Equipment Dead Load



CRCF Diaphragm Design

060-DBC-CR00-00300-000-00A

6.2.4 Roofing Material Dead Load

(Assumption 3.1.3)



Roofing Material Dead Load : 18" roof slab Roofing Material Dead Load : 18" floor slab Roofing Material Dead Load : 33" roof slab Roofing Material Dead Load : 48" floor slab

6.2.5 Design Live Load

(Assumption 3.1.4)



LL_{rf} := 40·psf



Design Live Load : 18" roof slab
Design Live Load : 18" floor slab
Design Live Load : 33" roof slab
Design Live Load : 48" floor slab



Roof Design Live Load

	(40)	1
	100	
LL =	40	psi
	(100)	

6.2.6 Acceleration Factors for Seismic Loads (Ref. 2.2.4, table 13)

Note: The amplified slab acceleration for out-of-plane seismic loads is 2xVertical acceleration at floor level. (Assumption 3.1.6)

Acceleration factors for elevation 100'	a100 _x := 1.41	(East/West)	
	a100 _y := 1.35	(North/South)	
	$a100_{z} := 2.0.82$	$a100_{z} = 1.64$	(Vertical)
Acceleration factors for elevation 72'	$a72_{x} := 0.96$	(East/West)	
	$a72_{v} := 1.00$	(North/South)	
	$a72_{z} := 2.0.80$	$a72_{z} = 1.60_{z}$	(Vertical)
Acceleration factors for elevation 64'	a64 _x := 0.91	(East/West)	
	$a64_{y} := 0.87$	(North/South)	
	$a64_{z} := 2.0.76$	$a64_z = 1.52$	(Vertical)
Acceleration factors for elevation 32'	a32 _x := 0.67	(East/West)	
	$a32_{v} := 0.70$	(North/South)	
	$a32_{7} := 2.0.71$	$a32_{7} = 1.42$	(Vertical)

6.2.7 Loading Combinations

(Ref. 2.2.1, sect. 4.2.11.4.5)

 $U1 := 1.4D + 1.7 \cdot L^{\bullet}$ (Ultimate Load for normal operating condition) $U2 := D + Le + E^{\bullet}$ (Ultimate Load for extreme abnormal condition)

Where Le := 0.25 LL · Live load present during an earthquake

Note : Use 25% of design live load (LL) in combination with seismic loads. (Ref. 2.2.6, sect. 8.3.1)

6.3 SLAB DESIGN FOR OUT-OF-PLANE (VERTICAL) LOADS

See plant design drawings (references 2.2.7 to 2.2.11) and attachment A for plans and sections of the CRCF structure.

Most of the slabs are constructed on a 3" metal deck with more than 2 continuous spans with a maximum span of 7'-0" (Assumption 3.1.5) for 18" thk slabs (el 32',64',72' or 100') & 33" thk slab (el 64').

48" thick slab bounded by column lines 6, 9, E & G @ el. 32' (Ref. 2.2.8) does not have metal deck under the slab. It has more than two continuous spans with the maximum spans of 32' (between column lines E.3 & F and F & F.7).

6.3.1 Total Dead Loads (TDL)

Total dead loads (TDL) include slab dead load (SDL), steel framing dead load (SFDL), equipment dead load (EDL) and roofing material dead load (RMDL).

$$TDL := SDL + SFDL + EDL + RMDL$$

$$TDL = \begin{pmatrix} 389\\ 384\\ 576\\ 700 \end{pmatrix} psf$$

Total Dead Load : 18" roof slab Total Dead Load : 18" floor slab

Total Dead Load : 33" roof slab

Total Dead Load : 48" floor slab

6.3.2 Seismic Load (E)

Note : Use 25% of design live load (Le = 0.25LL, see section 6.2.7) in combination with dead loads in calculating seismic loads. (Ref. 2.2.6, sect. 8.3.1)



Seismic Acceleration factor for 18" slab @ El 100' in Z dir Seismic Acceleration factor for 18" slab @ El 32' in Z dir

Seismic Acceleration factor for 18" slab @ El 64' in Z dir Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" slab @ El 32' in Z dir

> Seismic Load for 18" slab @ El 100' in Z direction Seismic Load for 18" slab @ El 32' in Z direction Seismic Load for 18" slab @ El 64' in Z direction Seismic Load for 48" slab @ El 32' in Z direction

6.3.3 Governing Ultimate Load Combination for Concrete Design (See section 6.2.7.)

U1 := 1.4·TDL + 1.7·LL

U2 := TDL + Le + E





Ultimate Load Comb. 1.4D+1.7L : 18" roof slab Ultimate Load Comb. 1.4D+1.7L : 18" floor slab Ultimate Load Comb. 1.4D+1.7L : 33" roof slab Ultimate Load Comb. 1.4D+1.7L : 48" floor slab

Ultimate Load Comb. D+Le+E : 18" roof slab Ultimate Load Comb. D+Le+E : 18" floor slab Ultimate Load Comb. D+Le+E : 33" roof slab Ultimate Load Comb. D+Le+E : 48" floor slab

 $\max(U1_4, U2_4)$

changing origin for matrices from 0,0 to 1,1



6.3.4 Moments and Shears for Slabs

All slabs are designed as one-way slabs. (Assumption 3.2.1)

1053

989

1477

1755

Using the equations for moments and shears from ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3 sect. 8.3) :

psf

Maximum positive moment = $wL^2/14$ (end span : discontinuous end integral with support governs) Maximum negative moment at supports = $wL^2/10$ (more than two spans) <u>Governs</u>

Maximum shear force = 1.15wL/2 (end span governs)

b := 1 ft	Width for a 1' strip			
$span_{18or33} := 7 \cdot ft$	Span for 18" or 33" slabs. (Assumption 3.1.5)			
$\operatorname{span}_{48} := 32 \cdot \operatorname{ft}$	$\operatorname{span}_{48} = 32 \mathrm{fr}$	Span 48" floor slab, see section 6.3.		
$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{span}_{18\text{or}33} \\ \text{span}_{18} \\ \text{span}_{18} \\ \text{span}_{18} \end{array} \right)$		Span 18" roof slab		
span :=	span = $ $ ft	Span 18" floor slab		
span ₁ 8or33		Span 33" roof slab		
span ₄₈	(32)	Span 48" floor slab		
>	5158	Moment 18" roof slab		
$\mathbf{M} := \frac{\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{span}^2}{\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{span}^2}$	M = 4847 lbf.fl per ft	Moment 18" floor slab		
10 10	7239	Moment 33" roof slab		
	(179661)	Moment 48" floor slab		
	(4237	Shear 18" roof slab		
$1.15 \cdot U \cdot b \cdot span$	3981 lbf per ft	Shear 18" floor slab		
$v_{\text{max}} = \frac{2}{2}$	$v_{\text{max}} = 5946$	Shear 33" roof slab		
	(32283)	Shear 48" floor slab		

6.3.5 Check Shear Reinforcement Requirements

For 18" & 33" thick slabs the effective depth of the rebar, d, can be calculated as:

d = h - 3/4" (clr) - $1.5x d_{bar}$ where h is the slab thickness above the metal deck For a # 11 reinforcing bar $d_{bar} = 1.41"$, this results in an effective rebar depth, d, of 15.13" for 18" slab and 30.13" for 33" slab.

