BSC

Design Calculation or Analysis Cover Sheet

1. QA: QA

2. Page 1

			Co	mplete only applica	ble items.	2.	raye i
3. Sy	3. System 4. Document Identifier						
Rece	ipt Facility				200-DBC-RF00-002	00-000-00C	
5. Titl	е						
Rece	ipt Facility Concrete Diaphragm Des	ign			· · · · ·		
6. Gr	pup						
Civil	/Architectural/Structural (CSA)						and the second
7. Do	cument Status Designation				-	— • • • •	
0.11		eliminary		Committed	Confirmed		Superseded
8. NO	tes/Comments	Surendro (Goal				
Sectio	ns 5, 6, & Attachment A – Checked by Anant	Varkekar	J061				
			Attach	ments			Total Number of Pages
Attac	chment A – RF Bldg. Roof and Floor	Plans					6
			RE	CORD OF REVISI	ONS	-	
9. No.	10. Reason For Revision	11. Total # of Pgs.	12. Last Pg. #	13. Originator (Print/Sign/Date)	14. Checker (Print/Sign/Date)	15. EGS (Print/Sign/Date)	16. Approved/Accepted (Print/Sign/Date)
00A	Initial Issue	152	B6	R. Nelson	R. Baylosis	J. Bisset	R. Rajagopal
008	Taking corrective action this calculation is revised to address CR 10960. The tables in Section 6.5 (pgs 121 and 122) are corrected to agree with the body of the calculation and the calculation of the diaphragm shear reinforcing is corrected. Incorrect original material is deleted. Minor editorial and typographical changes made. Corrected metal deck thickness. The required bending reinforcing is also recalculated to permit the accurate determination of the required diaphragm plus bending reinforcing. The procedures referenced on pgs. 4 and 5 are updated to the current revisions and the calculation is brought up to the current calculation requirements. Affects pages: 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 19, 20, 26, 28, 34, 40, 46, 52, 54 thru 59, 60, 63, 66, 68, 70, 72, 76, 78, 80, 84, 87, 89, 93, 95, 98, 99, 101, 106, 108, 109, 110, 112, 113, 115, 116, 118, 119, 121, 122, B1	152	B6	John Bisset	Dariusz Karpinski	Thomas Frankert	Raj Rajagopal
00C	Taking corrective action this calculation is revised to address CR 11530. The entire calculation is completely revised and reformatted. Attachments A & C from the previous calculation have been removed and Attachment B is renamed to Attachment A	77	A6	Tyson Day	Surendra Goel 1/29/08 Anant Varkekar $AR^{1}O^{2}$ 1/29/08	Thomas Frankert Thomas for the thomas of the	Raj Rajagopal

DISCLAIMER

The calculations contained in this document were developed by Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC (BSC) and are intended solely for the use of BSC in its work for the Yucca Mountain Project.

CONTENTS

	Paç	je
1.	PURPOSE	. 4
2.	REFERENCES 2.1 PROCEDURES/DIRECTIVES 2.2 DESIGN INPUTS 2.3 DESIGN CONSTRAINTS 2.4 DESIGN OUTPUTS	4 4 4 5
3.	ASSUMPTIONS	. 5 . 5 . 8
4.	METHODOLOGY 4.1 QUALITY ASSURANCE 4.2 USE OF SOFTWARE 4.3 DESIGN METHODOLGY	. 8 . 8 . 8 . 9
5.	LIST OF ATTACHMENTS	. 9
6.	 BODY OF CALCULATION	10 10 12 14 19
	 6.5 SLAB DIAPHRAGM DESIGN FOR IN-PLANE (HORIZONTAL) LOADS: ANALYSIS CASES 5 TO 8 6.6 REINFORCEMENT FOR SLAB AND CHORD: 6.7 REINFORCEMENT SUMMARY: 	37 30 70
7.	RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	71
AT	ACHMENT A: RF BLDG. ROOF AND FLOOR PLANS	٩6

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this calculation is for the preliminary design of the concrete slabs and diaphragms for the Receipt Facility (RF). See the figures in Attachment A for plans and elevations of the RF structure. In this calculation a number of slabs representative of all the diaphragms will be designed. This number includes the following cases.

Case 1	Roof Diaphragm @ El. 100' : Col Lines 3-6/C-E (N/S & E/W dir):	18" slab
Case 2	Roof Diaphragm @ El. 72' : Col Lines 6-8/C-E (N/S & E/W dir):	18" slab
Case 3	Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64' : Col Lines 4-6/A-C (N/S dir) & 3-8/A-C (E/W dir): Also applies to Col Lines 4-6/E-F (N/S dir) & 3-8/E-F (E/W dir).	18" slab
Case 4	Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64' : Col Lines 2-3/C-E (N/S & E/W dir):	18" slab
Case 5	Roof Diaphragm @ El. 32' : Col Lines 8-9/C-E (N/S & E/W dir):	18" slab
Case 6	Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32' : Col Lines 4-6/A-C (N/S dir) & 3-8/A-C (E/W dir): Also applies to Col Lines 4-6/E-F (N/S dir) & 3-8/E-F (E/W dir).	18" slab
Case 7	Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 3-4/A-B (N/S & E/W dir):	18" slab
Case 8	Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32' : Col Lines 2-3/C-E (N/S & E/W dir):	18" slab
Case 9	Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32' : Col Lines 3-4/C-D (N/S & E/W dir): Also applies to Col Lines 3-6/C-E (N/S & E/W dir).	48" slab

2.0 REFERENCES

2.1 PROCEDURES/DIRECTIVES

- 2.1.1 BSC 2007. EG-PRO-3DP-G04B-00037, Rev. 10. *Calculations and Analyses*. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20071018.0001.
- 2.1.2 BSC 2007. IT-PRO-0011, Rev. 7. Software Management. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20070905.0007.
- 2.1.3 ORD (Office of Repository Development) 2007. Repository Project Management Automation Plan. 000-PLN-MGR0-00200-000, Rev. 00E. Las Vegas, Nevada: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Repository Development. ACC: ENG.20070326.0019.

2.2 DESIGN INPUTS

- 2.2.1 ACI 349-01. 2001. Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures (ACI349-01) and Commentary (ACI 349R-01). Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. TIC: 252732. [ISBN 0-87031-041-0]
- 2.2.2 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. Project Design Criteria Document. 000-3DR-MGR0-00100-000-007. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20071016.0005.

- 2.2.3 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. Basis of Design for the TAD Canister-Based Repository Design Concept. 000-3DR-MGR0-00300-000-001. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20071002.0042.
- 2.2.4 MacGregor, J.G. 1997, Reinforced Concrete, Mechanics and Design. Prentice Hall International Series in Civil Engineering and Engineering mechanics. 3rd Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall. TIC: 242587. [ISBN 0-13-233974-9]
- 2.2.5 Not Used
- 2.2.6 Not Used
- 2.2.7 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Analysis (SASSI) for Sample In-Structure Response Spectra for CHF with Two Closure Cells.* 190-SYC-SY00-01000-000-00A. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20041101.0001.
- 2.2.8 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. RF Seismic Analysis. 200-SYC-RF00-00400-000-00C. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC ENG.20071228.0001.
- 2.2.9 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. Seismic Analysis and Design Approach Document. 000-30R-MGR0-02000-000-001. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20071220.0029.
- 2.2.10 BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2007. CRCF, IHF, RF, & WHF Port Slide Gate Mechanical Equipment Envelope. 000-MJ0-H000-00301-000 REV 00B. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20071101.0015.

2.3 DESIGN CONSTRAINTS

None.

2.4 DESIGN OUTPUTS

The results of this calculation will be used for future structural drawings and calculations associated with the RF concrete slabs.

3.0 ASSUMPTIONS

3.1 ASSUMPTIONS REQUIRING VERIFICATION

3.1.1 Structural steel framing loads are assumed as follows. Roofs at 32', 64', 72' & 100', Floors at 32' (except bounded by column lines 3-6/C-E): 40 lbs/ft². Floor at 32' bounded by column lines 3-6/C-E: 0 lbs/ft².

Rationale: Structural steel represents a small fraction of the total loads applied to the RF slabs. 40psf is a reasonable assumed value until the slab support steel has been designed. Actual steel weights will be used as the design matures in the detailed design phase of the project. Floor at 32' bounded by column lines 3-6/C-E has no steel framing.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.2.2.

3.1.2 Equipment dead loads are assumed as 100 lbs/ft² and 10 lbs/ft² on the floor slabs and roof slabs, respectively. Equipment dead loads include HVAC equipment, Electrical equipment and so forth.

Rationale: The RF is not an equipment intensive structure with the major equipment for diaphragm design being the HVAC equipment. 100 lbs/ft² and 10 lbs/ft² are a reasonable assumption for this type of structure. Actual equipment weights will be used as the design matures in the detailed design phase of the project.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.2.3.

3.1.3 Roofing material dead load is assumed as 55 lbs/ft².

Rationale: This is a reasonable assumption that allows for lightweight concrete fill material to be applied over the concrete slab with an average thickness of 6 inches including a waterproof roofing membrane.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.2.4.

3.1.4 Live load is assumed as 100 lbs/ft² for floor live load and 40 lbs/ft² for roof live load.

Rationale: 100 lbs/ft² live load for floor and 40 lbs/ft² live load for roof are a reasonable assumption for a structure of this type. The primary source of live load is maintenance of HVAC and other equipment.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.2.5.

3.1.5 Floor slabs constructed on a 3" metal deck are assumed to have maximum span of 7'-0"

Rationale: It is a standard engineering practice to have spans of 6' to 7' for floor slabs constructed on a 3" metal deck. In the subsequent design the actual maximum slab span will be used for the diaphragm design.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.3.

3.1.6 The amplified slab acceleration for out-of-plane seismic loads is assumed as 2.0 times the slab acceleration obtained from the RF seismic analysis (Ref. 2.2.8).

Rationale: The tier-1 seismic analysis models did not include the effects of vertical floor flexibility, i.e. the floors were considered as rigid diaphragms. To obtain amplified vertical floor accelerations to be used in the design of floor slabs and supporting steel the following process was used.

A SASSI (System for Analysis of Soil-Structure Interaction) analysis was performed on the Canister Handling Facility (CHF) (Ref. 2.2.7) which developed in-structure response spectra at hard points on the walls. RF structure is similar to CHF structure. Using the 7% damped vertical response spectra given in fig. F-3 of Ref. 2.2.7, a response ratio between the wall ZPA (Zero Period Acceleration) and the in-structure response was computed at various frequencies. A plot was generated of response ratio versus frequency.

A study was performed for the CHF where floor frequencies were computed for various slab geometry's (Ref. 2.2.7). Looking at the results of this study one can determine the fundamental vertical floor mode and obtain the frequency and mass participation for the

various conditions studied. For an 18" floor with columns spaced at approx. 20' on centers the fundamental mode is approximately 25Hz with a mass participation of 50%. Thus 50% of the mass is responding at this frequency and 50% of the mass responds at the ZPA. The following equation may be written:

response = (.5 * mass * ZPA) + (.5 * Ratio * mass * ZPA)Where Ratio = Acceleration @ 25 Hz / ZPA

Using the Response Ratio versus frequency plot described above the ratio for 25Hz was found to be 2.3. Using this value in the response equation above results in:

$$response = .5 * mass * ZPA + .5 * 2.3 * mass * ZPA$$

response = 1.65 * *mass* * *ZPA*

Where ZPA for the slab is the acceleration obtained from the RF seismic analysis (Ref. 2.2.8) at the floor level under consideration.

This procedure was done for various slabs and the results indicated that 2.0*ZPA is a reasonable approximation of the vertical floor amplification for this type of structural configuration.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.2.6

3.1.7 The plan, elevation and dimensions on the figures of Attachment A are used as the basis for the structural configuration of the RF building to be used in this calculation. These figures are based on Plant Design engineering sketches, 200-P0K-MGR0-10301-000-00B, 200-P0K-MGR0-10401-000-00B, 200-P0K-MGR0-10501-000-00B, 200-P0K-MGR0-10601-000-00B, 200-P0K-MGR0-10701-000-00B, Receipt Facility (RF) Preliminary Layout Ground Floor Plan, Second Floor Plan, Third Floor Plan, Section A, and Section B, respectively. The dimensions (including openings) are as shown in Attachment A.

