



North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources
State Historic Preservation Office

Peter B. Sandbeck, Administrator

Beverly Eaves Perdue, Governor
Linda A. Carlisle, Secretary
Jeffrey J. Crow, Deputy Secretary

Office of Archives and History
Division of Historical Resources
David Brook, Director

May 19, 2009

Robert Kitchen
Nuclear Plant Licensing
Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.
PO Box 1551
Raleigh, NC 27602

Re: Archaeological Survey and Deep Testing, Proposed Expansion, Harris Nuclear Plant,
Chatham and Wake Counties, ER 06-2238

Dear Mr. Kitchen:

Thank you for your letter of April 17, 2009, transmitting the archaeological management summary report by Chris Espenshade and Danny Gregory of New South Associates, Inc. for the above project.

For purposes of compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, we concur that the following properties are not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places under criterion D:

31CH345**	31WA537	31WA1692	31WA1731
31CH347	31WA1085	31WA1694	31WA1732
31CH348	31WA1093	31WA1695**	31WA1733**
31CH714	31WA1117	31WA1696	31WA1734
31CH863	31WA1131	31WA1697**	31WA1735**
31CH864	31WA1423	31WA1698&1698**	31WA1736
31CH865	31WA1426	31WA1701&1701**	31WA1737
31CH866	31WA1653	31WA1702	31WA1738**
31CH867	31WA1655**	31WA1703	31WA1739**
31CH868	31WA1656	31WA1704	31WA1740
31CH869	31WA1657	31WA1705	31WA1741**
31CH870&870**	31WA1658	31WA1706	31WA1742
31CH872	31WA1659	31WA1707&1707**	31WA1743
31CH875	31WA1660**	31WA1708&1708**	31WA1744
31CH877	31WA1661&1661**	31WA1709&1709**	31WA1745
31CH878**	31WA1662	31WA1710	31WA1746
31CH879**	31WA1664**	31WA1711	31WA1747
31CH880	31WA1668	31WA1713	31WA1748
31CH881	31WA1670	31WA1714	31WA1749
31CH882	31WA1672&1672**	31WA1715	31WA1750**
31CH883	31WA1674&1674**	31WA1716	31WA1751

31CH884	31WA1675	31WA1717	31WA1752**
31CH885**	31WA1677	31WA1718	31WA1753
31CH886	31WA1678	31WA1719	31WA1754
31CH887	31WA1679&1679**	31WA1720	31WA1755
31CH888**	31WA1683	31WA1721&1721**	31WA1756&1756**
31CH889	31WA1685	31WA1722	31WA1757**
31CH890	31WA1686	31WA1725	31WA1758
31CH891	31WA1687	31WA1726	31WA1759**
31CH892	31WA1688	31WA1727	31WA1760
31CH893	31WA1689	31WA1728	31WA1761&1761**
31CH894	31WA1690	31WA1729	31WA1762&1762**
	31WA1691	31WA1730	

Until additional information for the properties listed below is provided, we are unable to assess their eligibility for listing in the National Register under criterion D:

31CH873**	31WA1086&1086**	31WA1667**	31WA1682**
31CH874**	31WA1427**	31WA1669&1669**	31WA1684&1684**
31CH876	31WA1654**	31WA1671&1671**	31WA1693
31WA189**	31WA1663**	31WA1673**	31WA1699**
31WA529	31WA1665&1665**	31WA1680&1680**	31WA1700&1700**
31WA535&535**	31WA1666**	31WA1681**	31WA1712**
31WA1080&1080**			

These sites are located within the Area of Potential Effect (APE) of the proposed expansion and test excavations and/or archival research have been recommended for these sites. We concur with these recommendations.

Sites 31WA1723** and 31WA1724** have not been evaluated as to their National Register eligibility, but both are located outside the project APE, so no additional work is recommended. We concur with this recommendation. These sites should either be deleted from Table 5 or the title of the table should be revised, as they are outside of the APE.

The remaining sites are problematic and further clarification will be needed. Conflicting recommendations are included for site 31WA1086**. On page 39, the third paragraph recommends archival research for this site and also excludes this site from archival research. Both the site form and Table 6 recommend archival research.

Table 5 lists site 31CH871** as not eligible, but the site form lists the site as potentially eligible. If the site is being recommended as potentially eligible, that would explain the discrepancy in numbers. While several places in the text state that 30 sites are potentially eligible, only 29 such sites are included in Table 5.

The site form for site 31WA1676** lists the site as having no research potential, but recommends testing and archival research. Table 5 lists the site as potentially eligible.

There are several conflicting statements regarding the eligibility of site 31WA1763**. It is identified in some places as an earthwork and in other places as a dam. Page v, page 34 and Table 6 recommend the site as not eligible, but page iii, Table 5 and the site form recommend the site as potentially eligible.

Figure 1, the project location map, was not included in our copy of the management summary.

With the exceptions noted above, this management summary meets our guidelines and those of the Secretary of the Interior and we concur with the recommendations included. We look forward to receipt of the full report of the findings and will be glad to discuss the above comments if you have questions.

The above comments are made pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's Regulations for Compliance with Section 106 codified at 36 CFR Part 800.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration. If you have questions concerning the above comment, please contact Renee Gledhill-Earley, environmental review coordinator, at 919/807-6579. In all future communication concerning this project, please cite the above referenced tracking number.

Sincerely,

 Peter Sandbeck

cc: Donald Palmrose, US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Chris Espenshade, New South Associates, Inc.