

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 05/15/01 EDO CONTROL: G20010185
DOC DT: 05/08/01
FINAL REPLY:

Senator Pete V. Domenici

TO:

OCA

FOR SIGNATURE OF : ** GRN ** CRC NO:

DESC:

ROUTING:

Post Hearing Questions from Senator Domenici,
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development
Committee on Appropriations from the 5/3/01
Hearing on Nuclear Power

Travers
Paperiello
Kane
Norry
Reiter
Craig
Burns
Anderson, OEDO

DATE: 05/08/01

ASSIGNED TO: CONTACT:

OGC
RES

Cyr
Thadani

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

Use Q&A format attached. Provide hard copy and
diskette to Patty Anderson, OEDO by c.o.b. 5/15/01.

(4)

6-2

Template: EDO-001

E-RIDS: EDO-01

Post Hearing Q's from Sen. Pete V. Domenici, Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development, Committee on Appropriations, Joint Hearing on Nuclear Power, 5/3/01

From: LASHAWNDA SMITH <LASHAWNDA_SMITH@appro.senate.gov>
To: <LEP@nrc.gov>
Date: 5/8/01 9:42AM
Subject: hearing questions for the record

there is a two week turn around on these. So if you can have the answers back to us by the 23rd we would be pleased. Thanks

Questions for the Record

OGC 1. You've written to Congress with suggestions for improving operations of the NRC. Can you provide input for the record on such suggestions as well as draft language?

RES 2. Would you please provide for the record, the NRC views on needs for funding to develop a research basis in support of licensing requests for new technologies, including new reactor concepts. I've been concerned that the NRC, with its current reliance solely on user-generated fees, may have been forced to sacrifice this forward-looking component of you capabilities. And it's hard to justify such development from user fees when the requests have not come in yet.