Rationale—The rationale for this assumption is that further refinement of the general arrangements in the plant design engineering sketches (i.e., adding doors and corridors) will not greatly affect the dynamic response of the structure or the related design effort. The development of the general arrangements continues to be refined and the referenced sketches have been superseded; however the referenced sketches form the basis for the tier 1 analysis and related design work; the major rooms and wall locations and sizes are not expected to change. Properties computed in this calculation are adequate for the current initial design and analysis work. Before the tier 2 analysis and design effort begins the configurations will be reviewed against the latest committed or confirmed drawings and revised as required.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.4 & 6.5

3.2 ASSUMPTIONS NOT REQUIRING VERIFICATION

3.2.1 All slabs are assumed and designed as one-way slabs.

Rationale: Designing one-way slab instead of two-way slab is bounding. Reinforcing steel computed in the slab span direction will also be provided in the orthogonal direction.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.3.4.

3.2.2 Multiple span diaphragms when analyzing for in-plane loads are taken as simple span using the largest span.

Rationale: Taking simple span instead of multiple spans is conservative because moments computed as simple span envelopes the positive and negative moments computed as multiple spans.

Where used: Assumption used in Section 6.4.1 & 6.5.1.

3.2.3 Wall Openings

In the calculation (Section 6.2) for wall mass, it is assumed that the entire wall as solid, neglecting the small wall openings.

Rationale: This is a reasonable assumption considering that overall lengths of openings are small compared to the lengths of the walls and the impact on the accuracy of the analyses will be conservative.

4.0 METHODOLOGY

4.1 QUALITY ASSURANCE

This calculation was prepared in accordance with EG-PRO-3DP-G04B-00037, Calculations and Analyses (Ref.2.1.1). The RF has been classified as a structure that is important to safety (ITS), (Section 6.1.2) in the *Basis of Design for the TAD Canister-Based Repository Design Concept* (Ref. 2.2.3). Therefore, the approved version is designated as QA:QA.

4.2 USE OF SOFTWARE

Word 2003, which is part of the Microsoft Office 2003 suite of programs was used in this calculation. Microsoft Office 2003, as used in this calculation, is classified as Level 2 software usage as defined in IT-PRO-0011 (Ref. 2.1.2). Microsoft Office Professional and Microsoft Windows XP are listed on the *Repository Project Management Automation Plan* (Ref. 2.1.3).

Word 2003 was used in the text preparation of this document; no calculation functions contained in Word 2003 were used in this document.

MathCAD Version 13 was utilized to perform design calculations. MathCAD was operated on a PC system running the Windows XP operating system. MathCAD as used in this calculation is considered as level 2 software usage as defined in IT-PRO-0011 (Ref. 2.1.2). MathCAD Version 13 is listed in the *Repository Project Management Automation Plan* (Ref. 2.1.3).

All MathCAD input values and equations are stated in the calculation. Checking of the MathCAD calculations was done by using visual inspection and hand calculations to confirm the accuracy of the results.

4.3 DESIGN METHODOLOGY

Concrete slabs and diaphragms will be designed for the vertical floor loads (dead loads, live loads, equipment loads, steel decking loads, and roofing material loads) applied to the slab as well as the in-plane and out-of-plane loads imposed on the slabs under seismic loading conditions.

The RF structure is ITS (Section 4.1), therefore the design will be based on the requirements of ACI 349-01, Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures (ACI 349-01), referred in this document as ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1).

Initially the reinforcing requirements for out-of-plane loads are calculated. Subsequently the reinforcing requirements for in-plane (diaphragm) loads are calculated. While calculating the in-plane (diaphragm) loads, any wall that is perpendicular to the direction of the in-plane excitation and its mass contributes an in-plane distributed load along its length to the diaphragm. A wall in the direction of the in-plane excitation acts to restrain the diaphragm and its mass does not contribute to in-plane loads. The results of these analyses are combined to determine the overall reinforcing requirements for the imposed loads. These reinforcing requirements are then compared to the ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1) minimum reinforcing requirements. The larger of the reinforcing requirements for the slab. It is recognized that the approach used to determine chord reinforcing steel is approximate, but conservative. From the Tier 2 finite element analysis, it is expected that a more economical distribution of reinforcing steel will be determined.

5.0 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Number of Pages

Attachment A: RF Bldg. Roof and Floor Plans

6

6.0 BODY OF CALCULATION

6.1 UNITS, STRESSES AND VARIABLES

6.1.1 Units

psi : pounds per square inch	psf : pounds per square foot	pcf : pounds per cubic foot
kip : 1000 lbs	klf : kip per linear foot	

6.1.2 Stresses

$f_{C} := 5000 \cdot psi$	Compressive Strength of Concrete	(Ref. 2.2.2, Sect. 4.2.11.6.2)
f _y := 60 ⋅ksi	Yield Stress of Grade 60 Reinforcing Steel	(Ref. 2.2.2, Sect. 4.2.11.6.2)

6.1.3 Variables

As	Area of reinforcing steel (in ²)
b	Width of concrete section (inches)
clr	Clear cover over reinforcing bars (inches)
d	Distance from extreme compression fiber to centroid of reinforcement (inches)
d _{bar}	Diameter of reinforcing bar (inches)
h	Height of section or slab thickness (inches)
span	Span of slab (feet)
w	Uniform applied load on slab (lbs/ft)
wconc	Unit weight of concrete
ρ	Reinforcing ratio = As/(b*d)
^ρ req	Computed required reinforcing ratio
фb	Strength reduction factor for bending
[¢] diaph	Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear (diaphragm shear)
[¢] s	Strength reduction factor for shear
ω	Reinforcing index = $\rho * (f_y / f_c)$

6.1.3 Variables (Continued)

- A_{ch} Required Chord Reinforcement Area (in²)
- A_{cv} Shear Area (ft²)
- C Constant = $\omega (1 .59\omega)$
- CF Chord Force (kips)
- DD Depth of Diaphragm
- E Seismic Load
- EDL Equipment Dead Load
- H Height of Wall
- L Length of Wall
- LL Design Live Load
- M Moment
- RMDL Roofing Material Dead Load
- RR Reinforcement Ratio
- S Span
- SACC Seismic Acceleration
- SDL Slab Dead Load
- SFDL Steel Framing Dead Load
- TDL Total Dead Load
- Tw Thickness of Wall
- U Ultimate Load
- V_c Nominal Shear Strength Provided by Concrete
- Vn Nominal Shear Strength
- Vs Nominal Shear Strength Provided by Shear Reinforcement
- W Seismic Load per Foot
- WW Weight of Wall

6.2 **DESIGN LOADS**

6.2.1 **Dead Loads of Concrete Slabs**

 $w_{conc} := 150 \cdot pcf$ Unit weight of concrete (Reference 2.2.2, Section 4.2.11.6.6)

The roof and floor diaphragms of cases 1 through 8 are 18" thick concrete slabs are on a 3" metal deck as shown in the adjoining sketch:



18" roof thick slab

18" floor thick slab

$$SDL_{18r} := (1.5 \cdot ft + 0.125 \cdot ft) \cdot w_{conc} SDL_{18r} = 244 \text{ psf} \text{ El } 100', 72', \& 64'$$

 $SDL_{18f} := (1.5 \cdot ft + 0.125 \cdot ft) \cdot w_{conc} SDL_{18f} = 244 \text{ psf} \text{ El } 32'$

Note : The 48" thick floor slab does not have metal deck or the wide flange support beams under the slab.

48" thick floor slab

6.2.3

 $EDL_{fl} := 100psf$

 $SDL_{48f} := (4 \cdot ft) \cdot w_{conc}$

SDL_{48f} = 600 psf El 32'

SDL_{18r} Slab Dead Load : 18" roof slab SDL_{18f} Slab Dead Load : 18" floor slab SDL := SDL_{48f} Slab Dead Load : 48" floor slab

244 SDL = 244 psf 600

6.2.2 Steel Decking Dead Load

Equipment Dead Load

(Assumption 3.1.1)

(Assumption 3.1.2)

Note : There is no steel support decking for the 48" thick floor slab.

	(40))	Steel Decking Dead Load : 18" roof slab
SFDL :=	40	psf	Steel Decking Dead Load : 18" floor slab
)	Steel Decking Dead Load : 48" floor slab

Floor Equipment Dead Load

	(40)	
SFDL =	40	psf
	0)	

 $EDL_{rf} := 10psf$

Roof Equipment Dead Load



$$\mathsf{EDL} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{EDL}_{rf} \\ \mathsf{EDL}_{fl} \\ \mathsf{EDL}_{fl} \\ \mathsf{EDL}_{fl} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Equipment} \ \mathsf{Dead} \ \mathsf{Load} : 18" \ \mathsf{roof} \ \mathsf{slab} \\ \mathsf{Equipment} \ \mathsf{Dead} \ \mathsf{Load} : 18" \ \mathsf{floor} \ \mathsf{slab} \\ \mathsf{Equipment} \ \mathsf{Dead} \ \mathsf{Load} : 48" \ \mathsf{floor} \ \mathsf{slab} \end{array}$$

6.2.4 Roofing Material Dead Load

(Assumption 3.1.3)

	(55)		Roofing Material Dead Load : 18" roof slab
RMDL :=	0	psf	Roofing Material Dead Load : 18" floor slab
	(0))	Roofing Material Dead Load : 48" floor slab

	(55)	
RMDL =	0	psf
	0)	

6.2.5 Design Live Load

(Assumption 3.1.4)

LL _{fl} := 100 ⋅ psf	Floor Design Live Load	$LL_{rf} := 40 \cdot psf$	Roof I	Desigr	n Live	e Load
$LL := \begin{pmatrix} LL_{rf} \\ LL_{fl} \\ LL_{fl} \\ LL_{fl} \end{pmatrix}$	Design Live Load : 18" roo Design Live Load : 18" floo Design Live Load : 48" floo	f slab r slab r slab	LL =	(40 100 100	psi	

6.2.6 Acceleration Factors for Seismic Loads (Ref. 2.2.8, Table 14)

Note : The amplified slab acceleration for out-of-plane seismic loads is 2xVertical acceleration at floor level. (Assumption 3.1.6)

Acceleration factors for Elevation 100'	a100 _x := 1.45	(East/West)	
	a100 _y := 1.60	(North/South)	
	a100 _Z := 2.0.79	a100 _z = 1.58	(Vertical)
Acceleration factors for Elevation 72'	a72 _x := 0.97	(East/West)	
	a72 _V := 1.14	(North/South)	
	a72 _z := 2·0.72	a72 _z = 1.44	(Vertical)
Acceleration factors for Elevation 64'	a64 _x := 0.92	(East/West)	
	a64 _y := 0.95	(North/South)	
	a64 _z := 2.0.72	a64 _z = 1.44	(Vertical)
Acceleration factors for Elevation 32'	a32 _x := 0.71	(East/West)	
	a32 _v := 0.69	(North/South)	
	a32 _z := 2⋅0.67	a32 _z = 1.34	(Vertical)

6.2.7 Loading Combinations

(Ref. 2.2.2, Sect. 4.2.11.4.5)

U1 := 1.4TDL + 1.7LL (Ultimate Load for normal operating condition)

U2 := TDL + Le + E (Ultimate Load for extreme abnormal condition)

Where Le := 0.25 LL Live load present during an earthquake

Note : Use 25% of design live load (LL) in combination with seismic loads. (Ref. 2.2.9, Sect. 8.3.3)

6.3 SLAB DESIGN FOR OUT-OF-PLANE (VERTICAL) LOADS

See Attachment A for plans and sections of the RF structure.

All 18" slabs are constructed on a 3" metal deck with more than 2 continuous spans with a maximum span of 7'-0" (Assumption 3.1.5) for 18" thick slabs (El. 32', 64', 72' or 100').

48" thick slab bounded by column lines 3-6/C-E does not have a metal deck under the slab. It has more than two continuous spans with the maximum center to center span of 41' and clear spans of 37' (between column lines 3/C-D). The diaphragm and shear walls are monolithic concrete, clear span will be used as per Ref. 2.2.4, Figure 13-23.

6.3.1 Total Dead Loads (TDL)

Total dead loads (TDL) include slab dead load (SDL), steel framing dead load (SFDL), equipment dead load (EDL) and roofing material dead load (RMDL).

