

DRAFT OUTLINE COMMENTS

Facility: Callaway

First Exam Date: 6/19/09

Written Exam Outline (4/6/09)		
Comment		Resolution
1	None.	
2		
3		
4		
5		

Administrative JPM Outline (4/6/09)		
Comment		Resolution
1	R&SA1: Ensure the QPTR calc is not duplicated in scenario 2, rod drop event.	It is not the same. This is manual calc.
2	Re-label: RO(A1a, RA2, RA3, RA4) SRO(A1a, SA2, SA3, SA4, SA5)	Changed
3	ES-301-1 and -2 are not the correct revision: Resubmit with Rev. 9 Supplement 1 revision.	Resubmitted
4	Do the tagout review in the classroom, not the simulator.	Changed location.
5		

Control Room / In-Plant System JPM Outline (4/6/09)		
Comment		Resolution
1	Identify the RCA in-plant.	(K) is in the RCA. Form corrected
2	JPM e. is ESF.	(EN) Supp. 1 forms provided.
3	Re-Label: S1, S2,...,S8, P1, P2, P3	Changed
4		
5		

Simulator Scenario Outline Comments (4/6/09)		
Comment		Resolution
1	Transient and Event checklist identifies scenario 2 as the backup. Shouldn't this	Scenario 4 is the backup. Will submit. Backup submitted. Forms corrected.

	be scenario 3?	
2	T and E CL double counts the normal and reactivity evolutions for the BOP, ATC and CRS positions. There is only one or the other. The RO should get the credit for Rx manipulation. The BOP and CRS should get NORMALS. If there is a component failure requiring a power change, then this can count as a Rx manipulation or I/C, but not both.	Corrected
3	Scenario 1 Event 1: Critical task identified is not a critical task.	Changed
4	Scenario 2 Event 2: Critical task identified is not a critical task.	Changed
5	Scenarios 2 and 1 have a failure of a CCP to Auto start. Change this.	Removed pump failure on Scenario 2
6	Scenario 2: Start at 60% and raise power to 65%.	Done
7	(ALL) We will not grade the EP declarations unless it is incorporated into an admin JPM.	OK
8	(ALL) Reactivity manip credits incorrect	Corrected
9	(SB) Event 5 is not reactivity manip since this is an auto power change.	Corrected
10	ES-301-5 incorrect crediting for events A and 3 for scenario 1 and 2	Corrected
11	Scenario 3: Need to determine an actual spray valve failure amount – do not guess.	Will be 30 percent.

Schedule: R5 will have to stand watch on 3rd scenario per 1021, Rev. 9, Supp. 1. (Schedule changed)

Security Agreement: Where is the exam developer on the security agreement? (Security agreement provided)