NRC FORM 591M PART 1			J.S. NUCLEAR REGULA	TORY COMMISSION
	PECTION REPORT	AND COMPLIANCE		
1. LICENSE E/LOCATION INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE		
Thermal Engineering International Joplin, mo		U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210		
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-00		Lisle, Illinois 6053	12-4351	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUM		5. DATE(S) OF INS	SPECTION
030-17798	24-19500	-01	6/10-11/	
LICENSEE:				
The inspection was an examination of to compliance with the Nuclear Regular The inspection consisted of selective and observations by the inspector. The X 1. Based on the inspection findings, not 2. Previous violation(s) closed.	examinations of proce ne inspection findings	duras and regulation	as they relate to radions and the conditions we records, interview	ation safety and s of your license. vs with personnel
3. The violation(s), specifically describe identified, non-repetitive, and corrective 1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.	ed to you by the inspector a e action was or is being take fied.	as non-cited violations, are n en, and the remaining criteria	ot being cited because the a in the NRC Enforcement	ey were self- t Policy, NUREG-
Non-Cited Violati	on(s) was/were discussed ii	nvolving the following require	ement(s) and Corrective A	action(s):
4. During this inspection certain of you cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLA (Violations and Corrective Actions)	r activities, as described bel ATION, which may be subjec	low and/or attached, were in ct to posting in accordance v	violation of NRC requiren vith 10 CFR 19.11.	nents and are being
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions corrective actions is made in accordance with the date when full compliance will be achieved). I	described by me to the insp	vritten response to NRC will	the violations identified.	This statement of which will be taken, fically requested.  Date
NRC INSPECTOR Michael	MluFranzo	all Mets	1	6/11/09
RC FORM 591M PART 1 (10-2003)		4,8		

## U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY NRC FORM 591M PART 3 COMMISSION **Docket File Information** (10-2003) 10 CFR 2,201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION 1. LICENSEE 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Thermal Engineering International Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 REPORT 2009-001 Lisle, IL 60532 NUMBER(S) 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION June 10-11, 2009 24-19500-01 030-17798 6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 87121 03.01 - 03.07SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 2. PRIORITY 3. LICENSEE CONTACT 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 417-659-8222 3320 Nick Darnell - RSO Next Inspection Date: June 2010 Main Office Inspection Χ Field Office 2702 W. 9th Street; Joplin, Missouri Temporary Job Site Х Inspection

## PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee, a manufacturing company that produced pressure vessels up to 50 feet long, performed radiography to evaluate the welds on the vessels. While authorized to perform radiographic operations at temporary job sites throughout NRC-regulated areas, the licensee performed radiography only on their premises in Joplin, Missouri, using two radiography cameras as authorized on the license. All radiographic operations were performed at temporary job sites, and large concrete blocks were used to provide shielding. The radiography cameras were used approximately daily at the facility by four radiographers.

## Observations and Findings

The inspector interviewed several radiographers and licensee management and determined that each individual had adequate knowledge to ensure the safe use of licensed material. The inspector reviewed a representative sample of records which included dosimetry, calibration certificates, utilization logs, training, maintenance logs, leak tests; no abnormal items were identified. The inspector observed radiographic operations and performed radiation surveys around the area where operations were being performed. The inspector did not identify any elevated levels of radiation in unrestricted areas. The inspector performed surveys of the storage facility and did not detect abnormal radiation levels. The inspector performed a side-by-side comparison between NRC and licensee survey instrumentation and did not identify and significant deviations.

Sel