 $\mathsf{TDL} := \mathsf{SDL} + \mathsf{SFDL} + \mathsf{EDL} + \mathsf{RMDL}$



Total Dead Load : 18" roof slab Total Dead Load : 18" floor slab Total Dead Load : 48" floor slab

6.3.2 Seismic Load (E)

Note : Use 25% of design live load (Le = 0.25LL, see Section 6.2.7) in combination with dead loads in calculating seismic loads. (Ref. 2.2.9, Sect. 8.3.3)

 $SACC_{z} := \begin{pmatrix} a100_{z} \\ a32_{z} \\ a32_{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a100_{z} \\ a32_{z} \\ a32_{z} \end{bmatrix} SACC_{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.58 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.34 \end{bmatrix}$ Seismic Acceleration factor for 18" slab @ El 100', 72', & 64' in Z dir. Conservatively use the max value at El. 100' Seismic Acceleration factor for 18" slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z dir. Seismic Acceleration factor for 48" fl

Note: Conservatively consider the acceleration for 18" roof slabs for roof slabs at elevations 100', 72', & 64' to have the acceleration factors for seismic loading of the 100' elevation. The 18" roof and floor slabs located at elevation 32' has the acceleration factor for seismic loading of the 32' elevation



Seismic Load for 18" slab @ El 100', 72', & 64' in Z direction Seismic Load for 18" slab @ El 32' in Z direction Seismic Load for 48" floor slab @ El 32' in Z direction

6.3.3 Governing Vertical (Out-of-Plane) Ultimate Load Combination for Concrete Design (See Section 6.2.7.)



 $ORIGIN \equiv 1$

Changing origin for matrices from 0.0 to 1.1

6.3.4 Design of Slabs for Moments and Shears due to vertical loads

All slabs are designed as one-way slabs. (Assumption 3.2.1) Using the equations for moments and shears from ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1 Sect. 8.3.3) :

Maximum positive moment = $wL^2/14$ (end span : discontinuous end integral with support governs) Maximum negative moment at supports = $wL^2/10$ (more than two spans) <u>Governs</u>

Maximum shear force = 1.15wL/2 (end span governs)

b := 1.ft	Width for a 1' strip
span ₁₈ ≔ 7⋅ft	Span for 18" slab. (Assumption 3.1.5)
span ₄₈ := 37 ⋅ft	Span for 48" floor slab, see Section 6.3.





6.3.5 Check Shear Reinforcement Requirements

For 18" & 48" thick slabs the effective depth, d, can be calculated as:

d = h - 3/4" (clr) - $1.5xd_{bar}$ where h is the slab thickness above the metal deck For a # 11 reinforcing bar d_{bar} = 1.41", this results in an effective depth, d, of 15.14" for 18"

slabs.

The 48" thick slab has a depression of 18" in some areas (Ref. 2.2.10). For this condition the effective depth of the rebar, d = 48" - 18" - 0.75" - 1.5x1.41" = 27.14"

 $d_{18r} := 15.14 \cdot in \quad d_{18f} := 15.14 \cdot in \quad d_{48f} := 27.14 \cdot in$



Effective depth of rebar for 18" slab @ El. 100', 72', & 64' Effective depth of rebar for 18" slab @ El. 32' Effective depth of rebar for 48" floor slab @ El. 32'

<u>Shear strength of concrete</u>, $\phi_{S}V_{c}$:

 $\phi_{s} := .85$ Strength reduction factor for transverse shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.2.3)

b = 12 in See Sect 6.3.4.

From ACI 349 Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 11.3.1.1



 $\phi_{\textbf{S}} \cdot \textbf{V}_{\textbf{C}} > \textbf{V}_{max}$, therefore no transverse shear reinforcement is required.

6.3.6 Compute Reinforcement Required for Bending

The moment capacity of a reinforced concrete slab is computed as : (Ref. 2.2.4, Equation 4-15)

. .

$$Mu := \phi_{b} \cdot f_{c} \cdot b \cdot d^{2} \cdot \omega \cdot (1 - .59 \cdot \omega)$$
Where $\omega := \frac{\rho \cdot f_{y}}{f_{c}}$ and $\rho := \frac{A_{s}}{b \cdot d}$

 $\phi_{b} := .9$ Strength reduction factor for bending, ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.2.1)

Rearranging to solve for
$$\omega$$
:
 $\omega (1 - .59\omega) := \frac{M_u}{\phi_b \cdot f_c \cdot b \cdot d^2}$

Letting the right hand side of the equation to be a constant

$C1 := \frac{\overbrace{M_{max}}}{\varphi_{b} \cdot f_{c} \cdot b \cdot d^{2}}$	$C1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00440 \\ 0.00454 \\ 0.07007 \end{pmatrix}$	Constant for 18" roof slab Constant for 18" floor slab Constant for 48" floor slab
C18r := C1 ₁	C18r = 0.00440	Constant for 18" roof slab
C18f := C1 ₂	C18f = 0.00454	Constant for 18" floor slab
C48f := C1 ₃	C48f = 0.07007	Constant for 48" floor slab

Solving for ω:

To solve the polynomial in Mathcad first make a guess at the root, then use the root function in Mathcad to solve for the root based on the initial guess.

18" roof slab Solving for ω 18r : $f1(\omega 18r) := .59 \cdot \omega 18r^2 - \omega 18r + C18r$ Trov18r := .00300 $root(f1(\omega 18r), \omega 18r) = 0.00441$ ω 18r_act := root(f1(ω 18r), ω 18r) Actual Value of ω 18r ω 18r_act = 0.00441 Solving for $\omega 18f$: 18" floor slab $f2(\omega 18f) := .59 \cdot \omega 18f^2 - \omega 18f + C18f$ Try $\omega 18f := .00300$ $root(f2(\omega 18f), \omega 18f) = 0.00456$ thus ω 18f_act := root(f2(ω 18f), ω 18f) ω 18f_act = 0.00456 Actual Value of w18f 48" floor slab Solving for : w48f $f3(\omega 48f) := .59 \cdot \omega 48f^2 - \omega 48f + C48f$ Try ω 48f := .00300 $root(f3(\omega 48f), \omega 48f) = 0.07323$ thus ω 48f act := root(f3(ω 48f), ω 48f) ω 48f_act = 0.07323 Actual Value of w30f 18" roof slab ω18r_act 0.00441 18" floor slab ω18f act 0.00456 ω := ω = 48" floor slab ω48f act 0.07323 **Required Reinforcing Index** 18" roof slab 0.00037 $\rho_{req} \coloneqq \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}{\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{V}}}$ 18" floor slab 0.00038 ρ req =

Note : See Section 6.6.1.3 and 6.6.2.3 for combined reinforcement required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

0.00610

48" floor slab

6.4 SLAB DIAPHRAGM DESIGN FOR IN-PLANE (HORIZONTAL) LOADS : ANALYSIS CASES 1 TO 4

6.4.1 Diaphragms for Analysis Cases 1 to 4

See Attachment A for plans of the RF showing the diaphragms.

Steel for diaphragms will be computed for following diaphragm panels in this section.

- Case 1: Roof Diaphragm @ El.100':Col Lines 3-6/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab (See Attachment A, Sheet A6)
- Case 2: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 72': Col Lines 6-8/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab (See Attachment A, Sheet A5)
- Case 3: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64': Col Lines 4-6/A-C (N/S dir) & 3-8/A-C (E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines:
 - 3-4/A-C, 6-7/A-C, 7-8/A-C, 3-4/E-F, 4-6/E-F, 6-7/E-F & 7-8/E-F (N/S dir)
 3-8/E-F (E/W dir).
 (See Attachment A, Sheet A4)
- Case 4: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64':Col Lines 2-3/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab (See Attachment A, Sheet A4)



Note : Conservatively take diaphragm as simple span using the largest span. (Assumption 3.2.2)





Note : For case 3 in the E/W dir., conservatively take diaphragm as simple span using the largest span. (Assumption 3.2.2)

6.4.2 Governing Design Loads

Combine dead load and design live load for seismic load calculation.



6.4.3 Weight of North and South Walls (per Foot) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ns}

Walls perpendicular to the direction of the in-plane load will contribute distributed loads along the span of the diaphragm





6.4.3.2 Weight of Interior Walls (per Foot) : WW_{ns int}



Case 1 : No interior walls

Case 2 : No interior walls

Diaphragm Spans North/South Seismic Acceleration See Section 6.4.1.

WWns_int_1 := 0.0·klf

= 17.1 klf

:= 0.0·klf

0.0

Case 1 Case 2 Case 3

Case 4

Case 3 : Wall at column line B/3-4 & C/4-6:

Conservatively locate the wall B/3-4 to the evaluation for B/4-6 for additional weight on the diaphragm

H2ns ₁ := $\frac{64 \cdot ft - 32}{2}$	2.ft	H2ns ₁ = 16 ft	Height	
_		T2ns ₁ := 4⋅ft	Thickness	
		L2ns ₁ := 46 ⋅ft	Length	
$H2ns_2 := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32}{2}$	<u>2∙ft</u>	H2ns ₂ = 16 ft	Height	
		$T2ns_2 := 4 \cdot ft$	Thickness	
		L2ns ₂ := 59 ⋅ft	Length	
WWns_int_3 := $\begin{pmatrix} - \\ - \end{pmatrix}$	H2ns ₁ ·T2ns ₁ ·L2ns S _r	s ₁ + H2ns ₂ ⋅T2ns ₂ ns_1to4 ₃	2·L2ns ₂ ·Wconc	
(_ 5)	WWns_int_3
Case 4 : No interior	wall			WWns_int_4
WWns_int_1to4 :=	WWns_int_1 WWns_int_2 WWns_int_3		WWns_int_1to4	$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 17.1 \end{pmatrix} $ klf

Weight of North and South Walls (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm

WWns_int_4

Caso 1

(216)

6.4.3.3 Total Weight of North & South Walls Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ns}

WWns 1tol \sim WWns ext 1tol $+$ WWns int 1tol	\//\//ns 1to/ -	4.8	If	Case 2
Total weight of North & South Walls (per foot)	WWWIIS_1104 -	37.5		Case 3
Tributary to Diaphragm		(19.2)		Case 4

6.4.4 Weight of East and West Walls (per Foot) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW

6.4.4.1 Weight of Exterior Walls (per Foot) : WW_{ew_ext}





Case 1

WWew_int_1to4 := (WWew_WWew_	(WWew_int_1)			(0.0)		Case 1
	WWew_int_2			12.0	1.14	Case 2
	WWew_int_3		$vvvew_int_ito4 =$	28.8	кп	Case 3
	WWew_int_4			9.6		Case 4

Weight of East and West Walls (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm

6.4.4.3 Total Weight of East & West Walls Tributary to Diaphragm : WW

		(19.2)		Case 1
		32.4		Case 2
WWew_1to4 := WWew_ext_1to4 + WWew_int_1to4	WWew_1to4 =	48.0	klf	Case 3
Total weight of East & West Walls (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm		25.2		Case 4

6.4.5 **Design for North/South Seismic Acceleration**

6.4.5.1 Moments and Shears





6.4.5.2 Check Shear Strength as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.6)

Case 4



15984

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} := 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.4)]

Check Limiting Diaphragm Shear Strength

$$\phi V_{n_max_ns_1to4} := \phi_{diaph} \cdot 8 \cdot A_{cv_ns_1to4} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$$
 ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.6)
 $\phi_{diaph} = 0.6$ ACI 349 (Ref 2.2.1, Sect 9.3.4)



Compare limiting shear capacity per ACI 349 to shear requirements. If $\phi V_{n_max_ns_1to4} > V_{ns_1to4}$, no shear reinforcement is required.

^{♦V} n_max_ns ₁ ^{:=}	"OK"	if ∳V _{n_}	max_ns_1to4 ₁ >	Vns_1to4	Case 1
	"NG"	otherwis	se		
^{♦V} n_max_ns ₂ ^{:=}	"OK"	if ∳V _{n_}	max_ns_1to4 ₂ >	Vns_1to42	Case 2
	"NG"	otherwis	se		
^{♦V} n_max_ns ₃ ^{:=}	"OK"	if ∳V _{n_}	_max_ns_1to4 ₃ >	Vns_1to43	Case 3
	"NG"	otherwis	se		
^{♦V} n_max_ns ₄ ^{:=}	"OK"	if ∳V _{n_}	max_ns_1to4 ₄ >	Vns_1to44	Case 4
	"NG"	otherwis	se		
(•V)			
	r n_ma	x_{ns_1}		("OK")	Case 1
0	^{≬V} n_ma	x_ns ₂		"OK"	
¢V _{n max ns} ≔	–	- 2	φV _{n max ns} =		Case 2
	^{≬V} n_ma	x_ns ₃	n_max_no	"OK"	Case 3
	ω	-		("ok" /	Case 4
	°°n_ma	x_ns ₄)			

$$\phi V_n \text{ max ns 1to4} > V_{ns 1to4}$$
 Lin

imiting diaphragm shear strength satisfied.