48" thick slab has a depression of 18" in some areas (Ref. 2.2.12). For this condition the effective depth of the rebar, d = 48" - 18" - 0.75" - 1.5x1.41" = 27.13"

 $d_{18r} := 15.13 \cdot in$ $d_{18f} := 15.13 \cdot in$ $d_{33} := 30.13 \cdot in$ $d_{48} := 27.13 \cdot in$



Effective depth of the rebar 18" roof slab Effective depth of the rebar 18" floor slab Effective depth of the rebar 33" roof slab Effective depth of the rebar 48" floor slab

<u>Shear strength of concrete</u>, $\phi_{s}V_{c}$:

 $\phi_s := .85$ Strength reduction factor for transverse shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.2.3) b = 12 in See sect 6.3.4.

From ACI 349 Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 11.3.1.1



 $\phi_s V_c > V_{max}$, therefore no transverse shear reinforcement is required.

6.3.6 Compute Reinforcement Required for Bending

The moment capacity of a reinforced concrete slab is computed as : (Ref. 2.2.5, page 102)

$$Mu := \phi_b \cdot f_c \cdot b \cdot d^2 \cdot \omega \cdot (1 - .59 \cdot \omega)$$
Where $\omega := \frac{\rho \cdot f_y}{f_c}$ and $\rho := \frac{A_s}{b \cdot d}$

 $\phi_{h} := .9$ Strength reduction factor for bending, ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.2.1)

Rearranging to solve for ω:

$$\omega(1 - .59\omega) := \frac{M_u}{\phi_b \cdot f_c \cdot b \cdot d^2}$$

Letting the right hand side of the equation to be a constant

$C1 := \frac{\overbrace{M_{max}}}{\varphi_b \cdot f_c \cdot b \cdot d^2}$	$C1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00501\\ 0.00471\\ 0.00177\\ 0.05424 \end{pmatrix}$	Constant for 18" roof slab Constant for 18" floor slab Constant for 33" roof slab Constant for 48" floor slab
$C18r := C1_1$	C18r = 0.00501	Constant for 18" roof slab
$C18f := C1_2$	C18f = 0.00471	Constant for 18" floor slab
C33 := C1 ₃	C33 = 0.00177	Constant for 33" roof slab
$C48 := C1_A$	C48 = 0.05424	Constant for 48" floor slab

Solving for ω:

To solve the polynomial in Mathcad first make a guess at the root, then use the root function in Mathcad to solve for the root based on the initial guess.

Solving for $\omega 18r$:18" roof slabTry $\omega 18r := .00300$ $f1(\omega 18r) := .59 \cdot \omega 18r^2 - \omega 18r + C18r$ root($f1(\omega 18r), \omega 18r$) = 0.00502thus $\omega 18r_act := root(f1(\omega 18r), \omega 18r)$ $\omega 18r_act = 0.00502$ Actual Value of $\omega 18r$

Solving for $\omega_1 8f$:		18" floor slab
Try ω18f := .00300	$f2(\omega 18f) := .59 \cdot \omega 18f^2 - \omega 18f + C18f$	
$root(f2(\omega 18f), \omega 18f) = 0.00472$	thus $\omega 18f_act := root(f2(\omega 18f),$	ω18f)
$\omega 18f_act = 0.00472$	Actual Value of ω18f	
Solving for ω33:		33" roof slab
Try ω33 := .00300	$f3(\omega 33) := .59 \cdot \omega 33^2 - \omega 33 + C33$	
$root(f3(\omega 33), \omega 33) = 0.00177$	thus $\omega 33_act := root(f3(\omega 33), \omega$	33)
$\omega 33_{act} = 0.00177$	Actual Value of ω33	
Solving for ω48:		48" floor slab
Try ω48 := .00300	$f4(\omega 48) := .59 \cdot \omega 48^2 - \omega 48 + C48$	
$root(f4(\omega 48), \omega 48) = 0.0561$	thus $\omega 48_act := root(f4(\omega 48), \omega$	48)
$\omega 48_{act} = 0.0561$	Actual Value of ω48	
$(\omega 18r \text{ act})$	(0.00502)	18" roof slab
ω18f_act	0.00472	18" floor slab
$\omega := \omega 33_act$	$\omega = 0.00177$	33" roof slab
(w48_act)	0.05610	48" floor slab
Required Reinforcing Index		
<u>rioqui ou rionitoronig maon</u>	(0.00042)]	18" roof slab
ω·f _c	0.00039	18" floor slab
$\rho_{req} := \frac{1}{f_v}$	$ \rho_{req} = _{0.00015} _{0.00015}$	33" roof slab
J	0.00467	48" floor slab

Note : See section 6.6.1.3 and 6.6.2.3 for combined reinforcement required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

.

6.4 SLAB DIAPHRAGM DESIGN FOR IN-PLANE (HORIZONTAL) LOADS : ANALYSIS CASES 1 TO 4

6.4.1 Diaphragms for Analysis Cases 1 to 4

See attachment A for plans of the CRCF showing the diaphragms.

Steel for diaphragms will be computed for following diaphragm panels in this section.

Case 1:Roof Diaphragm @ El.100':Col Lines 6-9/D-H (N/S dir)&6-9/E-G (E/W dir):18" slab

Case 2:Roof Diaphragm @ El. 72': Col Lines 9-12/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab

Case 3:Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64': Col Lines 9-12/D-E (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 9-12/G-H (N/S & E/W dir).

Case 4:Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64':Col Lines 2-3/D-E (N/S dir) & 2-6/D-E (E/W dir):18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 2-3/G-H (N/S dir) & 2-6/G-H (E/W dir).



Case 1 : N/S

Case 1 : E/W

Note : For case 1 in the E/W direction the diaphragm is a 3 span system. (Ref. 2.2.9) Conservatively take diaphragm as simple span using the largest span. (Assumption 3.2.2)



Note : For case 4 in the N/S direction the diaphragm is a 3 span system. (Ref. 2.2.9) Conservatively take diaphragm as simple span using the largest span. (Assumption 3.2.2)

6.4.2 Governing Design Loads

Combine dead load and design live load for seismic load calculation.



