

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

July 2, 2009 U7-C-STP-NRC-090061

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

South Texas Project Units 3 and 4 Docket Nos. 52-012 and 52-013 Responses to Requests for Additional Information

Attached are responses to NRC staff questions included in Request for Additional Information (RAI) letter numbers 111 and 112 related to Combined License Application (COLA) Part 2, Tier 2, Chapter 15.

The attachments address the responses to the two RAI questions listed below:

RAI 15.01.01-15.01.04-1 RAI 15.08-1

There are no commitments in this letter.

If you have any questions regarding this response, please contact me at (361) 972-7136, or Bill Mookhoek at (361) 972-7274.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 7/2/09

lEIL

Scott Head Manager, Regulatory Affairs South Texas Project Units 3 & 4

jet

Attachments:

- 1. Question 15.01.01-15.01.04-1
- 2. Question 15.08-1

cc: w/o attachment except* (paper copy)

Director, Office of New Reactors U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Kathy C. Perkins, RN, MBA Assistant Commissioner Division for Regulatory Services Texas Department of State Health Services P. O. Box 149347 Austin, Texas 78714-9347

Alice Hamilton Rogers, P.E. Inspection Unit Manager Texas Department of State Health Services P. O. Box 149347 Austin, Texas 78714-9347

C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

*Steven P. Frantz, Esquire A. H. Gutterman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington D.C. 20004

*George F. Wunder *Adrian Muniz Two White Flint North 11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852 (electronic copy)

*George Wunder *Adrian Muniz Loren R. Plisco U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Steve Winn Eddy Daniels Joseph Kiwak Nuclear Innovation North America

Jon C. Wood, Esquire Cox Smith Matthews

J. J. Nesrsta R. K. Temple Kevin Pollo L. D. Blaylock CPS Energy

RAI 15.01.01 – 15.01.04-1

QUESTION:

The applicant submitted a new comparison FSAR Table 15.1S-2 listing the instrument response time given in the DCD and for STP3/4. Response time is significantly changed from the DCD values assumed in the analysis for scram, power-actuated safety relief function, recirculation pump trip (RPT) and MSIV isolation. What are the bounding values? A detailed explanation is required in the FSAR relative to any impacts that the new values may have for the analysis already completed for the DCD analysis and whether the staff safety conclusions remain valid.

RESPONSE:

Supplementary Section 15.1S of the COLA discusses the design differences from the certified design that could impact the transient and safety analysis. In Rev. 0 of the COLA, there was a change to various instrument delay times in the DCD that was discussed in this supplementary section. This change was attributed to STD DEP T1 3.4-1.

In COLA Rev. 2, these instrument delay times were returned to the values used in the DCD, and STD DEP 3.4-1 was deleted from Section 15.1S. However, consistent with this deletion, the setpoint value changes shown in Table 15.1S-2 of Rev. 2 of the COLA should have also been deleted. This will be corrected in a future revision of the COLA as shown in the following markup of Rev 2 of the COLA.

As noted in Section 15.1S of Rev. 2 of the STP 3&4 COLA, there are no departures which affect the transient and accident analyses.

Design Function	DCD Response	ABWR Response
	Time (sec)	Time (sec)
Scram Reactor		
Reactor Water Level Trip (Level 3 only)	1.05	0.85
Reactor Vessel High Pressure Trip	0.55	0.70
MSIV Closure Trip	0.95 0.06	0.10 0.10
Turbine Stop Valve Closure Trip	0.06	0.10
Turbine Control Valve Fast Closure Trip	0.08	0.12
Core Flow Rapid Coastdown Trip	_	
Core Flow Measurement	1.0	1.00
— NMS Logic Delay Time	0.10	0 (below)
	0.15	0.09
Total	1.15	1.09
Power Actuated Safety Relief Function		
Response Time		
Response Time	0.45	0.7
Recirculation Pump Trip (RPT)		
Response time for vessel dome pressure sensors	0.30	0.70
MSIV Isolation		
From detection of L1.5 water level to start of MSIV closure	1.20	1.0
From detection of turbine inlet pressure to start of MSIV		
closure	1.0	0.7

Table 15.1S-2 Instrument Response Time

RAI 15.08-1

QUESTION:

FSAR Chapter 15, Section 15E.4 - In the certified DCD, automated initiation of the automatic depressurization system (ADS) is inhibited unless there is a coincident low reactor water level signal (level 1.5) and an average power range monitor (APRM) anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) permissive signal. For STP, low reactor water level signal (level 1.5) is deleted from the ADS inhibit logic during ATWS. Justify the deletion of the reactor water level from the logic.

RESPONSE:

In Revision 2 of the Combined License (COL) application, there was an administrative departure to FSAR Appendix 15E, subsection titled "ATWS Logic and Setpoints," for Item (7) ADS Inhibit which was intended to clarify the conditions for automatic ADS inhibit. As part of this administrative departure, a portion of the sentence in Item 7 was deleted and replaced with alternate wording. The deletion did not delete the reactor water level signal (level 1.5) from the design. In fact there is no change to the ATWS ADS Inhibit mitigation function from what is described in the DCD and the logic that is shown in Figure 15E-1c.

To eliminate potential confusion, the administrative departure will be withdrawn and the subsection incorporated by reference from the DCD with no departures as shown below.

Changes to the COLA text are as shown below. Changes are highlighted in gray shading.

15E.4 ATWS Logic and Setpoints STD DEP Admin

The mitigation of ATWS events is accomplished by a multitude of equipment and procedures. These include ARI, FMCRD run-in, feedwater runback, RPT, recirculation runback, ADS inhibit, and SLCS. The logic of this ATWS mitigation is presented in Figures 15E-1a, 15E-1b and 15E-1c. The following are the initiation signals and setpoints for the above response:

.(7) ADS inhibit

 Automated initiation of ADS is inhibited unless there is a coincident low reactor water level signal (level 1.5) and an APRM ATWS permissive signal whenever potential ATWS conditions exist as indicated by APRMs not being downscale