6.4.5.3 Shear Reinforcement as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.4)]

$$\frac{V_{ns_1to4}}{\Phi_{diaph}} := V_{c_ns_1to4} + V_{s_ns_1to4}$$
Total Shear Strength = Concrete Shear Strength + Reinforcing Steel Shear Strength
$$V_{c_ns_1to4} := 2 \cdot A_{cv_ns_1to4} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$$
ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2, Equation 21-6)
$$\phi_{diaph} \cdot V_{c_ns_1to4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1356\\ 1356\\ 1503\\ 1356 \end{pmatrix} kip$$
Case 1
Case 2
Shear
Case 3
Case 4
$$V_{ns_1to4} = \begin{pmatrix} 4651\\ 1870\\ 2156\\ 1075 \end{pmatrix} kip$$
Shear
See
Section
6.4.5.1.

Compare concrete shear capacity per ACI 349 to shear requirements. If $\phi_{diaph} V_{c_ns_1to4} > V_{ns_1to4}$, no shear reinforcement is required.

^{♦V} c_ns ₁ :=	"OK"	^{if}	Case 1
	"NG"	otherwise	
^{φV} c_ns ₂ :=	"OK"	^{if}	Case 2
	"NG"	otherwise	
¢V _{c_ns3} ∷=	"OK"	^{if}	Case 3
	"NG"	otherwise	
^{φV} c_ns ₄ ≔	"OK"	^{if}	Case 4
	"NG"	otherwise	
((^{þV} cns⊿		
	— I	("NG")	Case 1
	^{pv} c_ns ₂	"NG"	Case 2
$\varphi v c_n s := $	^{∳V} c_ns ₃	^{φv} c_ns ⁼ "NG"	Case 3
	bV	("ок")	Case 4
(^{r · c_ns} 4	J	

For cases 1 to 3 the concrete shear strength [$\phi_{diaph} \cdot V_{c_ns_1to4}$] is less than the shear demand [V_{ns_1to4}] therefore shear reinforcing is required. For case 4 the concrete shear strength is more than the shear demand, therefore shear reinforcing is not required.

$V_{s_ns_1to4} := \frac{V_n}{\phi}$	s_1to4 diaph	[_] V _{c_ns_1to4}	MacGregor (F	Ref. 2.2.4, Page 207)
(54	91	Case	1	
8	56 kin	Case	2	
$ ^{v}s_{ns_{1to4}} = 10$	89	Case	3	
	70)	Case	4	
Vs_ns_1to4rev ₁ ^{:=}	= V _{s_n} 0.0⋅k	s_1to4 ₁ ^{if V} s_ ip otherwise	ns_1to4 $_1 \ge 0$ kip	Case 1
Vs_ns_1to4rev ₂ ^{:=}	= V _{s_n} 0.0∙k	s_1to4 ₂ ^{if V} s_ ip otherwise	ns_1to4 $_2 \ge 0$ kip	Case 2
Vs_ns_1to4rev ₃ ^{:=}	= V _{s_n} 0.0⋅k	ns_1to4 $_3 \ge 0$ kip	Case 3	
Vs_ns_1to4rev ₄ ^{:=}	⁼	s_1to4 ₄ ^{if V} s_ ip otherwise	ns_1to4 $_4 \ge 0$ kip	Case 4
	(5491)			
	856		Required Reinford	sing Shear Strength
Vs_ns_1to4rev =	1089	kip Case 3	("0 kip" indicates t	hat no shear reinforcing is required.)
	0)	Case 4		

6.4.5.4 Required Reinforcement

A _{cv_ns_1to4} =	(15984) 15984 17712	in ²	Case 1 Case 2 Case 3	Shear Areas Sections 6.4.5.2
f _y = 60 ksi	(15984 <i>)</i>		Case 4	
$\rho_{req_ns_1to4} := \frac{V_{s_ns_1to4r}}{A_{cv_ns_1to4r}}$			rev ⊡fy	ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)
	0.00573	3	Case 1 Case 2	
$^{ m ho}$ req_ns_1to4 =	0.0008	2	Case 3	Required Reinforcement (total required on 2 faces)
	0.0000	s)	Case 4	

Note : See section 6.6.1.3 for total steel required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

6.4.6 Design for East/West Seismic Acceleration

6.4.6.1 Moments and Shears





Seismic Force in the East/West Direction





 $A_{cv_ew_1to4} := \left(DD_{ew_1to4} \cdot h_{1to4} \right)$

	22680		Case 1	
	19656	. 2	Case 2	Shear
A _{cv_ew_1to4} =	42336	in_	Case 3	Areas
	9288		Case 4	

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.4)]

Check Limiting Diaphragm Shear Strength

$$\phi V_{n_max_ew_1to4} := \phi_{diaph} \cdot 8 \cdot A_{cv_ew_1to4} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$$
 ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.6)

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$ ACI 349 (Ref 2.2.1, Sect 9.3.4)

	(7698)		Case 1			3509)	
+) /	6671	kin	Case 2	Shear	V	2685	kin	Shear See
^{ov} n_max_ew_1to4 ⁼	14369	кір	Case 3	Strength	^v ew_1to4 ⁼	5132	ΝР	Section
	3152)	Case 4			1590)	6.4.6.1.

Compare limiting shear capacity per ACI 349 to shear requirements. If $\phi V_{n_max_ew_{1to4}} > V_{ew_{1to4}}$ no shear reinforcement is required.

^{♦V} n_max_ew ₁ ^{:=}	"OK" if $\phi V_{n_max_ew_1to4_1} > V_{a_1}$	/ew_1to41 Case 1
	"NG" otherwise	
^{♦V} n_max_ew ₂ ^{:=}	"OK" if $\phi V_n_max_ew_1to4_2 > V_1$	ew_1to4 ₂ Case 2
	"NG" otherwise	
<u> ф</u> у	"∩K" if ∳V	
^v n_max_ew ₃ ·-	$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{$	^r ew_1to4 ₃ Case 3
	"NG" otherwise	
^{♦V} n_max_ew ₄ ^{:=}	"OK" if $\phi V_{n_max_ew_{1to4_4}} > V_{a_1}$	/ew_1to4
	"NG" otherwise	
	•	
(ΦVn max ew	
	/ n_max_ew1	OK" Case 1
	^{∲V} n_max_ew ₂	OK" Case 2
^{♦V} n_max_ew ^{:=}	$\phi V_n \max e w_n \phi V_n \max e w_n $	OK" Case 3
		OK" Case 4
	^{♦V} n_max_ew ₄)	
^{♦V} n_max_ew_1to	4 > V Limitir	ng diaphragm shear strength satisfied.

6.4.6.3 Shear Reinforcement as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)

 $\frac{V_{ew_1to4}}{\phi_{diaph}} := V_{c_ew_1to4} + V_{s_ew_1to4}$

Total Shear Strength = Concrete Shear Strength + Reinforcing Steel Shear Strength

$$V_{c_ew_1to4} \coloneqq 2 \cdot A_{cv_ew_1to4} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$$
 ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)

$$\left[\phi_{diaph} \cdot V_{c_ew_1to4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1924 \\ 1668 \\ 3592 \\ 788 \end{pmatrix} kip$$
 Case 1
Case 2 Shear
Case 3 Strength Case 4
$$V_{ew_1to4} = \begin{pmatrix} 3509 \\ 2685 \\ 5132 \\ 1590 \end{pmatrix} kip$$
 Shears See Section 6.4.6.1.

Compare concrete shear capacity per ACI 349 to shear requirements. If $\phi_{diaph} V_{c_ew_{1to4}} > V_{ew_{1to4}}$ no shear reinforcement is required.

^{♦V} c_ew ₁ :=	"OK"	^{if ∲} diaph ^{.V} c_	ew_1to41	<pre>> Vew_1to41</pre>	Case 1
	"NG"	otherwise			
^{♦V} c_ew ₂ :=	"OK"	^{if ∲} diaph ^{.V} c_	ew_1to42	> V _{ew_1to42}	Case 2
	"NG"	otherwise			
^{♦V} c_ew ₃ :=	"OK"	^{if ∲} diaph ^{.V} c_	ew_1to43	> V _{ew_1to43}	Case 3
	"NG"	otherwise			
^{♦V} c_ew ₄ :=	"OK"	^{if ∲} diaph ^{.V} c_	ew_1to44	> V _{ew_1to44}	Case 4
	"NG"	otherwise			
((V _C ew				
	0_01/	1		("NG")	Case 1
d					Case 2
1)/		2	1)/	"NG"	Case 2
$\phi v c_ew := 0$	V _{c ew}		^{φv} c_ew ⁼	"NG"	Case 3
	;	5		"NG"	Case 4
(d	^{⊳V} c_ew∠	ı			

For cases 1 to 4 the concrete shear strength [$\phi_{diaph} \cdot V_{c_ew_1to4}$] is less than the shear demand [V_{ew_1to4}] therefore shear reinforcing is required.



Note : See Section 6.6.1.3 for combined reinforcement required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

6.4.7 Design Chord Steel

6.4.7.1 North/South Seismic Acceleration



Case 1	
Case 2	Diaphragm Moment
Case 3	See Section 6.4.5.1.
Case 4	
Case 1	
Case 2	Diaphragm Depths
Case 3	See Section 6.4.5.1.
Case 4	

Chord Force (CF):

	M _{ns_1to4}		
^{CF} ns_1to4 ·=	.9.DD _{ns} 1to4		

CF _{ns_1to4} =	(1275)	
	444	Lin
	300	кір
	121	

Note : 0.9 x Depth is taken as lever arm from centroid of compression stress block to centroid of chord reinforcing steel, thus chord steel will be provided over a width equal to 10% of the diaphragm depth.

1

2

3 4

Case 1	
Case 2	Chord Forces
Case 3	
Case 4	

Required Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

$$\phi_b = 0.9$$

 $f_V = 60 \text{ ksi}$

 $A_{ch_ns_1to4} := \frac{CF_{ns_1to4}}{\phi_b \cdot f_y}$

Strength reduction factor for bending ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.2.1)

	(23.6)		Case
A	8.2	in ²	Case
^r ch_ns_1to4 ⁼	5.6		Case
	2.2		Case

Required Chord Steel

Provided Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

Use 16 & 6 # 11 bars for cases 1 & 2 respectively and use 6 & 4 # 9 bars for cases 3 & 4 (N/S Seismic Acceleration).

Chord steel provided = 25.0, 9.4, 6.0, & 4.0 in² for cases 1, 2, 3 & 4 respectively (N/S Seismic Acceleration).

See Section 6.6.1.7 for chord steel provided.

6.4.7.2 East/West Seismic Acceleration



Chord Force (CF):

$$CF_{ew_1to4} := \frac{M_{ew_1to4}}{.9 \cdot DD_{ew_1to4}}$$

Note : 0.9 x Depth is taken as lever arm from centroid of compression stress block to centroid of chord reinforcing steel, thus chord steel will be provided over a width equal to 10% of the diaphragm depth.

CF _{ew_1to4} =	(478)		
	422	1	
	415	кір	
	529		

Case 1	
Case 2	Chord Forces
Case 3	
Case 4	

Required Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

$$\phi_{\rm b} = 0.9$$

Strength reduction factor for bending ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.2.1)

 $f_V = 60 \text{ ksi}$

$$A_{ch_ew_1to4} \coloneqq \frac{CF_{ew_1to4}}{\phi_b \cdot f_v}$$

(8.8) Case 1

7.8

7.7

9.8

'in²

Case 2

Case 3

Case 4

Required Chord Steel

Provided Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

Use 6 # 11 bars for case 1 and 8, 8, & 10 # 9 bars for cases 2, 3 & 4 respectively (E/W Seismic Acceleration).

^Ach_ew_1to4 ⁼

Chord steel provided= 9.4, 8.0, 8.0 & 10.0 in² for cases 1, 2, 3 & 4 respectively (E/W Seismic Acceleration).

See Section 6.6.1.7 for chord steel provided.
6.5 SLAB DIAPHRAGM DESIGN FOR IN-PLANE (HORIZONTAL) LOADS : ANALYSIS CASES 5 TO 8

6.5.1 Diaphragms for Analysis Cases 5 to 8

See Attachment A for plans of the RF showing the diaphragms.