6.4.3 Weight of North and South Walls (per Foot) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ns} 6.4.3.1 Weight of Exterior Walls (per Foot) : WW_{ns ext}



6.4.3.2 Weight of Interior Walls (per Foot) : WW_{ns int}

	Case 1			
89	Case 2	Diaphragm Spai	ns smic Acceleration	
$S_{ns_{1to4}} := \begin{vmatrix} s \\ 89 \end{vmatrix} \cdot f$	Case 3	See section 6.4.	.1.	
62	Case 4			
Case 1 : No interio	r wall		WWns_int_1 := 0.0·klf	
Case 2 : Interior wa	all @ col line 10 & wing walls	@ col lines E and G	(Ref. 2.2.8 & 2.2.9)	
Interior wall @ col	ine 10			
$H2nsa := \frac{72 \cdot ft - 32}{2}$	$\frac{\mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{H2nsa}}$ = 20 ft	Height		
T2nsa := 2∙ft		Thickness		
L2nsa := 94∙ft		Length		
Wing walls @ col li	nes E and G			
$H2nsb := 100 \cdot ft - 7$	$2 \cdot ft \qquad H2nsb = 28 ft$	Height		
$T2nsb := 8 \cdot ft$		Combined Thick	ness of wing walls	
L2nsb := 40.ft		Length		
WWns_int_2 := $\frac{H2}{H2}$	nsa·T2nsa·L2nsa + H2nsb·T2ns S _{ns_1to42}	wconc	WWns_int_2 = 21.4 klf	
Case 3 : No interio	<u>r wall</u>		WWns_int_3 := 0.0 klf	
Case 4 : No interio	wall		WWns_int_4 := 0.0 klf	
WWns_int_1to4 :=	WWns_int_1 WWns_int_2 WWns_int_3 WWns_int_4	WWns_int_lto4	$4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0 \\ 21.4 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{pmatrix} k lf$	Case 1 Case 2 Case 3 Case 4
Weight of North an Tributary to Diaphra	d South Interior Walls (per for agm	ot)	-	

6.4.3.3 Total Weight of North & South Walls (exterior & interior) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ns}





6.4.4 Weight of East and West Walls (per Foot) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ew}

6.4.4.1 Weight of Exterior Walls (per Foot) : WW_{ew ext}



6.4.4.2 Weight of Interior Walls (per Foot): WW_{ew int}



Case 1 : No interior wall

Case 2 : Interior wall @ col line 10

H2ew :=
$$\frac{72 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$$
 H2ew = 20 ft
T2ew := 2 \cdot ft

 $L2ew := 94 \cdot ft$

WWew_int_2 := $\frac{\text{H2ew} \cdot \text{T2ew} \cdot \text{L2ew} \cdot \text{w}_{\text{conc}}}{\text{S}_{\text{ew}_1\text{to4}_2}}$

Case 3 : No interior wall

Case 4 : Interior walls @ col lines 3 & 5

H4 :=
$$\frac{64 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$$
 H4 = 16 ft

 $T4 := 8 \cdot ft$

 $L4 := 82 \cdot ft$ Length

WWew_int_4 :=
$$\frac{H4 \cdot T4 \cdot L4 \cdot w_{conc}}{S_{ew \ 1to4_4}}$$

WWew_int_1to4 := WWew_int_2 WWew_int_3 WWew int 4 Diaphragm Spans East/West Seismic Acceleration See section 6.4.1. WWew_int_1 := 0.0 klf (Ref. 2.2.8 & 2.2.9)

Height

Thickness

Length

 $WWew_int_2 = 6.0 klf$

WWew_int_3 := 0.0 klf

(Ref. 2.2.7 & 2.2.8)

Height

Combined Thickness of Walls Col 3 and 5

WWew_int_4 = $19.2 \, \text{klf}$



Weight of East and West Interior Walls (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm

6.4.4.3 Total Weight of East & West Walls (exterior & interior) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ew}

WWew_1to4 := WWew_ext_1to4 + WWew_int_1to4
Total weight of East & West Walls (exterior &
interior) (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm

		(19.2)		Case 1
o4 WWew_1to4 =	38.4	3.4 0.0 klf	Case 2	
	30.0		Case 3	
		49.2)	Case 4

25

6.4.5 Design for North/South Seismic Acceleration

6.4.5.1 Moments and Shears



6.4.5.2 Check Shear Strength as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.6)



	(387)	1	
$A_{cv_ns_1to4} =$	141	2ء	
	123	n	
	123		

Case 1	
Case 2	Shear
Case 3	Areas
Case 4	

 $\phi_{diaph} := 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.4)]

Check Limiting Diaphragm Shear Strength

$$\phi V_n \text{ max ns } 1 \text{to4} := \phi_{\text{diaph}} \cdot 8 \cdot A_{\text{cv ns } 1 \text{to4}} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot p \text{si}}$$
 ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.6)





Shear See section 6.4.5.1.

 $\phi V_{n_{max_{ns_{1}to4}}} > V_{ns_{1to4}}$

Limiting diaphragm shear strength satisfied.

6.4.5.3 Shear Reinforcement as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

$$\frac{V_{ns_1to4}}{\phi_{diaph}} := V_{c_ns_1to4} + V_{s_ns_1to4}$$

Total Shear Strength = Concrete Shear Strength + Reinforcing Steel Shear Strength

$$V_{c_ns_{1to4}} := 2 \cdot A_{cv_ns_{1to4}} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

•	(4729)		
1 17	1723	1-1-1	
^{\$\$} diaph ^{.v} c_ns_1to4 =	1503	кір	
	1503		

Case 1			9116		
Case 2	Shear	V –	2836	kin	Shear
Case 3	Strength	vns_1to4 -	2102	See section	
Case 4			(1400)		0.4.0.1.

For cases 1 to 3 the concrete shear strength [$\phi_{diaph} V_{c_ns_1to4}$] is less than the shear demand [V_{ns_1to4}] therefore shear reinforcing is required. For case 4 the concrete shear strength is more than the shear demand, therefore shear reinforcing is not required.



V _{s_ns_1to4rev} =	7313	kip	Case 1	
	1855		Case 2	Required Reinforcing Shear Strength
	999		Case 3	("0 kip" indicates that no shear reinforcing is required.)
	0	/	Case 4	

6.4.5.4 Required Reinforcement

$$\rho_{req_ns_1to4} \coloneqq \frac{V_{s_ns_1to4rev}}{A_{cv ns _1to4} \cdot f_{v}}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

	(0.00219)	Case 1	
	0.00152	Case 2	
$\rho_{req_ns_1to4} =$	0.00094	Case 3 (total	(total required Reinforcement
	0.00000	Case 4	(

Note : See section 6.6.1.3 for total steel required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

6.4.6 Design for East/West Seismic Acceleration

6.4.6.1 Moments and Shears



6.4.6.2 Check Shear Strength as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.6)



[Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.4)] $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$

Check Limiting Diaphragm Shear Strength

 $\phi V_{n_max_ew_lto4} := \phi_{diaph} \cdot 8 \cdot A_{cv_ew_lto4} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$ ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.6)



Shear See section 6.4.6.1.

kip

 $\phi V_{n_{max}_{ew_{1}to4}} > V_{ew_{1to4}}$

Limiting diaphragm shear strength satisfied.

