

PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Govan, Tekia
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 3:51 PM
To: 'Bense, Richard'; 'dwstillwell@stpegs.com'
Cc: STPCOL
Subject: Draft RAI section 2.5.1
Attachments: RAI 2443.doc

Please find attached the draft RAI for section 2.5.1. During a previous call, the first two questions were discussed with no follow on questions. However, it was requested that the last two questions be revised. Please review the revised questions and let me know if you need to have a call to discuss before we formally submit to you.

Thanks
Tekia

Tekia V. Govan, Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of New Reactors
MS T-7-F29
Washington DC 20555-0001
301-415-6197
Tekia.Govan@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 1287

Mail Envelope Properties (83F82891AF9D774FBBB39974B6CB134F946784203D)

Subject: Draft RAI section 2.5.1
Sent Date: 6/8/2009 3:50:44 PM
Received Date: 6/8/2009 3:50:45 PM
From: Govan, Tekia

Created By: Tekia.Govan@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"STPCOL" <STP.COL@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Bense, Richard" <rhbense@STPEGS.COM>
Tracking Status: None
"dwstillwell@stpegs.com" <dwstillwell@stpegs.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	592	6/8/2009 3:50:45 PM
RAI 2443.doc	34298	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Request for Additional Information No. 2443 Revision 0

South Texas Project Units 3 and 4
South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Co
Docket No. 52-012 and 52-013
SRP Section: 02.05.01 - Basic Geologic and Seismic Information
Application Section: 2.5S.1

QUESTIONS for Geosciences and Geotechnical Engineering Branch 2 (RGS2)

02.05.01-***

In response to RAI 2.5.1-15, you described paleoseismic investigations of over 15 miles along the Colorado River within the STP site vicinity. Please provide information on the level of detail for these investigations, for instance (1) what were the site conditions, (2) what was the quality of the cutbank exposures, (3) were the sedimentary conditions appropriate for liquefaction to occur. Did you investigate smaller streams and tributaries along the Colorado River? Please provide the information that is specific to the paleoseismic portion of the field investigation.

02.05.01-***

FSAR Section 2.5S.1.2.4.3, states in the "Summary" subsection that fault GMP (FSAR Reference 2.5S.1-124) extends beneath the cooling reservoir and is the closest growth fault to STP Units 3 and 4, with a surface projection approximately 1.4 miles from Units 3 and 4. Since this is the closest growth fault feature to the STP site, and due to the fact that it was not previously characterized in the FSAR for Units 1 and 2, please describe this fault more thoroughly, including whether any additional investigations were performed, and if so what the results were. If additional investigations were not performed, please explain why, given the proximity of the fault to the site.

02.05.01-***

In response to RAIs 2.5.1-13 and 2.5.1-14, you stated that the growth fault STP12I/GMO does not trend eastward into the cooling reservoir and this information appears to be based largely on results from topographic profile STP L4. In addition, you investigated the area south of the reservoir for associated features. In revised FSAR Figure 2.5S.1-45, provided in response to RAI 2.5.1-13, there are features (within the cooling reservoir) that represent slope breaks and vegetation lineaments along a northeast trend and along strike with growth fault GMO/STP12I (as it is projected west of the cooling reservoir). These features appear to be continuous toward the center of the cooling reservoir. FSAR Section 2.5S.1.2.4.2.2.1 states that potential uncertainty associated with projecting growth fault locations may vary as much as several miles as well as along strike and "between different faults". In light of this, the slope breaks and linear vegetation features shown on revised FSAR Figure 2.5S.1-45 may represent a northeast extension of growth fault GMO/STP12I at the surface, placing the fault within the cooling reservoir and closer to STP Units 3 and 4. Please discuss these linear features.

02.05.01-***

FSAR Sections 2.5S.1.1.4.4.5.4, 2.5S.1.2.4.2, 2.5S.1.2.4.3, and 2.5S.3.2.2 provide evaluations of growth faults and the potential for Quaternary growth faulting in the STP site vicinity. This data is based on investigations performed for STP Units 1 and 2 and more recently for STP Units 3 and 4. In order for the staff to perform an adequate evaluation of the potential for growth faulting within the 5-mile STP radius, and specifically within the 0.6-mile site radius, please provide the following:

- a.) FSAR figure 2.5S.1-44 suggests that it represents lineament data in addition to aerial reconnaissance and ground reconnaissance tracks. Please revise FSAR Figure 2.5S.1-44 to include lineament data that is described in the "Explanation", or legend, so that the staff can adequately evaluate the extent of the growth fault investigations within the site area, or within the 5-mile site radius. In addition, please identify which linear features were identified in the investigations for STP Units 1 and 2 and which features were identified in the investigations for Units 3 and 4.
- b.) In FSAR Section 2.5S.3, only two growth faults were interpreted to approach within 5,000 ft of the ground surface and these two faults were mapped to within 800 ft and 1000 ft of the surface, respectively. However, in FSAR Section 2.5S.1 for Units 3 and 4, and in the UFSAR for STP Units 1 and 2, three faults were described that approach within 5,000 ft of the surface (faults A, I, and J) and one of those faults, growth fault A, was interpreted from reflection data to approach the limit of resolution, approximately 500 meters below the surface. Please resolve this information in the FSAR.
- c.) Please describe the resolution limits associated with the data used to interpret growth faulting, or lack of growth faulting within the upper 1000 meters, or within Quaternary units in the site area.