Steel for diaphragms will be computed for following diaphragm panels in this section.

Case 5: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 8-9/C-E (N/S & E/W dir):	18" slab
(See Attachment A, Sheet A3)	
Once 0 , Floor Diamhrann Θ FL 201, Only ince $A C/F F (A)/Online 0.0/F F (F/A) dials$	10" alah

- Case 6: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 4-6/E-F (N/S dir) & 3-8/E-F (E/W dir): 18" slab Also applies to Col Lines:
 - 3-4/E-F, 6-7/E-F, 7-8/E-F, 4-6/A-C, 6-7/A-C, & 7-8/A-C(N/S dir)
 - 4-8/A-C (E/W dir).
 - See Attachment A, Sheet A3)
- Case 7: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 3-4/A-B (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab See Attachment A, Sheet A3)
- Case 8: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 2-3/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab See Attachment A, Sheet A3)
- Case 9: Floor Diaphragm @ El.32': Col Lines 3-6/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): 48" slab See Attachment A, Sheet A3)





Note : For case 9 in the N/S direction the diaphragm is a 3 span system.

6.5.2 **Governing Design Loads**

Combine dead load and design live load for seismic load combination. See Section 6.4.2.



18" floor slab





→ → →	∞ EL 32'	Wall 7	74'
	Wall 6	<u>+ +</u>	E
	<u>Case 9</u> :	<u>.</u>	

18" roof slab

Conservatively take diaphragm as simple span using the largest span. (Assumption 3.2.2)

Case 6 18" floor slab 409 409 Case 7 18" floor slab psi Case 8 18" floor slab 409 48" floor slab 725 Case 9

Case 5

6.5.3 Weight of North and South Walls (per Foot) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ns}

6.5.3.1 Weight of Exterior Walls (per Foot): WW_{ns ext}



<u>_</u>		(19.2)		Case 5
WWns_ext_5to9 := $\left[(Hw5 \cdot Tw5 + Hw6 \cdot Tw6) \cdot w_{conc} \right]$		19.2		Case 6
Weight of North and South Walls (per foot)	WWns_ext_5to9 =	19.2	klf	Case 7
Tributary to Diaphragm		38.4		Case 8
		0.0)	Case 9

6.5.3.2 Weight of Interior Walls (per Foot) : WW_{ns_int}

	(43)	Case 5		
	59	Case 6 Diar		ianhragm Spans
S _{ns 5to9} ≔	46	ft Case 7	N	orth/South Seismic Acceleration
	43	Case 8	Se	ee Section 6.5.1.
	105	Case 9		
Case 5 : No wa	alls			WWns_int_5 := 0.0 · klf
Case 6 : Wall a	at colum	nn line E/4-6:		
H6ns := $\frac{64 \cdot ft}{}$	<u>– 32 ∙ft</u> 2	$+\frac{32\cdot ft-0\cdot ft}{2}$	H6ns = 32 ft	Height
T6ns := 4 ⋅ft	Th	nickness	L6ns := 59 ·ft	Length
WWns_int_6 :=	$=\left(\frac{\text{H6n}}{\text{S}}\right)$	s ·T6ns ·L6ns ons_5to92	conc	WWns_int_6 = 19.2 klf
Case 7: Wall a	t colum	n line B/3-4:		
$H7ns := \frac{64 \cdot ft}{100}$	_ 32 ⋅ft 2	$+ \frac{32 \cdot ft - 0 \cdot ft}{2}$	H7ns = 32 ft	Height
T7ns := 4 ⋅ft	Th	nickness	L7ns := 46 ⋅ft	Length
WWns_int_7 :=	$=\left(\frac{H7n}{s}\right)$	$\left(\frac{15 \cdot T7 ns \cdot L7 ns}{9}\right) \cdot w_{0}$	conc	WWns_int_7 = 19.2 klf
Case 8 : No wa	alls			WWns_int_8 := 0.0 · klf

Case 9: Walls at column line C/3-6, E/3-6, D/3-4 & D/5-6:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{H9ns}_{1} \coloneqq \frac{64 \cdot \text{ft} - 32 \cdot \text{ft}}{2} + \frac{32 \cdot \text{ft} - 0 \cdot \text{ft}}{2} & \text{H9ns}_{1} \equiv 32 \, \text{ft} & \text{Height} & \text{Walls along col line C \& E} \\ \text{T9ns}_{1} \coloneqq 4 \cdot \text{ft} & \text{Thickness} & \text{L9ns}_{1} \coloneqq 105 \cdot \text{ft} & \text{Length} \\ \text{H9ns}_{2} \coloneqq \frac{32 \cdot \text{ft} - 0 \cdot \text{ft}}{2} & \text{H9ns}_{2} \equiv 16 \, \text{ft} & \text{Height} \\ \text{T9ns}_{2} \coloneqq 4 \cdot \text{ft} & \text{Thickness} & \text{L9ns}_{2} \coloneqq 87 \cdot \text{ft} & \text{Total Length of col line D} \end{array}$$

Consider the weight of wall on col line D spread over the entire span.

$$WWns_int_9 := \frac{\left(2 \cdot H9ns_1 \cdot T9ns_1 \cdot L9ns_1\right) + \left(H9ns_2 \cdot T9ns_2 \cdot L9ns_2\right)}{S_{ns_5to9}_5} \cdot w_{conc}$$

WWns_int_9 = 46.4 klf

_

WWns_int_5to9 :=	(WWns_int_5)		WWns_int_5to9 =	0.0)	Case 5
	WWns_int_6			19.2		Case 6
	WWns_int_7			19.2	klf	Case 7
	WWns_int_8			0.0		Case 8
	WWns_int_9			46.4		Case 9

Weight of North and South Walls (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm

6.5.3.3 Total Weight of North & South Walls Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ns}

		(19.2)		Case 5
		38.4		Case 6
WWns_5to9 := WWns_ext_5to9 + WWns_int_5to9	WWns_5to9 =	38.4	klf	Case 7
Total weight of North & South Walls (per foot)		38.4		Case 8
Tributary to Diaphragm		(46.4)		Case 9

6.5.4 Weight of East and West Walls (per Foot) Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ew}

6.5.4.1 Weight of Walls (per Foot) : WW_{ew ext}



Υ.		(4.8)		Case 5
WWew_ext_5to9 := $\overline{(Hw7 \cdot Tw7 + Hw8 \cdot Tw8) \cdot w_{conc}}$		38.4		Case 6
Weight of East and West Walls (per foot)	WWew_ext_5to9 =	19.2	klf	Case 7
Tributary to Diaphragm		9.6		Case 8
		0.0		Case 9

6.5.4.2 Weight of Interior Walls (per Foot) : $WW_{ew_{int}}$

	~ ~ ~		_			
	(74)	Case	5			
	82	Case	6			
S _{ew_5to9} :=	ew 5to9 := 39 ft Case 7 Diaphragm Spans				Spans	
	74	Case	8	See Section	6.5.1.	ION
	(74)	Case	9			
Case 5 : Wall	at colu	mn line 8/C-E				
H5ew := $\frac{72 \cdot f}{}$	t – 32∙ 2	$\frac{\mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{ft}} + \frac{32 \cdot \mathrm{ft} - 0\mathrm{ft}}{2}$	t - H5ew = 36 ft	Height		
L5ew := 74 · ft		Length	T5ew := 4.ft	Thickness		
WWew_int_5	:= <u>H50</u>	ew∙T5ew∙L5ev S _{ew_5to91}	^v - ^{.w} conc	WWew_int_	<u>5 = 21.6 klf</u>	
Case 6 : Wall	<u>(3) at c</u>	col lines 4, 6, 8	. 7			
H6ew := $\frac{64 \cdot f}{1}$	t – 32∙ 2	$\frac{ft}{2} + \frac{32 \cdot ft - 0ft}{2}$	^t H6ew = 32 ft	Height	T6ew := 4 ⋅ft	Thickness
L _{ew} := 82 ⋅ ft	3 Wa	lls @ column li	nes 4, 6, & 7			
$L6ew := 3 \cdot L_e$	w		L6ew = 246 ft	Total lengt	h	
WWew_int_6	:= H60	ew·T6ew·L6ev S _{ew_5to92}	v - ·W _{conc}	WWew_int_	_6 = 57.6 klf	
Case 7 : Wall	at colu	mn line 4/A-B				
$H7ew := \frac{64 \cdot f}{2}$	t – 32∙ 2	$\frac{\mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{ft}} + \frac{32 \cdot \mathrm{ft} - 0\mathrm{ft}}{2}$	t - H7ew = 32 ft	Height		
L7ew := 39.ft		Length	T7ew := 4.ft	Thickness		
WWew_int_7	:= H7 6	ew∙T7ew∙L7ev S _{ew_5to93}	^v - ∙w _{conc}	WWew_int_	_7 = 19.2 klf	

Case 8 : Wall at colu	umn line 3/C-E				
$H8ew := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{32 \cdot \mathrm{ft} - \mathrm{Oft}}{2}$	H8ew = 32 ft	Height		
L8ew := 74 ⋅ft	Length	T8ew := 4∙ft	Thickness		
WWew_int_8 := <u>H8</u>	^S ew_5to9 ₄	· Wconc	WWew_int	_8 = 19.2 klf	
Case 9 : Walls at co	lumn lines 3/C-E	, 4/С-Е, 5/С-Е &	<u>6/C-E</u>		
$H9ew_1 := \frac{64 \cdot ft - 32}{2}$	$\frac{2 \cdot \mathrm{ft}}{2} + \frac{32 \cdot \mathrm{ft} - 0 \mathrm{ft}}{2}$	H9ew ₁ = 32 ft	Height		
L9ew ₁ := 74 ⋅ft	Length	$T9ew_1 := 4 \cdot ft$	Thickness		
$H9ew_2 := \frac{32 \cdot ft - 0f}{2}$	<u>ft</u>	$H9ew_2 = 16 ft$	Height		
L9ew ₂ := 74 ⋅ft	Length	$T9ew_2 := 4 \cdot ft$	Thickness		
WWew_int_9 := $\frac{(2 \cdot)}{(2 \cdot)}$	H9ew ₁ · T9ew ₁ · I	$-9ew_1$ + (2H9ev S _{ew_5t095}	w₂·T9ew₂·L9ev	w2) ·wconc	
			WWew_ir	nt_9 = 57.6 klf	
	(WWew_int_5)		(21.6)		Case 5
	WWew_int_6		57.6		Case 6
WWew_int_5to9 :=	WWew_int_7	WWew_int	_5to9 = 19.2	klf	Case 7
	WWew_int_8		19.2		Case 8
	\WWew_int_9 /		57.6)	Case 9

Weight of East and West Walls (per foot) Tributary to Diaphragm

6.5.4.3 Total Weight of East & West Walls Tributary to Diaphragm : WW_{ew}

		(26.4)		Case 5
		96.0		Case 6
WWew_5to9 := WWew_ext_5to9 + WWew_int_5to9	WWew_5to9 =	38.4	klf	Case 7
Total weight of East & West Walls(per foot)		28.8		Case 8
Tributary to Diaphragm		57.6		Case 9

6.5.5 Design for North/South Seismic Acceleration

6.5.5.1 Moments and Shears



Seismic Force in the North/South Direction

W _{ns_5to9} :=	[(U_5t Weigh Slat	o9 ^{·I} t of o	DD _{ns_5to9} + Diaphragm Depth	WWns_5to9) Weight of Walls	·SACC _{y_5to9} Seismic Acceleration	Horizontal Seismic Load for diaphragm per Foot (N/S dir)
	(31.6))	Case 5			
	49.6		Case 6			For U_{5to9} , see Sec. 6.5.2
W _{ns_5to9} =	37.5	klf	f Case 7	Loads		For DD _{ns_5to9} , see Sec. 6.5.1 For WWns_5to9 see Sec. 6.5.3.3
	47.4		Case 8			For SACC _{y_5to9} , see Sec. 6.2.6
	69.0)	Case 9			-



6.5.5.2 Check Shear Strength as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.6)

	(18)		Case 5		
	18		Case 6		
h _{5to9} :=	18	l∙in	Case 7	S	lab Thickness, See Section 6.5.1.
	18		Case 8		
	48		Case 9		
	18 (48)		Case 8 Case 9		

Note: Shear strength for case 9 is to be considered as the full depth of 48" as the maximum shear force is outside the 18" depression in the slab.