6.4.6.3 Shear Reinforcement as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

$$\frac{V_{ew_1to4}}{\phi_{diaph}} := V_{c_ew_1to4} + V_{s_ew_1to4}$$

$$V_{c_{ew_1to4}} := 2 \cdot A_{cv_{ew_1to4}} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

Reinforcing Steel Shear Strength

Total Shear Strength = Concrete Shear Strength +

	(1723)	
A V -	1631	1-1-1-1-
$^{\varphi}$ diaph ^{·v} c_ew_1to4 =	1631	кір
	2639	ļ

Case 1	Shear Strength		(3756)	3756 3334 2443 3978	
Case 2		V _{ew_1to4} =	3334		Snears See section
Case 3			2443		6.4.6.1.
Case 4			3978		

For cases 1 to 4 the concrete shear strength [$\phi_{diaph} V_{c_ew_1to4}$] is less than the shear demand [V_{ew_1to4}] therefore shear reinforcing is required.

$$V_{s_ew_1to4} := \frac{V_{ew_1to4}}{\phi_{diaph}} - V_{c_ew_1to4}$$



6.4.6.4 Required Reinforcement

$$\rho_{\text{req_ew_lto4}} \coloneqq \frac{V_{\text{s_ew_lto4}}}{A_{\text{cv ew lto4}} \cdot f_{\text{y}}}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

	(0.00278)	Case 1	
$\rho_{req_ew_1to4} =$	0.00246	Case 2	
	0.00117	Case 3	Required Reinforcement (total required on 2 faces)
	0.00120	Case 4	

Note : See section 6.6.1.3 for combined reinforcement required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

6.4.7 Design Chord Steel

See attachment B for typical chord steel for diaphragm.

6.4.7.1 North/South Seismic Acceleration



258

94

82

82

Case 2	Diaphragm Moment
Case 3	See section 6.4.5.1.
Case 4	
Case 1	
Case 2	Diaphragm Depths
Case 3	See section 6.4.5.1.
Case 4	

Chord Force (CF):

 $DD_{ns_1to4} =$

CE im	M _{ns_1to4}
$Cr_{ns_1to4} =$.9.DD _{ns 1to4}

Note : 0.9 x Depth is taken as lever arm from centroid of compression stress block to centroid of chord reinforcing steel, thus chord steel will be provided over a witdh equal to 10% of the diaphragm depth. See attachment B.

	(923)	
CE	746	1
$CF_{ns_1to4} =$	634	кір
	294	

Case 1	
Case 2	Chord Forces
Case 3	
Case 4	

Required Chord Steel (Ach):

$$\phi_{\rm b} = 0.9$$

Strength reduction factor for bending ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.2.1)

		(17.1)		Case 1
$\sim \frac{CF_{ns_1to4}}{CF_{ns_1to4}}$	$A_{ch_{ns_{1to4}}} =$	13.8	in ²	Case 2
$h_{ch_ns_1to4} = \phi_b f_y$		11.7		Case 3
		5.4		Case 4

Required Chord Steel

Provided Chord Steel (Ach):

Use 18, 14,12 & 6 - # 9 bars for cases 1, 2, 3 & 4 respectively (N/S Seismic Acceleration).

Chord steel provided = 18, 14, 12 & 6 in² for cases 1, 2, 3 & 4 respectively (N/S Seismic Acceleration).

See section 6.6.1.7 for chord steel provided.

6.4.7.2 East/West Seismic Acceleration



Case 3	See section 6.4.6.1.
Case 4	
Case 1	
Case 2	Diaphragm Depths
Case 3	See section 6.4.6.1.
Case 4	

Chord Force (CF):

$$CF_{ew_1to4} := \frac{M_{ew_1to4}}{.9 \cdot DD_{ew_1to4}}$$

89

144

1043 978 $CF_{ew_{1to4}} =$ kip 625 629

Case 1 Case 2

Case 3 Case 4

Case 1	
Case 2	Chord Forces
Case 3	
Caso 4	

Required Chord Steel (Ach):

 $\phi_{\rm b} = 0.9$

Strength reduction factor for bending ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.2.1)

in

		(19.3)
CF _{ew_lto4}		18.1
$A_{ch}_{ew}_{1to4} := -\frac{\phi_b \cdot f_v}{\phi_b \cdot f_v}$	$^{A}ch_{ew_{1to4}} =$	11.6
5		11.7

Required Chord Steel

Provided Chord Steel (Ach):

Use 20, 19, 12 & 12 - # 9 bars for cases 1, 2, 3 & 4 respectively (E/W Seismic Acceleration). Chord steel provided = 20,19,12 & 12 in² for cases 1, 2, 3 & 4 respectively (E/W Seismic Acceleration). See section 6.6.1.7 for chord steel provided.

6.5 SLAB DIAPHRAGM DESIGN FOR IN-PLANE (HORIZONTAL) LOADS : ANALYSIS CASES 5 TO 8

6.5.1 Diaphragms for Analysis Cases 5 to 8

See attachment A for plans of the CRCF showing the diaphragms.

Steel for diaphragms will be computed for following diaphragm panels in this section.

- Case 5: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64':Col Lines 2-5/E-G (N/S & E/W dir):33" slabCase 6: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32':Col Lines 6-9/E-G (N/S & E/W dir):48" slab
- Case 7: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 12-13/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 1-2/E-G (N/S & E/W dir). 18" slab
- Case 8: Floor Diaphragm @ El.32':Col Lines 2-3/D-E (N/S dir)&2-12/D-E(E/W dir):18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 2-3/G-H (N/S dir) & 2-12/G-H (E/W dir).







Note : For case 8 in the N/S direction the diaphragm is a 7 span system. (Ref. 2.2.7) Conservatively take diaphragm as simple span using the largest span. (Assumption 3.2.2)

6.5.2 Governing Design Loads

Combine dead load and design live load for seismic load combination. See section 6.4.2.