A _{cv_ns_5to9} :=	(DD _{ns_}	5to9 ^{.h}	of5to9)	
	(15984)		Case 5	
A _{cv_ns_5to9} =	17712	in ²	Case 6	
	8424		Case 7	Shear
	15984		Case 8	Areas
	42624)		Case 9	

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.4)]

Check Limiting Diaphragm Shear Strength

 $\phi V_{n_max_ns_5to9} := \phi_{diaph} \cdot 8 \cdot A_{cv_ns_5to9} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$ ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.6) $\phi_{diaph} = 0.6$ ACI 349 (Ref 2.2.1, Sect 9.3.4)

	(5425))	Case 5			(780)		
∮Vn_max_ns_5to9 ⁼	6012	kip	Case 6	Shear Strength	V _{ns_5to9} =	1683		Shear
	2859		Case 7			992	kip	See Section
	5425		Case 8			1171		6.5.5.1.
	14467		Case 9			4166		

Compare limiting shear capacity per ACI 349 to shear requirements. If $\phi V_{n_max_ns_5to9} > V_{ns_5to9}$, no shear reinforcement is required.

^{♦V} n_max_ns ₁ ^{:=}	"OK" if $\phi V_{n_max_ns_5to9_1} > V_{ns_5to9_1}$	Case 5
	"NG" otherwise	J
		_
^{♦V} n_max_ns ₂ ^{:=}	"OK" if $\phi V_{n_max_ns_5to9_2} > V_{ns_5to9_2}$	Case 6
	"NG" otherwise	J
		•
^{♦V} n_max_ns ₃ ^{:=}	"OK" if $\phi V_{n_max_ns_5to9_3} > V_{ns_5to9_3}$	Case 7
	"NG" otherwise	J
		•
^{♦V} n_max_ns ₄ ^{:=}	"OK" if $\phi V_{n_max_ns_5to9_4} > V_{ns_5to9_4}$	Case 8
	"NG" otherwise	J
^{♦V} n_max_ns ₅ ^{:=}	"OK" if $\phi V_{n_max_ns_5to9_5} > V_{ns_5to9_5}$	Case 9
	"NG" otherwise	
		-
	^{≬V} n_max_ns ₁)	
	ψV ("OK")	Case 5
	" [*] "n_max_ns ₂	Case 6
¢V _{n_max_ns} :=	$^{\phi V}$ n_max_ns ₃ $\phi V_{n_max_ns} =$ "OK"	Case 7
	•Vn mov no	Case 8
	"OK"	Case 9
	[♦] Vn_max_ns ₅	

 $\phi V_{n_{s_{5to9}}} > V_{n_{s_{5to9}}}$ Limiting diaphragm shear strength satisfied.

6.5.5.3 Shear Reinforcement as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.4)]

$$\frac{V_{ns_5to9}}{\phi_{diaph}} := V_{c_{ns_5to9}} + V_{s_{ns_5to9}}$$

 $V_{c_ns_{5to9}} := 2 \cdot A_{cv_ns_{5to9}} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$

Total Shear Strength = Concrete Shear Strength + Reinforcing Steel Shear Strength

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)

	(1356)		Case 5
	1503	kip	Case 6
[¢] diaph [·] V _c ns 5to9 ⁼	715		Case 7
	1356		Case 8
	3617		Case 9



Compare concrete shear capacity per ACI 349 to shear requirements. If $\phi_{diaph} V_{c_ns_5to9} > V_{ns_5to9}$, no shear reinforcement is required.

^{φV} c_ns ₁ ≔	"OK"	^{if}	Case 5
	"NG"	otherwise	
^{♦V} c_ns ₂ :=	"OK"	$^{\text{if}} \phi_{\text{diaph}} V_{\text{c_ns_5to9}_2} > V_{\text{ns_5to9}_2}$	Case 6
	"NG"	otherwise	
$\phi V_{c_ns_3} :=$	"OK"	^{if}	Case 7
	"NG"	otherwise	
^{ϕV} c_ns ₄ :=	"OK"	^{if \$}	Case 8
	"NG"	otherwise	
_{φV} c_ns ₅ ≔	"OK"	f^{ϕ} diaph $V_{c_ns_5to9_5} > V_{ns_5to9_5}$	Case 9
	"NG"	otherwise	



For cases 5 & 8 the concrete shear strength [$\phi_{diaph} V_{c_ns_{5to9}}$] is more than the shear demand [$V_{ns_{5to9}}$] therefore shear reinforcing is not required. For cases 6, 7 & 9 the concrete shear strength is less than the shear demand, therefore shear reinforcing is required.

V _{s_ns_5to9} :=	V _{ns_} [¢] dia	5to9 aph	- V _{c_ns_5to9}	MacGregor (Re	ef 2.2.4, Page 207)
	(-960))	Case 5		
	301		Case 6		
V _{s ns 5to9} =	462	kip	Case 7		
	-309)	Case 8		
	915)	Case 9		
Vs_ns_5to9rev	, := 1	V _{s_r} 0.0·ł	ns_5to9 ₁ ^{if V} s_n kip otherwise	s_5to9 ₁ ≥ 0kip	Case 5
V _{s_ns_5to9rev}	′2 ^{:=}	V _{s_r} 0.0·ł	ns_5to9 ₂ ^{if V} s_n kip otherwise	s_5to9 ₂ ≥ 0kip	Case 6
V _{s_ns_} 5to9rev	′3 ^{:=}	V _{s_r} 0.0∙ł	ns_5to9 ₃ ^{if V} s_n kip otherwise	s_5to9 ₃ ≥ 0kip	Case 7
V _{s_ns_5to9rev}	′4 ^{:=}	V _{s_r} 0.0·ł	ns_5to9 ₄ ^{if V} s_n kip otherwise	s_5to9 ₄ ≥ 0kip	Case 8
V _{s_ns_5to9rev}	'5 ^{:=}	V _{s_r} 0.0∙ł	ns_5to9 ₅ ^{if V} s_n kip otherwise	s_5to9 ₅ ^{≥ 0kip}	Case 9

	$\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right)$		Case 5
	301		Case 6
V _{s ns 5to9rev} =	462	kip	Case 7
	0		Case 8
	915)	Case 9

Required Reinforcing Shear Strength ("0 kip" indicates that no shear reinforcing is required.)

6.5.5.4 Required Reinforcement

	(15984)		Case 5
	17712		Case 6
A _{cv ns 5to9} =	8424	in ²	Case 7
	15984		Case 8
	42624 /		Case 9

Shear Areas

f_y = 60 ksi

^ρ req_ns_5to9 ^{:=}	V _{s_ns_5tos}	9rev 99 ^{.f} y	ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)			
	(0.00000)	Case 5				
^p req_ns_5to9 ⁼	0.00028	Case 6				
	0.00091	Case 7	Required Reinforcement (total required on 2 faces)			
	0.00000	Case 8	(
	0.00036	Case 9				

Note : See Section 6.6.2.3 for total steel required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

6.5.6 Design for East/West Seismic Acceleration

6.5.6.1 Moments and Shears





Seismic Force in the East/West Direction



Note: Shear strength for case 9 is to be considered as the full depth of 48" as the maximum shear force is outside the 18" depression in the slab.



 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.4)]

Check Limiting Diaphragm Shear Strength

 $\phi V_n_max_ew_5to9 := \phi_{diaph} \cdot 8 \cdot A_{cv_ew_5to9} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi} \text{ ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.6)}$

	(3152))	Case 5			(1264))	
^{φV} n_max_ew_5to9 ⁼	14369	kip	Case 6	Shear Strength	V _{ew_5to9} =	5896		Shear
	3372		Case 7			911	kip	See Section
	3152		Case 8			1401		6.5.5.1.
	20528		Case 9			4040		

Compare limiting shear capacity per ACI 349 to shear requirements. If $\phi V_{n_max_ew_{5to9}} > V_{ew_{5to9}}$, no shear reinforcement is required.

^{♦V} n_max_ew ₁ ^{:=}	"OK" if	^{♦V} n_max_ew_5to9 ₁ ^{> V} ew_5to9 ₁	Case 5
	"NG" oth	nerwise	
	T		-
^{♦V} n_max_ew ₂ ^{:=}	"OK" if	$^{\phi V}$ n_max_ew_5to9 ₂ > Vew_5to9 ₂	Case 6
	"NG" oth	nerwise	
	- I		-
^{♦V} n_max_ew ₃ ^{:=}	"OK" if	$^{\phi V}$ n_max_ew_5to9 ₃ > Vew_5to9 ₃	Case 7
	"NG" oth	nerwise	
			-
^{♦V} n_max_ew ₄ ^{:=}	"OK" if	$^{\phi V}$ n_max_ew_5to9 ₄ > Vew_5to9 ₄	Case 8
	"NG" oth	nerwise	
			-
^{♦V} n_max_ew ₅ ^{:=}	"OK" if	^{¢V} n_max_ew_5to9 ₅ ^{> V} ew_5to9 ₅	Case 9
	"NG" oth	nerwise	
	⁽ [♦] Vn_max_e	w ₁)	
	фV	("ОК")	Case 5
	^v *n_max_e	^w 2 "OK"	Case 6
^{♦V} n_max_ew ^{:=}	^{♦V} n_max_e	$w_3 \phi V_{n_max_ew} = "OK" $	Case 7
	¢Vn mav a	"OK"	Case 8
	* n_max_e	^w 4 ("ок")	Case 9
	⁽ ^{¢V} n_max_e	w ₅	
^{♦V} n_max_ew_5te	₀₉ > V _{ew_5to}	9 Limiting diaphragm shea	r strength satisfied.

6.5.6.3 Shear Reinforcement as per ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)

 $\phi_{\text{diaph}} = 0.6$ [Strength reduction factor for in-plane shear ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.4)]

 $\frac{V_{ew_5to9}}{2} := V_{c_ew_5to9} + V_{s_ew_5to9}$ Total Shear Strength = Concrete Shear Strength + **Reinforcing Steel Shear Strength** $V_{c_ew_{5to9}} := 2 \cdot A_{cv_ew_{5to9}} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi}$ ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2) Case 5 788 1264 3592 Case 6 5896 Shear Shear kipCase 7 See Section 843 911 [¢]diaph^{·V}c_ew_5to9 ⁼ kip ^Vew_5to9 ⁼ Strength 6.5.5.1. Case 8 788 1401 5132 Case 9 4040

Compare concrete shear capacity per ACI 349 to shear requirements. If $\phi_{diaph} \cdot V_{c_ew_{5to9}} > V_{ew_{5to9}}$ no shear reinforcement is required.

¢V _{c_ew1} :=	"OK"	$^{\text{if}} \phi_{\text{diaph}} \cdot V_{\text{c}} = w_5 to9_1 > V_{\text{ew}} = 5to9_1$	Case 5
	"NG"	otherwise	
^{♦V} c_ew ₂ :=	"OK"	$^{\text{if}} \phi_{\text{diaph}} \cdot V_{\text{c}} = w_5 to 9_2 > V_{\text{ew}} = 5 to 9_2$	Case 6
	"NG"	otherwise	
¢V _{c_ew3} :=	"OK"	$^{\text{if}} \phi_{\text{diaph}} \cdot V_{\text{c}} = w_5 to 9_3 > V_{\text{ew}} = 5 to 9_3$	Case 7
	"NG"	otherwise	
¢V _{c_ew4} :=	"OK"	$^{\text{if}} \phi_{\text{diaph}} \cdot V_{\text{c}} = w_5 to 9_4 > V_{\text{ew}} = 5 to 9_4$	Case 8
	"NG"	otherwise	
^{♦V} c_ew ₅ ^{:=}	"0K"	if $\phi_{diaph} V_{c_ew_5t09_5} > V_{ew_5t09_5}$	Case 9
	"NG"	otherwise	



For case 9 the concrete shear strength [$\phi_{diaph} \cdot V_{c_ns_5to9}$] is more than the shear demand [V_{ns_5to9}] therefore shear reinforcing is not required. For cases 5, 6, 7 & 8 the concrete shear strength is less than the shear demand, therefore shear reinforcing is required.

V _{s_ew_5to9} := -	^V ew_5 [¢] diap	9 – V _{c_ew_5to}	9 MacGregor (Ref	2.2.4, Page 207)
V _{s_ew_5to9} =	792 3839 113 1022 –1820	Case 5 Case 6 Kip Case 7 Case 8 Case 9		
$V_{s}_{ew_{5to}9rev_{1}} := V_{s}_{ew_{5to}9_{1}}$ if $V_{s}_{ew_{5to}9_{1}} \ge 0$ kip 0.0·kip otherwise			Case 5	
$V_{s_ew_5to9rev_2} := V_{s_ew_5to9_2}$ if $V_{s_ew_5to9_2} \ge 0$ kip 0.0·kip otherwise			Case 6	
$V_{s_{ew_{5to9rev_{3}}}} := V_{s_{ew_{5to9_{3}}}} \text{ if } V_{s_{ew_{5to9_{3}}} \ge 0 \text{ kip}}$ 0.0 \cdot kip otherwise			Case 7	
$V_{s_ew_5to9rev_4} := V_{s_ew_5to9_4} \text{ if } V_{s_ew_5to9_4} \ge 0 \text{ kip}$ $0.0 \cdot \text{ kip otherwise}$ Case 8				Case 8
$V_{s_ew_5to9rev_{5}} := V_{s_ew_5to9_{5}} \text{ if } V_{s_ew_5to9_{5}} \ge 0 \text{ kip}$ $0.0 \cdot \text{ kip otherwise}$ Case 9				Case 9

	(792)		Case 5
	3839	kip	Case 6
V _{s ew 5to9rev} =	113		Case 7
	1022		Case 8
	0)		Case 9

Required Reinforcing Shear Strength ("0 kip" indicates that no shear reinforcing is required.)

6.5.6.4 Required Reinforcement

	9288		Case 5
A _{cv_ew_5to9} =	42336		Case 6
	9936	in ²	Case 7
	9288		Case 8
	60480		Case 9

Shear Areas

f_y = 60 ksi

^p req_ew_5to9 ^{:=}	V _{s_ew_5to}	ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 21.6.5.2)	
	(0.00142)	Case 5	
^p req_ew_5to9 ⁼	0.00151	Case 6	
	0.00019	Case 7	Required Reinforcement (total required on 2 faces)
	0.00183	Case 8	
	(0.00000)	Case 9	

Note : See Section 6.6.2.3 for total steel required for out of plane (vertical) and in-plane (horizontal) loads.

6.5.7 Design Chord Steel

6.5.7.1 North/South Seismic Acceleration

(5837)		Case 5
	17274			Case 6
M _{ns 5to9} =	7934	.	ft∙kip	Case 7
_	8758	8758		Case 8
	7607	6))	Case 9
	(0
	(74)			Case 5
	82			Case 6
DD _{ns_5to} 9 ⁼	39	ft		Case 7
	74			Case 8
	74)		Case 9

Case 6	
Case 7	Diaphragm Moment See Section 6.5.5.1.
Case 8	
Case 9	
Case 5	
Case 6	
Case 7	Diaphragm Depths See Section 6.5.5.1.
Case 8	
Case 9	

Chord Force (CF):

CF _{ns_5to9} :=	M _{ns_} .9·DD _r	_5to9 ns_5to9
CF _{ns_5to9} =	(88 234 226 132 (1142)	kip

Note : 0.9 x Depth is taken as lever arm from centroid of compression stress block to centroid of chord reinforcing steel, thus chord steel will be provided over a width equal to 10% of the diaphragm depth.

Case 5	
Case 6	
Case 7	Chord Forces
Case 8	
Case 9	

Required Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

$$\phi_{b}=0.9$$

$$\mathsf{A}_{ch_ns_5to9} \coloneqq \frac{\mathsf{CF}_{ns_5to9}}{\phi_b \cdot f_y}$$

Strength reduction factor for bending ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.2.1)

	(1.6)		Case 5
	4.3		Case 6
A _{ch ns 5to9} =	4.2	in ²	Case 7
	2.4		Case 8
	21.2		Case 9

Required Chord Steel

Provided Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

Use 2, 6, 6 & 4 # 9 bars for cases 5, 6, 7 & 8 and use 14 # 11 bars for case 9 respectively (N/S Seismic Acceleration).

Chord steel provided = $2.0, 6.0, 6.0, 4.0 \& 21.8 \text{ in}^2$ for cases 5, 6, 7, 8 & 9 respectively (N/S Seismic Acceleration).

See Section 6.6.2.7 for chord steel provided.

	16262)	Case 5	
	84078		Case 6	
M _{ew 5to9} =	6177	ft·kip	Case 7	
_	18031		Case 8	
	51992)	Case 9	
	(43)		Case 5	
	196		Case 6	
DD _{ew_5to9} =	46	ft	Case 7	
	43		Case 8	
	(105)		Case 9	

6.5.7.2 East/West Seismic Acceleration

Case 7	Diaphragm Moment See Section 6.5.6.1.
Case 8	
Case 9	
Case 5	
Case 6	
Case 7	Diaphragm Depths See Section 6.5.6.1.
Case 8	
Case 9	

Chord Force (CF):

CF _{ew_5to9} ≔	M _e .9·DE	w_5to9 ⁾ ew_5to9	Note : 0.9 x Depth is stress block to centr will be provided ove	s taken as lever arm from centroid of compression roid of chord reinforcing steel, thus chord steel r a width equal to 10% of the diaphragm depth.
	(420))	Case 5	
	477		Case 6	
CF _{ew 5to9} =	149	kip	Case 7	Chord Forces
	466		Case 8	
	550)	Case 9	

Required Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

$$\phi_b = 0.9$$

 $f_v = 60 \text{ ksi}$



Strength reduction factor for bending ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 9.3.2.1)

Provided Chord Steel (A_{ch}):

Use 8 & 4 # 9 bars for cases 5 & 7 and use 6, 6 & 8 # 11 bars for cases 6, 8 & 9 respectively (E/W Seismic Acceleration).

Chord steel provided = 8.0, 9.4, 4.0, 9.4 & 12.5 in² for cases 5, 6, 7, 8 & 9 respectively (E/W Seismic Acceleration).

See Section 6.6.2.7 for chord steel provided.

6.6 REINFORCEMENT FOR SLAB AND CHORD

6.6.1 Analysis Cases 1 to 4

See Attachment A for plans of the RF showing the diaphragms.

Case 1:	Roof Diaphragm @ El.100':Col Lines 3-6/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): (See Attachment A, Sheet A6)	18" slab
Case 2:	Roof Diaphragm @ El. 72': Col Lines 6-8/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): (See Attachment A, Sheet A5)	18" slab
Case 3:	Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64': Col Lines 4-6/A-C (N/S dir) & 3-8/A-C (E/W dir): Also applies to Col Lines: • 2-3/A-C, 6-7/A-C, 7-8/A-C, 2-3/E-F, 4-6/E-F, 6-7/E-F & 7-8/E-F (N/S dir) • 3-8/E-F (E/W dir). (See Attachment A, Sheet A4)	18" slab

- Case 4: Roof Diaphragm @ El. 64':Col Lines 2-3/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): 18" slab (See Attachment A, Sheet A4)
- **6.6.1.1 Slab Reinforcement for Out-of-Plane (Vertical) Loads : See Section 6.3.6.** Note : Reinforcement is required for one face of diaphragm.



6.6.1.2 Slab Reinforcement for In-Plane (Horizontal) Loads : See Sections 6.4.5.4 and 6.4.6.4. Note : Reinforcement is required for total two faces of diaphragm.

	N/S Seismic			E/W Seismic		
		0.00573	Case 1		0.00194	Case 1
		0.00089	Case 2		0.00144	Case 2
^p req_ns_1to4	$^{ m p}$ req_ns_1to4 =	0.00102	Case 3	^p req_ew_1to4 ⁼	0.00101	Case 3
		0.00000	Case 4		0.00240	Case 4

6.6.1.3 Combined Reinforcement Req'd (Reinforcement required per face of diaphragm) Distribute the reinforcement required for in-plane shear equally in each face.

			^p req_1to4	$+\frac{\max(\rho_{rec})}{1}$	g_ns_1to4 ₁ ^{, p} re 2	eq_ew_1to4 ₁)	Case 1
			^p req_1to4 ₂	$+\frac{\max(\rho_{rec})}{2}$	2_ns_1to42 ^{, p} re	$eq_ew_1to4_2$	Case 2
^p req_comb_1to4 ^{:=}		^p req_1to4 ₃	$+\frac{\max(\rho red}{\rho}$	q_ns_1to4 ₃ , ^p re	eq_ew_1to4 ₃)	Case 3	
			^p req_1to4 ₂	$+\frac{\max(\rho_{rec})}{4}$	q_ns_1to4 ₄ ^{, p} re 2	$eq_ew_1to4_4$	Case 4
$\rho_{req_comb_1to4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00\\ $		0.00323 0.00109 0.00088 0.00157	Case 1 Case 2 Case 3 Case 4 Case 1 Case 2 Case 3 Case 4	$d_{1to4} = \begin{pmatrix} 15.14\\15.14\\15.14\\15.14\\15.14 \end{pmatrix}$	4 4 4 4		
See Section 6.3.4. $As_{req_1to4} := \overbrace{\left[\left(\rho_{req_comb_1to4}\right) \cdot b \cdot d_{1to4}\right]}^{\bullet}$			As _{req_1to4} =	(0.59 0.20 0.16 0.28	Case 1 foot Case 2 Case 3 Case 4		

6.6.1.4 Minimum Reinforcement Req'd

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 10.5.1) specifies that at every section of a flexural member where tensile reinforcement is required by analysis, the area A_s provided shall not be less than equation 10-3.

ACI 349 (Ref 2.2.1, Sect. 10.5.3) specifies that the minimum tensile reinforcement is satisfied by increasing the reinforcement requirement by 1/3



ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 7.12.5) specifies that where reinforcement is required the ratio of reinforcement provided on the tension face shall not be less than .0018 times the gross concrete area.

6.6.1.5 Slab Reinforcement Req'd

$$As_{reqd_1to4} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} max(As_{req_1to4_1}, As_{req_1to4_min_1}) \\ max(As_{req_1to4_2}, As_{req_1to4_min_2}) \\ max(As_{req_1to4_3}, As_{req_1to4_min_3}) \\ max(As_{req_1to4_4}, As_{req_1to4_min_4}) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 0.64 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \end{bmatrix} in^2 \text{ per foot}$$

6.6.1.6 Slab Reinforcement Provided

Provide # 9 bars @ 12" on center, both ways, top & bottom for case 1 and # 7 bars @ 12" on center, both ways, top & bottom for cases 2 to 4.

	(1.00)		
A -	0.60	2	por foot
ASprov_1to4 :=	0.60	·In	perioot
	0.60		

Case 1 : 18" thick roof slab @ El. 100'
Case 2 : 18" thick roof slab @ El. 72'
Case 3 : 18" thick roof slab @ El. 64'
Case 4 : 18" thick roof slab @ El. 64'

Reinforcement provided for cases 1 to 4 is more than required.



6.6.1.7 Chord Steel Provided : See Sections 6.4.7.1 & 6.4.7.2.

Chord Steel Case 1 (El. 100')

- 16 # 11 bars along column lines C/E (between column lines 3 & 6)
- 6 # 11 bars along column lines 3/6 (between column lines C & E)

Chord Steel Case 2 (El. 72')

- 6 # 11 bars along column lines C/E (between column lines 6 & 8)
- 8 # 9 bars along column lines 6/8 (between column lines C & E)

Chord Steel Case 3 (El. 64')

- 6 # 9 bars along column lines A/C & E/F (between column lines 3 & 8)
- 8 # 9 bars along column lines 3/8 (between column lines A/C & E/F)

Chord Steel Case 4 (El. 64')

- 4- # 9 bars along column lines C/E (between column lines 2 & 3)
- 10 # 9 bars along column lines 2/3 (between column lines C & E)

6.6.2 Analysis Cases 5 to 9

See Attachment A for plans of the RF showing the diaphragms.

Case 5: Roof Diaphragm @ EI. 32': Col Lines 8-9/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): (See Attachment A, Sheet A3)	18" slab		
Case 6: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 4-6/E-F (N/S dir) & 3-8/E-F (E/W dir): Also applies to Col Lines: • 2-3/E/F, 6-7/E-F, 7-8/E-F, 4-6/A-C, 6-7/E-F, & 7-8/E-F(N/S dir) • 3-8/A-C (E/W dir). See Attachment A, Sheet A3)	18" slab		
Case 7:Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 3-4/A-B (N/S & E/W dir): See Attachment A, Sheet A3)	18" slab		
Case 8: Floor Diaphragm @ El. 32': Col Lines 2-3/C-E (N/S & E/W dir): See Attachment A, Sheet A3)	18" slab		
Case 9: Floor Diaphragm @ El.32': Col Lines 3-4/C-D (N/S & E/W dir): Also applies to Col Lines 3-6/C-E See Attachment A, Sheet A3)			

6.6.2.1 Slab Reinforcement for Out-of-Plane (Vertical) Loads : See Section 6.3.6. Note : Reinforcement is required for one face of diaphragm.