18" roof slab 18" floor slab 33" roof slab 48" floor slab

 $U_{5to8} =$



35

6.5.3 Weight of North and South Walls (per Foot) Tributary to Diaphragm: WW_{ns} 6.5.3.1 Weight of Exterior Walls (per Foot): WW_{ns ext}



6.5.3.2 Weight of Interior Walls (per Foot): WW_{ns_int}

(104)	Case 5					
$S_{ns_{5to8}} := \begin{vmatrix} 94 \\ 94 \\ 43 \end{vmatrix}$	Case 6 • ft Case 7			Diaphragr North/Sou	n Spans Ith Seismic Accelei	ration
62) Case 8			See Secil	511 0.5.1.	
Case 5 : Interior v	vall @ col line 4			(Ref. 2.2.7	7 & 2.2.8)	
$H5ns := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32}{2}$	<u>2-ft</u>	H5ns =	16 ft	Height		
T5ns := $4 \cdot ft$	Thickness	L5ns :=	94·ft	Length		
WWns_int_5 := $\frac{H}{2}$	<u>I5ns·T5ns·L5ns</u> .w _{co} S _{ns_5to81}	nc		WWns_in	$t_5 = 8.7 \mathrm{klf}$	
Case 6 : Interior v	valls @ col lines 7,	<u>8, E.3, F</u>	and F.7	(Ref. 2.2.3	7 & 2.2.8)	
H6ns := $\frac{32 \cdot ft - f}{2}$	<u>0.ft</u>	H6ns =	16 ft	Height	T6ns := 4 ⋅ ft	Thickness
L7or8 := 94.ft 2	2 Walls @ 7 or 8	Lgth := ((44 + 32)ft	3 Walls @ col lines 6) E.3, F or F.7 betv & 7 and 8 & 9	veen
L6ns := 2·L7or8	+ 3·Lgth	L6ns = 4	416 ft	Total leng	th	
WWns_int_6 := ·	H6ns T6ns L6ns Sns_5to82	onc		WWns_in	$t_{6} = 42.5 \text{klf}$	
<u>Case 7 : No interi</u>	or wall			WWns_in	$t_7 := 0.0 \cdot klf$	
<u>Case 8 : No interi</u>	or wall			WWns_in	t_8 := 0.0·klf	
	(WWns_int_5)	Г		(8.7)		Case 5
WWns int 5to8 :=	WWns_int_6	1	WWns int 5to	8 = 42.5	klf	Case 6
<u> </u>	WWns_int_7			0.0		Case 7
	(WWns_int_8)			(0.0)		Case 8

Weight of North and South Interior Walls (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm

6.5.3.3 Total Weight of North & South Walls (exterior & interior) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ns}

Total weight of North & South Walls (exterior & interior) (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm



.

6.5.4 Weight of East and West Walls (per Foot) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ew} 6.5.4.1 Weight of Exterior Walls (per Foot) : WW_{ew_ext}

	Tributary Heigh	t of Wall 7 (Eas	<u>t wall)</u> :	Thickness of Wall 7 (Ea	ast wall):
$Hw7_5 := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$		$Hw7_5 = 16 ft$	Case 5 : Col line		Case 5
$Hw7_6 := \frac{72 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$	$\frac{dt}{dt} + \frac{32 \cdot ft - 0 \cdot ft}{2}$	$Hw7_6 = 36 ft$	Case 6 : Col line	$e 9 \qquad Tw7 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot ft$	Case 7 Case 8
$Hw7_7 := \frac{32 \cdot ft - 0 \cdot ft}{2}$		$Hw7_7 = 16 ft$	Case 7 : Col line	e 13	
$Hw7_8 := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{32 \cdot ft - 0 \cdot ft}{2}$	$Hw7_8 = 32 ft$	Case 8 : Col line	e 12	
(Hw7_5)		6	Case 5 : Col line	e 5	
$Hw7 := Hw7_6$	Hw7 = 3	6 fi	Case 6 : Col line	e 9	
Hw7_7	1	6	Case 7 : Col line	e 13	
(Hw7_8)	3	2)	Case 8 : Col line	e 12	
	Tributary Heigh	t of Wall 8 (Wes	st wall) :	Thickness of Wall 8 (W	est wall) :
$Hw8_5 := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$		$\overline{Hw8}_5 = 16 \mathrm{ff}$	Case 5 : Col line	e 2 (4)	Case 5
$Hw8_6 := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$	$\frac{32 \cdot ft - 0ft}{2}$	$Hw8_6 = 32 ft$	Case 6 : Col line	$e 6 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} Tw8 := \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot ft$	Case 7
$Hw8_7 := \frac{72 \cdot ft - 32ft}{2}$	$+\frac{32\cdot\mathrm{ft}-0\mathrm{ft}}{2}$	$Hw8_7 = 36 ft$	Case 7 : Col line	e 12	00000
$Hw8_8 := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$	$\frac{32 \cdot ft - 0ft}{2}$	$Hw8_8 = 32 ft$	Case 8 : Col line	e 2	
(Hw8 5)	71	6	Case 5 : Col line	e 2	
Hw8_6		2	Case 6 : Col line	e 6	
Hw8 := Hw8 7	$Hw8 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	- ft 6 ft	Case 7 : Col line	e 12	
$\left(\begin{array}{c} -\\ Hw8_8 \end{array} \right)$	3	2)	Case 8 : Col line	e 2	
	<u> </u>			(19.2)	Case 5
WWaw avt 5400 Fr		\rightarrow	WWW out 54-0	40.8	Case 6
·····ew_ext_5000.= [(]	1W/1W/ + NW0	conc_			Case 7
Weight of East and W Tributary to Diaphragr	'est Exterior Wa n	lls (per foot)		(38.4)	Case 8

6.5.4.2 Weight of Interior Walls (per Foot) : $WW_{ew_{int}}$

	Casa F		
$S_{ew,5to8} := \begin{vmatrix} 94 \\ -94 \end{vmatrix} \cdot ft$	Case 6	Diaphragm Spans	
94	Case 7	East/West Seismic Acceler	ration
(82)	Case 8	See section 6.5.1.	
Case 5 : Interior wall @ col line 4		(Ref. 2.2.7 & 2.2.8)	
$H5ew := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2}$	H5ew = 16 ft	Height	
T5ew := 4.ft Thickness	$L5ew := 94 \cdot ft$	Length	
WWew_int_5 := $\frac{\text{H5ew} \cdot \text{T5ew} \cdot \text{L5ew}}{\text{S}_{\text{ew}_5\text{to8}_1}}$	·wconc	WWew_int_5 = 9.6 klf	
Case 6 : Interior walls @ col lines	7, 8, E.3, F and F.7	(Ref. 2.2.7 & 2.2.8)	
Note : Spans for North and South	walls (94') is same as	spans for East and West wa	alls (94').
WWew_int_6 := WWns_int_6	See section 6.5.3.2.	$WWew_int_6 = 42.5 klf$	
Case 7 : No interior wall		WWew_int_7 := 0.0·klf	
Case 8 : Interior walls @ col lines	<u>3, 5, 6, 8, 9 (4' thk wa</u>	lls) & <u>11 (2' thk wall)</u>	(Ref. 2.2.7 & 2.2.8)
H8a := $\frac{64 \cdot ft - 32 \cdot ft}{2} + \frac{32 \cdot ft - 0 \cdot ft}{2}$	H8a = 32 ft	Height of walls @ col 3, 5,	6&9
$H8b := \frac{32 \cdot ft - 0 \cdot ft}{2}$	H8b = 16 ft	Height of walls @ col 8 & 1	1
$T8a := 4 \cdot 4 \cdot ft$	T8a = 16 ft	Combined thickness of wal	ls @ col 3, 5, 6 & 9
$T8b := 4 \cdot ft + 2 \cdot ft$	T8b = 6 ft	Combined thickness	L8 := 82.ft Length
(H8a·T8a + H8b·T	`8b)·L8·w _{conc}		
Sew_5ta	08 ₄	$w wew_int_8 = 91.2 km$	
(WWew_int_5)	}	(9.6	Case 5
WWew_int_6	WW and int 5	42.5	Case 6
WWew_int_7			Case 7
WWew_int_8) [(91.2)	Case 8
Weight of East and West Interior V	Nalls (per foot)		

Tributary to Diaphragm

6.5.4.3 Total Weight of East & West Walls (exterior & interior) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ew}

WWew_5to8 := WWew_ext_5to8 + WWew_int_5to8

Total weight of East & West Walls (exterior & interior) (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm

.