	$\left({}^{\rho} req_{1} \right)$	18" roof slab			
	0	18" floor slab		(0.00037)	Case 5
	^P req ₂			0.00038	Case 6
^ρ req_5to9 ^{:=}	$^{\rho}$ req ₂	18" floor slab	ρ req_5to9 =	0.00038	Case 7
	Prog			0.00038	Case 8
	^{r req} 2	18" floor slab		0.0061	Case 9
	$\left({}^{\rho} req_{3} \right)$	48" floor slab			

6.6.2.2 Slab Reinforcement for In-Plane (Horizontal) Loads : See Sections 6.5.5.4 and 6.5.6.4. Note : Reinforcement is required for total two faces of diaphragm.

|--|

	(0.00000)	Case 5
	0.00028	Case 6
ρ reg ns 5to9 =	0.00091	Case 7
	0.00000	Case 8
	0.00036	Case 9

E/W Seismic	
	0.00142
	0.00151
ρ req ew 5to9 =	0.00019

0.00019 Case 7 0.00183 Case 8 0.00000 Case 9

Case 5 Case 6

6.6.2.3 Combined Reinforcement Req'd

(Reinforcement required per face of diaphragm)

Distribute the reinforcement required for in-plane shear equally in each face.

$$\rho_{req_comb_5to9} := \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{req_5to9_1} + \frac{max(\rho_{req_ns_5to9_1}, \rho_{req_ew_5to9_1}) \\ \rho_{req_5to9_2} + \frac{max(\rho_{req_ns_5to9_2}, \rho_{req_ew_5to9_2}) \\ 2 \\ \rho_{req_5to9_2} + \frac{max(\rho_{req_ns_5to9_3}, \rho_{req_ew_5to9_3}) \\ \rho_{req_5to9_3} + \frac{max(\rho_{req_ns_5to9_3}, \rho_{req_ew_5to9_3}) \\ 2 \\ \rho_{req_5to9_4} + \frac{max(\rho_{req_ns_5to9_4}, \rho_{req_ew_5to9_4}) \\ 2 \\ \rho_{req_5to9_5} + \frac{max(\rho_{req_ns_5to9_5}, \rho_{req_ew_5to9_5}) \\ 2 \\ \rho_{req_5to9_5} + \frac{max(\rho_{req_ns_5to9_5}, \rho_{req_ew_5to9_5}) \\ 2 \\ \rho_{req_comb_5to9} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00108 \\ 0.00114 \\ 0.00084 \\ 0.00130 \\ 0.00628 \end{pmatrix}$$
Case 5
Case 7
Case 7
Case 7
Case 7
Case 7
Case 7
Case 8
Case 9
Ca



6.6.2.4 Minimum Reinforcement Req'd

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 10.5.1) specifies that at every section of a flexural member where tensile reinforcement is required by analysis, the area A_s provided shall not be less than equation 10-3.

ACI 349 (Ref 2.2.1, Sect. 10.5.3) specifies that the minimum tensile reinforcement is satisfied by increasing the reinforcement requirement by 1/3

(18)		Case 5				
h _{5to9} =	18		Case 6			
	18	in	Case 7	Slab Thickness, See S	Section 6.5.1.	
	18		Case 8			
	48)	Case 9			
b = 12 in			See Section 6	.3.4.		
f _C = 5000 psi			Compressive S	trength of Concrete	(Ref. 2.2.2, Sect. 4.2.11.6.2)	
f _V = 60000 psi			Yield Stress of	Yield Stress of Grade 60 Reinforcing Steel (Ref. 2.2.2, Sect.		

$$As_{req_5to9_ACI} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} ain \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}}{3}\right) \\ min \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}_{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}_{2}}{3}\right) \\ min \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}_{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}_{3}}{3}\right) \\ min \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}_{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}_{3}}{3}\right) \\ min \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}_{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}_{4}}{3}\right) \\ min \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}_{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}_{4}}{3}\right) \\ min \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}_{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}_{4}}{3}\right) \\ min \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}_{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}_{5}}{3}\right) \\ min \left(\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot psi \cdot b \cdot d_{5to9}}_{f_y}, \frac{4 \cdot As_{req_5to9}_{5}}{3}\right) \\ Case 8 \\ Case 9 \\ \hline \\ As_{req_5to9_ACI} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.26 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.31 \\ 1.15 \end{pmatrix} in^2 \\ Case 8 \\ Case 9 \\ Case 9 \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$$

ACI 349 (Ref. 2.2.1, Sect. 7.12.5) specifies that where reinforcement is required the ratio of reinforcement provided on the tension face shall not be less than .0018 times the gross concrete area.

$$As_{req_5to9_min} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} max \left(0.0018 \cdot b \cdot h_{5to9_{1}}, As_{req_5to9_ACl_{1}} \right) & Case 5 \\ max \left(0.0018 \cdot b \cdot h_{5to9_{2}}, As_{req_5to9_ACl_{2}} \right) & Case 6 \\ max \left(0.0018 \cdot b \cdot h_{5to9_{3}}, As_{req_5to9_ACl_{3}} \right) & Case 7 \\ max \left(0.0018 \cdot b \cdot h_{5to9_{4}}, As_{req_5to9_ACl_{4}} \right) & Case 8 \\ max \left(0.0018 \cdot b \cdot h_{5to9_{5}}, As_{req_5to9_ACl_{5}} \right) & Case 9 \\ \hline \\ As_{req_5to9_min} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 1.5 \end{pmatrix} & Case 5 \\ Case 6 \\ per foot Case 7 & Minimum Reinforcement Req'd \\ Case 8 \\ Case 9 \\ \hline \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

6.6.2.5 Slab Reinforcement Req'd

$$As_{reqd_5to9} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} max \left(As_{req_5to9_1}, As_{req_5to9_min_1} \right) \\ max \left(As_{req_5to9_2}, As_{req_5to9_min_2} \right) \\ max \left(As_{req_5to9_3}, As_{req_5to9_min_3} \right) \\ max \left(As_{req_5to9_4}, As_{req_5to9_min_4} \right) \\ max \left(As_{req_5to9_5}, As_{req_5to9_min_5} \right) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 2.05 \end{bmatrix} a^2 \\ Case 5 \\ Case 6 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \\ 2.05 \end{bmatrix} c^2 \\ Case 8 \\ Case 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.6.2.6 Slab Reinforcement Provided

Provide # 7 bars @ 12" on center, both ways, top & bottom for cases 5, 6, 7 & 8. Provide # 11 bars @ 6" on center, both ways, top & bottom for case 9.

	(0.60		Case 5 : 18" thick roof slab @ El. 32'
	0.60		Case 6 : 18" thick floor slab @ El. 32'
s _{prov 5to9} ≔	0.60	in ² per foot	Case 7 : 18" thick floor slab @ El. 32'
· _	0.60		Case 8 : 18" thick floor slab @ El. 32'
	3.12		Case 9 : 48" thick floor slab @ El. 32'

Reinforcement provided for cases 5 to 9 is more than required.



Δ

RR _{5to9} =	(0.65)	Case 5	
	0.65	Case 6	
	0.65	Case 7	
	0.65	Case 8	
	0.66	Case 9	

Reinforcement Ratio

6.6.2.7 Chord Steel Provided : See Sections 6.5.7.1 & 6.5.7.2.

See Attachment A for typical chord steel for diaphragm.

Chord Steel Case 5 (El. 32')

- 2- # 9 bars along column lines C/E (between column lines 8 & 9)
- 8- # 9 bars along column lines 8/9 (between column lines C & E)

Chord Steel Case 6 (El. 32')

- 6- # 9 bars along column lines A/C & E/F (between column lines 3 & 8)
- 6- # 11 bars along column lines 3/8 (between column lines A/C & E/F)

Chord Steel Case 7 (El. 32')

- 6- # 9 bars along column lines A/B (between column lines 3 & 4)
- 4- # 9 bars along column lines 3/4 (between column lines A & B)

Chord Steel Case 8 (El. 32')

- 4- # 9 bars along column lines C/E (between column lines 2 & 3)
- 6- # 11 bars along column lines 2/3 (between column lines C & E)

Chord Steel Case 9 (El. 32')

- 14- # 11 bars along column lines C/E (between column lines 3 & 6)
- 8- # 11 bars along column lines 3/6 (between column lines C & E)

6.7 Reinforcement Summary

Case No.	Diaphragm (a) N/S direction	Diaphragm (a) E/W direction	Slab Reinforcement (b)(c)	Chord Reinforcement N/S Direction (d)(e)	Chord Reinforcement E/W Direction (d)(e)
Case 1	3-6/C-E	3-6/C-E	# 9 @ 12" on center, top and bottom, both directions	16 - #11	6 - #11
Case 2	6-8/C-E	6-8/C-E	# 7 at 12" on center, top and bottom, both directions	6 - #11	8 - #9
Case 3	3-4/A-C & 3-4/E-F 4-6/A-C & 4-6/E-F 6-7/A-C & 6-7/E-F 7-8/A-C & 7-8/E-F	3-8/A-C & 3-8/E-F	#7at 12" on center, top and bottom, both directions	6 - #9	8 - #9
Case 4	2-3/C-E	2-3/C-E	# 7 at 12" on center, top and bottom, both directions	4 - #9	10 - #9
Case 5	8-9/C-E	8-9/C-E	# 7 at 12" on center, top and bottom, both directions	2 - #9	8 - #9
Case 6	3-4/E-F 4-6/A-C & 4-6/E-F 6-7/A-C & 6-7/E-F 7-8/A-C & 7-8/E-F	4-8/A-C & 3-8/E-F	#7at 12" on center, top and bottom, both directions	6 - #9	6 - #11
Case 7	3-4/A-B	3-4/A-B	# 7 at 12" on center, top and bottom, both directions	6 - #9	4 - #9
Case 8	2-3/C-E	2-3/C-E	# 7 at 12" on center, top and bottom, both directions	4 - #9	6 - #11
Case 9	3-6/C-E	3-6/C-E	# 11 at 6" on center, top and bottom, both directions	14 - #11	8 - #11

Notes:

a. Attachment A

b. Slab Reinforcement Cases 1-4, Section 6.6.1.6

c. Slab Reinforcement Cases 5-9, Section 6.6.2.6

d. Slab Reinforcement Cases 1-4, Section 6.6.1.7

e. Slab Reinforcement Cases 5-9, Section 6.6.2.7

7.0 RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

7.1 RESULTS

The results from this calculation are as follows.

- 7.1.1 Slab reinforcement provided : See sections 6.6.1.6 and 6.6.2.6.
 - 18" thick slabs @ El. 100':

9 reinforcing bars @ 12" on center, both ways, top & bottom

• 18" thick slabs @ El. 72', 64' & 32':

#7 reinforcing bars @ 12" on center, both ways, top & bottom

• 48" thick slab @ El. 32':

11 reinforcing bars @ 6" on center, both ways, top & bottom

• Reinforcing Ratio = Reinforcement required / Reinforcment provided

Cases 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8: 0.65 Case 1: 0.64 Case 9: 0.66

- 7.1.2 Chord reinforcement provided:
 - See sections 6.6.1.7 and 6.6.2.7.

7.2 CONCLUSIONS

Results from this calculation demonstrate that for the slabs investigated a reasonable slab design is achieved for the imposed design loads. The slab reinforcement provided in section 7.1.1 of this calculation is reasonable for the type of structure under consideration and the types of loads applied to this structure. The Reinforcement Ratio (see section 7.1.1) shows that there is adequate margin for use in consideration of larger seismic events in the probabilistic risk assessment.

Chord reinforcement provided in section 7.1.2 is based on conservatively placing the reinforcement so that the lever arm from centroid of compression stress block to centroid of chord reinforcement is 0.9 x Depth of diaphragm. During the detailed design phase of the project three dimensional finite element analysis could yield reduction in the chord reinforcement required.

ATTACHMENT A RF BLDG. ROOF AND FLOOR PLANS








FIGURE 4 - ROOF PLAN AT EL 72'-0" & WALLS FROM EL 72-0" TO EL 100'-0"