	28.8		Case 5
WWew_5to8 =	83.3		Case 6
	26.4	klf	Case 7
	129.6		Case 8

6.5.5 Design for North/South Seismic Acceleration

6.5.5.1 Moments and Shears



6.5.5.2 Check Shear Strength as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.6)



 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.4)]

Check Limiting Diaphragm Shear Strength

$$\phi V_{n_{max_{ns_{5to8}}:=} \phi_{diaph^{\cdot 8 \cdot A} cv_{ns_{5to8}} \cdot \sqrt{f_{c^{\cdot}psi}}}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.6)



$$\phi V_{n_max_ns_5to8} > V_{ns_5to8}$$

Limiting diaphragm shear strength satisfied.

6.5.5.3 Shear Reinforcement as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

$$\frac{V_{ns_5to8}}{\phi_{diaph}} := V_{c_ns_5to8} + V_{s_ns_5to8}$$

$$V_{c_ns_{5to8}} := 2 \cdot A_{cv_ns_{5to8}} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$$

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} \cdot V_{c_{ns_{5}5to8}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3159 \\ 2871 \\ 1723 \\ 1503 \end{pmatrix} \text{kip}$ Case 5 Case 6 Case 7 Case 8 Total Shear Strength = Concrete Shear Strength + Reinforcing Steel Shear Strength

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)



For cases 5, 6 and 8 the concrete shear strength [$\phi_{diaph} V_{c_{ns}5to8}$] is less than the shear demand [$V_{ns_{5to8}}$] therefore shear reinforcing is required. For case 7 the concrete shear strength is more than the shear demand, therefore shear reinforcing is not required.



6.5.5.4 Required Reinforcement

$$\rho_{req_ns_5to8} := \frac{V_{s_ns_5to8rev}}{A_{cv_ns_5to8} \cdot f_y}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

	(0.00044)	Case 5	
-	0.00115	Case 6	Required Reinforcement
^p req_ns_5to8 =	0	Case 7	(total required on 2 faces)
	(0.00009)	Case 8	

Note : See section 6.6.2.3 for total steel required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

6.5.6 Design for East/West Seismic Acceleration

6.5.6.1 Moments and Shears



6.5.6.2 Check Shear Strength as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.6)



 $A_{cv_ew_5to8} := (DD_{ew_5to8} \cdot h_{5to8})$

$A_{cv_ew_5to8} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	(286)		Case 5	
	235	₆ 2	Case 6	Shear
	65	14	Case 7	Areas
	490	0)	Case 8	

 $\phi_{diaph} = 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.4)]

Check Limiting Diaphragm Shear Strength

$$\phi V_{n_{max}_{ew}_{5to8}} := \phi_{diaph} \cdot 8 \cdot A_{cv_{ew}_{5to8}} \cdot \sqrt{f_{c} \cdot ps_{i}}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.6)

	(13978)		
$\phi V_{n_max_ew_{5to8}} =$	11486	kip	
	3152		
	23973		

Case 5			(3839)		
Case 6	Shear Strength	V –	4769	769 lin	Shear See section
Case 7		*ew_5to8 -	1371	KIP	6.5.6.1.
Case 8			7232)	

 $\phi V_{n_max_ew_{5to8}} > V_{ew_{5to8}}$

Limiting diaphragm shear strength satisfied.

6.5.6.3 Shear Reinforcement as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)

$$\frac{V_{ew_5to8}}{\phi_{diaph}} \coloneqq V_{c_{ew_5to8}} + V_{s_{ew_5to8}}$$

$$V_{c_{ew_{5to8}} := 2 \cdot A_{cv_{ew_{5to8}} \cdot \sqrt{f_{c} \cdot psi}}$$

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} \cdot V_{c_{ew_{5to8}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3495\\2871\\788\\5993 \end{pmatrix}} kip$

Total Shear Strength = Concrete Shear Strength + Reinforcing Steel Shear Strength

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)



For cases 5 to 8 the concrete shear strength [$\phi_{diaph} V_{c_{ew_{5to8}}}$] is less than the shear demand [$V_{ew_{5to8}}$] therefore shear reinforcing is required.

$$V_{s_{ew_{5to8}} := \frac{V_{ew_{5to8}}}{\phi_{diaph}} - V_{c_{ew_{5to8}}}$$



Case 6 Case 7 Case 7 Case 8

6.5.6.4 Required Reinforcement

$$\rho_{\text{req_ew}_5to8} \coloneqq \frac{V_{\text{s_ew}_5to8}}{A_{\text{cv ew }5to8} \cdot f_{\text{v}}}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.5.2)



Note : See section 6.6.2.3 for total steel required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

6.5.7 Design Chord Steel

See attachment B for typical chord steel for diaphragm.

6.5.7.1 North/South Seismic Acceleration



Case 6	Diaphragm Moment
Case 7	See section 6.5.5.1.
Case 8	
Case 5	
Case 6	Diaphragm Depths
Case 7	See section 6.5.5.1.
Case 8	

Chord Force (CF):

$$CF_{ns_5to8} \coloneqq \frac{M_{ns_5to8}}{.9 \cdot DD_{ns} \cdot 5to8}$$

Note : 0.9 x Depth is taken as lever arm from centroid of compression stress block to centroid of chord reinforcing steel, thus chord steel will be provided over a witdh equal to 10% of the diaphragm depth. See attachment B.

	(1154)		
CF _{ns_5to8} =	1187	Inim	
	90	кір	
	328)		

Case 5	
Case 6	Chord Forces
Case 7	
Case 8	

Required Chord Steel (Ach):

 $\phi_b = 0.9$

Strength reduction factor for bending ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.2.1)

$$A_{ch_ns_5to8} \coloneqq \frac{CF_{ns_5to8}}{\phi_b \cdot f_y}$$

	(21.4)	<u>۱</u>	Case 5
A _{ch_ns_5to8} =	22.0	in ²	Case 6
	1.7		Case 7
	6.1		Case 8

Case 6 Case 7 R€

Required Chord Steel

Provided Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

Use 22, 22, 2 & 7 - # 9 bars for cases 5, 6, 7 & 8 respectively (N/S Seismic Acceleration).

Chord steel provided = 22, 22, 2 & 7 in² for cases 5, 6, 7 & 8 respectively (N/S Seismic Acceleration).

See section 6.6.2.7 for chord steel provided.

6.5.7.2 East/West Seismic Acceleration



	104		
DD _{ew_5to8} =	94	6	
	43	п	
	327)	

Case 7	
Case 8	
Case 5	
Case 6	
Case 7	
Case 8	

Case 5

Case 6

See section 6.5.6.1.	

Diaphragm Moment

Diaphragm Depths See section 6.5.6.1.

Chord Force (CF):

$$CF_{ew_{5to8}} \coloneqq \frac{M_{ew_{5to8}}}{.9 \cdot DD_{ew_{5to8}}}$$

CF _{ew_5to8} =	964	
	1325	
	833	k1p
	504	

Note : 0.9 x Depth is taken as lever arm from centroid of compression stress block to centroid of chord reinforcing steel, thus chord steel will be provided over a witdh equal to 10% of the diaphragm depth. See attachment B.

Case 5	
Case 6	Chord Forces
Case 7	0.000
Case 8	

$$\phi_{\rm b} = 0.9$$

Strength reduction factor for bending ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 9.3.2.1)

$$A_{ch}_{ew}_{5to8} := \frac{CF_{ew}_{5to8}}{\phi_{b} \cdot f_{y}} \qquad \qquad A_{ch}_{ew}_{5to8} = \begin{pmatrix} 17.9 \\ 24.5 \\ 15.4 \\ 9.3 \end{pmatrix} in^{2}$$

Case 8

Required Chord Steel

Provided Chord Steel (Ach):

Use 18, 25, 16 & 10 - # 9 bars for cases 5, 6, 7 & 8 respectively (E/W Seismic Acceleration).

Chord steel provided = 18,25,16 & 10 in² for cases 5, 6, 7 & 8 respectively (E/W Seismic Acceleration).

See section 6.6.2.7 for chord steel provided.

6.6 REINFORCEMENT FOR SLAB AND CHORD

6.6.1 Analysis Cases 1 to 4

See attachment A for plans of the CRCF showing the diaphragms.

- Case 1:Roof Diaphragm @ El.100':Col Lines 6-9/D-H (N/S dir)&6-9/E-G (E/W dir):18" slab
- Case 2:Roof Diaphragm @ El. 72': Col Lines 9-12/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab
- Case 3:Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64': Col Lines 9-12/D-E (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 9-12/G-H (N/S & E/W dir).
- Case 4:Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64':Col Lines 2-3/D-E (N/S dir) & 2-6/D-E (E/W dir):18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 2-3/G-H (N/S dir) & 2-6/G-H (E/W dir).
- 6.6.1.1 Slab Reinforcement for Out-of-Plane (Vertical) Loads : See section 6.3.6. Note : Reinforcement is required for one face of diaphragm.



6.6.1.2 Slab Reinforcement for In-Plane (Horizontal) Loads : See sections 6.4.5.4 and 6.4.6.4. Note : Reinforcement is required for total two faces of diaphragm.

N/S Seismic



6.6.1.3 Combined Reinforcement Reg'd

(Reinforcement required per face of diaphragm)

$$\rho_{req_to4_{1}} \coloneqq \left(\begin{array}{c} \rho_{req_1to4_{1}} + \frac{\max\left(\rho_{req_ns_1to4_{1}}, \rho_{req_ew_1to4_{1}}\right)}{2} \\ \rho_{req_1to4_{2}} + \frac{\max\left(\rho_{req_ns_1to4_{2}}, \rho_{req_ew_1to4_{2}}\right)}{2} \\ \rho_{req_1to4_{2}} + \frac{\max\left(\rho_{req_ns_1to4_{3}}, \rho_{req_ew_1to4_{3}}\right)}{2} \\ \rho_{req_1to4_{3}} + \frac{\max\left(\rho_{req_ns_1to4_{3}}, \rho_{req_ew_1to4_{3}}\right)}{2} \\ \rho_{req_1to4_{4}} + \frac{\max\left(\rho_{req_ns_1to4_{4}}, \rho_{req_ew_1to4_{4}}\right)}{2} \\ \end{array} \right)$$
Case 3
Case 4





6.6.1.4 Minimum Reinforcement Reg'd

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.2.1) specifies that the minimum reinforcement for diaphragms shall be in conformance with ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 7.12).

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 7.12.5) specifies that where reinforcement is required the ratio of reinforcement provided on the tension face shall not be less than .0018 times the gross concrete area.



Case 1 Case 2 slab thickness, see section 6.4.5.2. Case 3 Case 4



See section 6.3.4.

 $As_{req_1to4_min} := 0.0018 \cdot b \cdot h_{1to4}$



6.6.1.5 Slab Reinforcement Req'd

$$As_{reqd_1to4} := \begin{pmatrix} max(As_{req_1to4_1}, As_{req_1to4_min_1}) \\ max(As_{req_1to4_2}, As_{req_1to4_min_2}) \\ max(As_{req_1to4_3}, As_{req_1to4_min_3}) \\ max(As_{req_1to4_4}, As_{req_1to4_min_4}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \end{bmatrix} in^2$$

$$Bar(As_{req_1to4_3}, As_{req_1to4_min_3}) \\ Bar(As_{req_1to4_4}, As_{req_1to4_min_4}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Case 1$$

$$Case 2$$

$$Case 3$$

$$Case 4$$

6.6.1.6 Slab Reinforcement Provided

Provide # 7 bars @ 12" on center, both ways, top & bottom for cases 1 to 4.

	(0.60)			Case 1 : 18" thick roof slab @ el. 100
$As_{prov_1to4} := \begin{pmatrix} 0.0\\ 0.6\\ 0.6\\ 0.6 \end{pmatrix}$	0.60		per foot	Case 2 : 18" thick roof slab @ el. 72'
	0.60	∙in		Case 3 : 18" thick roof slab @ el. 64'
	0.60			Case 4 : 18" thick roof slab @ el. 64'

Reinforcement provided for cases 1 to 4 is more than required.

$$RR_{1 \text{ to} 4} := \overbrace{\left(\frac{As_{reqd} 1 \text{ to} 4}{As_{prov} 1 \text{ to} 4}\right)}^{As_{reqd} 1 \text{ to} 4}$$

$$RR_{1 \text{ to} 4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.65\\0.65\\0.65\\0.65 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Reinforcement Ratio$$

$$Case 1$$

$$Case 2$$

$$Case 3$$

$$Case 4$$

6.6.1.7 Chord Steel Provided : See sections 6.4.7.1 & 6.4.7.2.

See attachment B for typical chord steel for diaphragm.

Chord Steel Case 1 (El. 100')

18 - # 9 bars along column lines D/H (between col lines 6 & 9) 20 - # 9 bars along column lines 6/9 (between col lines D & H)

Chord Steel Case 2 (El. 72')

14 - # 9 bars along column lines E/G (between col lines 9 & 12) 19 - # 9 bars along column lines 9/12 (between col lines E & G)

Chord Steel Case 3 (El. 64')

12 - # 9 bars along column lines D/E & G/H (between col lines 9 & 12) 12 - # 9 bars along column lines 9/12 (between col lines D/E & G/H)

Chord Steel Case 4 (El. 64')

6 - # 9 bars along column lines D/E & G/H (between col lines 2 & 6) 12 - # 9 bars along column lines 2/6 (between col lines D/E & G/H)

6.6.2 Analysis Cases 5 to 8

See attachment A for plans of the CRCF showing the diaphragms.

Case 5: Roof Diaphra	agm @ El.	64':Col Lines 2-	-5/E-G (N/S &	& E/W dir): 33" slab

- Case 6: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32':Col Lines 6-9/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 48" slab
- Case 7: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 12-13/E-G (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 1-2/E-G (N/S & E/W dir).
- Case 8: Floor Diaphragm @ El.32':Col Lines 2-3/D-E (N/S dir)&2-12/D-E(E/W dir):18" slab Also applies to Col Lines 2-3/G-H (N/S dir) & 2-12/G-H (E/W dir).

6.6.2.1 Slab Reinforcement for Out-of-Plane (Vertical) Loads : See section 6.3.6. Note : Reinforcement is required for one face of diaphragm.



6.6.2.2 Slab Reinforcement for In-Plane (Horizontal) Loads : See sections 6.5.5.4 and 6.5.6.4. Note : Reinforcement is required for total two faces of diaphragm.

E/W Seismic

^preq ew_5to8

N/S Seismic



6.6.2.3 Combined Reinforcement Reg'd

(Reinforcement required per face of diaphragm)

0.00023

0.00156

0.00174

0.00049

Case 5

Case 6

Case 7

Case 8



CRCF Diaphragm Design



6.6.2.4 Minimum Reinforcement Req'd

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 21.6.2.1) specifies that the minimum reinforcement for diaphragms shall be in conformance with ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 7.12).

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.3, sect. 7.12.5) specifies that where reinforcement is required the ratio of reinforcement provided on the tension face shall not be less than .0018 times the gross concrete area.



b = 1 ft See section 6.3.4.

 $As_{req_5to8_min} := 0.0018 \cdot b \cdot h_{5to8max}$



Minimum Reinforcement Req'd

Case 5

Case 6

Case 7

Case 8

6.6.2.5 Slab Reinforcement Req'd

$$As_{reqd_5to8} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} max(As_{req_5to8_1}, As_{req_5to8_min_1}) \\ max(As_{req_5to8_2}, As_{req_5to8_min_2}) \\ max(As_{req_5to8_3}, As_{req_5to8_min_3}) \\ max(As_{req_5to8_4}, As_{req_5to8_min_4}) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 0.71 \\ 1.78 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \end{bmatrix} in^2$$

$$Case 5$$

$$Case 6$$

$$Case 7$$

$$Case 7$$

$$Case 8$$

6.6.2.6 Slab Reinforcement Provided

Provide # 9 bars @ 12" on center, both ways, top & bottom for case 5. Provide # 10 bars @ 6" on center, both ways, top & bottom for case 6. Provide # 7 bars @ 12" on center, both ways, top & bottom for cases 7 & 8.



Case 5 : 33" thick roof slab @ el. 64' Case 6 : 48" thick floor slab @ el. 32' Case 7 : 18" thick roof slab @ el. 32' Case 8 : 18" thick floor slab @ el. 32'

Reinforcement provided for cases 5 to 8 is more than required.

$$RR_{5to8} := \overbrace{\left(\frac{As_{reqd}_{5to8}}{As_{prov}_{5to8}}\right)}^{\text{Case 5}}$$

$$RR_{5to8} = \begin{cases} 0.71\\ 0.70\\ 0.65\\ 0.65 \end{cases}$$
Reinforcement Ratio
Case 6
Case 7
Case 8

6.6.2.7 Chord Steel Provided : See sections 6.5.7.1 & 6.5.7.2.

See attachment B for typical chord steel for diaphragm.

Chord Steel Case 5 (El. 64')

22 - # 9 bars along column lines E/G (between col lines 2 & 6) 18 - # 9 bars along column lines 2/5 (between col lines E & G)

Chord Steel Case 6 (El. 32')

22 - # 9 bars along column lines E/G (between col lines 6 & 9) 25 - # 9 bars along column lines 6/9 (between col lines E & G)

Chord Steel Case 7 (El. 32')

2 - #9 bars along column lines E/G (between col lines 1 & 2 and 12 & 13)

16 - #9 bars along column lines 1/2 & 12/13 (between col lines E & G)

Chord Steel Case 8 (El. 32')

7 - #9 bars along column lines D/E & G/H (between col lines 2 & 12)

10 - # 9 bars along column lines 2/12 (between col lines D/E & G/H)

7.0 **RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

7.1 **RESULTS**

The results from this calculation are as follows.

- 7.1.1 Slab reinforcement provided : See sections 6.6.1.6 and 6.6.2.6.
 - 18" thick slabs @ el. 100', 72', 64' & 32':

7 reinforcing bars @ 12" on centers, both ways, top & bottom

• 33" thick slab @ el. 64':

9 reinforcing bars @ 12" on centers, both ways, top & bottom

• 48" thick slabs @ el. 32":

10 reinforcing bars @ 6" on centers, both ways, top & bottom

• Reinforcement Ratio = Reinforcement required / Reinforcement provided

Cases 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 & 8 : 0.65 Case 5 : 0.71 Case 6 : 0.70

7.1.2 Chord reinforcement provided :

• See sections 6.6.1.7 and 6.6.2.7.

7.2 CONCLUSIONS

Results from this calculation demonstrate that for the slabs investigated a reasonable slab design is achieved for the imposed design loads. The slab reinforcement provided in section 7.1.1 of the calculation is reasonable for the type of structure under consideration and the types of loads applied to this structure. The Reinforcement Ratio (see section 7.1.1) shows that there is adequate margin for use in consideration of larger seismic events in the probabilistic risk assessment.

Chord reinforcement provided in section 7.1.2 is based on conservatively putting the reinforcement as shown in attachment B so that the lever arm from centroid of compression stress block to centroid of chord reinforcement is 0.9 x Depth of diaphragm. During the detailed design phase of the project three dimensional finite element model will yield reduction in the chord reinforcement required.









PAGE B-1